

A Class of Strong Limit Theorems and Moment Generating Function Method

Wen Han LI¹, Gao Rong LI^{2,*}, Nan Bin CAO¹

1. College of Mathematics and Physics, Shijiazhuang University of Economics,
Hebei 050031, P. R. China;

2. College of Applied Sciences, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124, P. R. China

Abstract In virtue of the notion of likelihood ratio and moment generating function, the limit properties of the sequences of absolutely continuous random variables are studied, and a class of strong limit theorems represented by inequalities with random bounds are obtained.

Keywords likelihood ratio; strong limit theorem; moment generating function.

MR(2010) Subject Classification 60F15; 60B12

1. Introduction

Strong limit theory is one of the most important problems in probability theory, and has received extensive attention in the literature. Liu [1] obtained some strong limit theorems for a multivariate function sequence of discrete random variables by using the concept of the conditional moment generating function. Yang [2] proved two strong limit theorems for arbitrary stochastic sequences. Li, Chen and Zhang [3] studied the strong limit theorems of arbitrary dependent continuous random variables by using the analytic technique and the Laplace transform approach. Yang and Yang [4] established a strong limit theorem of the Dubins-Freedman type for arbitrary stochastic sequences. Furthermore, many comprehensive works can be found in [5] and references therein. The purpose of this paper is to establish a kind of strong limit theorems represented by inequalities with random bounds, and to extend the analytic technique proposed by Liu [5]. In the proof, the approach of applying the tool of moment generating function to the study of strong limit theorem of the sequences of continuous random variables is proposed.

Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of absolutely continuous random variables on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) with joint distribution density function $f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Let $f(x_k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$,

Received March 9, 2010; Accepted October 3, 2010

Supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11101014), the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (Grant No. 20101103120016), the Funding Project for Academic Human Resources Development in Institutions of Higher Learning Under the Jurisdiction of Beijing Municipality (Grant No. PHR20110822), Training Programme Foundation for the Beijing Municipal Excellent Talents (Grant No. 2010D005015000002), the Fundamental Research Foundation of Beijing University of Technology (Grant No. X4006013201101), Education Department Science Project of Hebei Province (Grant No. Z2010297) and Science Project of Shijiazhuang University of Economics (Grant No. XN0912).

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: ligaorong@gmail.com (G. R. LI)

stand for the marginal density function of X_k , and call $\prod_{k=1}^n f(x_k)$ the reference product density function. Let

$$r_n(\omega) = \ln \left[f_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) / \prod_{k=1}^n f(X_k) \right], \quad (1)$$

where ω is a sample point. In statistical terms, $r_n(\omega)$ is called the log-likelihood ratio. Let

$$r(\omega) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} r_n(\omega) \quad (2)$$

with $\ln 0 = -\infty$. $r(\omega)$ is called asymptotic log-likelihood ratio.

Let $f(x) > 0$ stand for the density function of the random variable Y , and let the mathematical expectation and moment generating function of the random variable Y be

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f(x) dx = m = E(Y), \quad (3)$$

and

$$M(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{tx} f(x) dx = E(e^{tY}), \quad (4)$$

respectively. In this paper, we assume that there exists $t_0 \in (0, +\infty)$, such that $M(t) < \infty$, $t \in [-t_0, t_0]$.

In order to prove our main results, we first give a lemma, which will play a central role in the proof of Theorem 3.

Lemma 1 ([6, P. 54]) *There exists a random variable Y such that its mathematical expectation and moment generating function are defined by (3) and (4), respectively. If $EY = m = 0$, then there exist constants $g > EY^2/2$ and $a_0 > 0$, such that*

$$M(t) \leq e^{gt^2}, \quad t \in (-a_0, a_0). \quad (5)$$

2. Main results

Our main existence results are the following:

Theorem 1 *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of absolutely continuous random variables on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , $r(\omega)$, $M(t)$ be given as above, and $M(t)$ be defined in $[-t_0, t_0]$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [X_k - m] \geq \alpha(c), \quad \text{a.e., } \omega \in D(c), \quad (6)$$

where

$$D(c) = \{\omega : r(\omega) \leq c\}, \quad (7)$$

$$\alpha(c) = \sup\{\varphi(t), -t_0 \leq t < 0\}, \quad (8)$$

$$\varphi(t) = [\ln M(t) + c]/t - m, \quad -t_0 \leq t < 0, \quad (9)$$

and then

$$\alpha(c) \leq 0, \quad -t_0 \leq t < 0, \quad (10)$$

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha(c) = \alpha(0) = 0. \quad (11)$$

Proof For arbitrary $t \in [-t_0, t_0]$, let

$$g(t, x) = e^{tx} f(x) / M(t). \quad (12)$$

Then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t, x) dx = 1. \quad (13)$$

Let

$$q_n(t; x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{k=1}^n g(t, x_k) = 1/[M(t)]^n \exp(t \sum_{k=1}^n x_k) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^n f(x_k). \quad (14)$$

By (14), it is easy to see that $q_n(t; x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is an n multivariate probability density function.

Let

$$t_n(t, \omega) = \frac{q_n(t, X_1, \dots, X_n)}{f_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)}. \quad (15)$$

By [6], we can see that $t_n(t, \omega)$ is a nonnegative supermartingale that converges a.e. Hence there exists $A(t) \in \mathcal{F}$, $P(A(t)) = 1$, such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n(t, \omega) < \infty, \quad \omega \in A(t). \quad (16)$$

This implies that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln t_n(t, \omega) \leq 0, \quad \omega \in A(t). \quad (17)$$

By (17), (14) and (3), we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left\{ -n \ln M(t) + t \sum_{k=1}^n X_k - \ln r_n(\omega) \right\} \leq 0, \quad \omega \in A(t). \quad (18)$$

Let $t = 0$. We have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln r_n(\omega) \geq 0, \quad \omega \in A(0), \quad (19)$$

that is

$$r(\omega) \geq 0, \quad \omega \in A(0). \quad (20)$$

Let $-t_0 \leq t < 0$. By (7) and (18), we have

$$t \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{n} \ln r_n(\omega) + \ln M(t) \right] = r(\omega) + \ln M(t), \quad \omega \in A(t) \cap D(c). \quad (21)$$

By the property of the inferior limit

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n - b_n) \geq d \Rightarrow \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n - c_n) \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (b_n - c_n) + d, \quad (22)$$

and dividing the two sides of (21) by t , we obtain

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [X_k - m] \geq \frac{1}{t} r(\omega) + \frac{1}{t} \ln M(t) - m \geq [\ln M(t) + c]/t - m, \quad \omega \in A(t) \cap D(c). \quad (23)$$

Let Q^- be the set of rational numbers in the interval $[-t_0, 0)$ and let $A^* = \cap_{t \in Q^-} A(t)$. Then $P(A^*) = 1$. By (23), we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [X_k - m] \geq [\ln M(t) + c]/t - m, \quad \omega \in A^* \cap D(c), \quad \forall t \in Q^-. \quad (24)$$

By (9), (20) and (24), we obtain

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [X_k - m] \geq \varphi(t), \quad \omega \in A^* \cap D(c), \quad \forall t \in Q^-. \quad (25)$$

We know that $\varphi(t)$ is a continuous function with respect to t on the interval $[-t_0, 0)$ and $\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t) = -\infty$. By (8) and (20), for each $\omega \in A^* \cap D(c)$, take $t_n(\omega) \in Q^-$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi[t_n(\omega)] = \alpha(c). \quad (26)$$

By (25), we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [X_k - m] \geq \varphi[t_n(\omega)], \quad \omega \in A^* \cap D(c). \quad (27)$$

From (26) and (27), we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [X_k - m] \geq \alpha(c), \quad \omega \in A^* \cap D(c). \quad (28)$$

Since $P(A^*) = 1$, (6) holds by (28). By the Jensen inequality we obtain

$$M(t) = E(e^{tY}) \geq e^{tE(Y)} = e^{tm}. \quad (29)$$

By (9) and (29), we have

$$\varphi(t) \leq c/t \leq 0, \quad -t_0 \leq t < 0, \quad (30)$$

then

$$\alpha(x) \leq 0. \quad (31)$$

Thus, (10) follows from (31). By L'hospital rule, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} \ln M(t)/t = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} M'(t)/M(t) = m. \quad (32)$$

By (8) and (32), we have

$$\alpha(0) = 0. \quad (33)$$

By (8) and (9), we have

$$\alpha(c) \geq \varphi(\sqrt{c}) = [M(\sqrt{c}) + c]/\sqrt{c} - m. \quad (34)$$

It is easy to see that

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(\sqrt{c}) = 0. \quad (35)$$

By (31), (34) and (35), we can show that

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha(c) = 0. \quad (36)$$

Then (11) follows from (31) and (36). \square

Theorem 2 *Under the conditions of the theorem 1, there holds*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [X_k - m] \leq \beta(c), \quad \text{a.e., } \omega \in D(c), \quad (37)$$

where

$$\beta(c) = \inf \{ \psi(t), 0 < t \leq t_0 \}, \quad (38)$$

$$\psi(t) = [\ln M(t) + c]/t - m, \quad 0 < t \leq t_0, \quad (39)$$

and then

$$\beta(c) \geq 0, \quad 0 < t \leq t_0, \quad (40)$$

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow 0^+} \beta(c) = \beta(0) = 0. \quad (41)$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is similar to that of Theorem 1 and hence is omitted here.

Remark 1 In Theorems 1 and 2, a subset $D(c)$ of the sample space is determined by using the condition $r(\omega) \leq c$, and on this subset the limit properties of $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [X_k - m]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ are studied. $r(\omega) \leq c$ is the key condition for determining $D(c)$, where the asymptotic log-likelihood ratio $r(\omega)$ can be regarded as a stochastic measure of the deviation between $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ and the sequence of random variables with product density function.

Remark 2 Roughly speaking, condition (7) can be regarded as a restriction on the deviation between $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ and the sequence of random variables with product density function $\prod_{k=1}^n f(x_k)$. From (6) and (37), it is easy to see that the inferior and superior limits depend on c . The smaller c is, the smaller the deviation is. For example, let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of absolutely continuous random variables with joint distribution density function $f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, and $Y_k, k = 1, \dots, n$, are i.i.d. random variable sequence with exponential density function $f(x, \lambda)$, where $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter. By the definition of log-likelihood ratio, we have

$$r_n(\omega) = \ln \left[f_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) / \prod_{k=1}^n f(X_k, \lambda) \right] \approx \frac{f_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) - \prod_{k=1}^n f(X_k, \lambda)}{\prod_{k=1}^n f(X_k, \lambda)}.$$

Let $D(c) = \{\omega : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} r_n(\omega) \leq c\}$ denote a subset of sample space. It is easy to show that the subset $D(c)$ controls the deviation between $f_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and the product exponential density function $\prod_{k=1}^n f(X_k, \lambda)$, and the smaller c is, the smaller the deviation is. Obviously, $r(\omega) = 0$ if and only if $c = 0$, that is, $X_k (1 \leq k \leq n)$ are independent exponential random variables with parameter λ .

Theorem 3 *Under the conditions of the theorem 1, let $m = E(Y) = 0, EY^2 < \infty$. Let $c \leq ga_0^2$ and $a_0 \leq t_0$. Then*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k \geq -2\sqrt{gc}, \quad -a_0 < t < 0, \quad \text{a.e., } \omega \in D(c), \quad (42)$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k \leq 2\sqrt{gc}, \quad 0 < t < a_0, \quad \text{a.e.}, \quad \omega \in D(c), \quad (43)$$

where g and a_0 are given by Lemma 1.

Proof Since the proof of (43) is similar to that of (42), we only prove (42) here. By Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(c) &= \sup\{[M(t) + c]/t, -a_0 < t < 0\} \leq \sup\{[gt^2 + c]/t, -a_0 < t < 0\} \\ &= -\inf\{(-gt) + (-c/t), -a_0 < t < 0\} = -2\sqrt{gc}, \quad -a_0 < t < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Hence, (42) follows from (44) directly. \square

Corollary 1 Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be independent random variables. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (X_k - m) = 0, \quad \text{a.e.} \quad (45)$$

Proof In this case, $f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{k=1}^n f(x_k)$, $n \geq 1$, and $r(\omega) = 0$. Hence (45) follows directly from (11) and (41). \square

Corollary 2 Under the conditions of the theorem 1, if $f(x_k)$ is a Normal distribution with parameters μ and σ^2 , where $E(X_k) = \mu$ and $\text{Var}(X_k) = \sigma^2$, then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [X_k - \mu] \geq -\sigma\sqrt{2c}, \quad \text{a.e.}, \quad \omega \in D(c), \quad (46)$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [X_k - \mu] \leq \sigma\sqrt{2c}, \quad \text{a.e.}, \quad \omega \in D(c). \quad (47)$$

Proof By (4), the moment generating function of the normal density function $f(x_k)$ is defined by

$$M(t) = e^{\mu t + \frac{1}{2}t^2\sigma^2}. \quad (48)$$

By (9) and (48), let $t < 0$. We have

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{\mu t + \frac{1}{2}t^2\sigma^2 + c}{t} - \mu = \frac{1}{2}t\sigma^2 + \frac{c}{t}. \quad (49)$$

It is easy to see that if $t = -\sqrt{2c}/\sigma$, the function $\varphi(t)$ attains its largest value $\varphi(-\sqrt{2c}/\sigma) = -\sigma\sqrt{2c}$. Therefore, (46) follows from (6) and (49).

Let $t > 0$. We have

$$\frac{1}{2}t\sigma^2 + \frac{c}{t} \geq \sigma\sqrt{2c}. \quad (50)$$

By (39) and (50), it is easy to see that if $t = \sqrt{2c}/\sigma$, the function $\psi(t)$ attains its smallest value $\psi(\sqrt{2c}/\sigma) = \sigma\sqrt{2c}$. Therefore, (47) follows from (37) and (50). \square

Acknowledgment The authors would like to thank the referees for their helpful comments that helped to improve an earlier version of this article.

References

- [1] Wen LIU. *Some limit properties of the multivariate function sequences of discrete random variables*. Statist. Probab. Lett., 2003, **61**(1): 41–50.
- [2] Weiguo YANG. *Strong limit theorem for arbitrary stochastic sequences*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2007, **326**(2): 1445–1451.
- [3] Gaorong LI, Shuang CHEN, Junhua ZHANG. *A class of random deviation theorems and the approach of Laplace transform*. Statist. Probab. Lett., 2009, **79**(2): 202–210.
- [4] Weiguo YANG, Xue YANG. *A note on strong limit theorems for arbitrary stochastic sequences*. Statist. Probab. Lett., 2008, **78**(14): 2018–2023.
- [5] Wen LIU. *Strong Deviation Theorems and Analytic Method*. Science Press, Beijing, 2003. (in Chinese)
- [6] V. V. PETROV. *Sums of Independent Random Variables*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.
- [7] J. L. DOOB. *Stochastic Processes*. Wiley, New York, 1953.