

# Some Endpoint Estimates for the Multiplier Operator

Xiaoli CHEN<sup>1,2</sup>

1. Department of Mathematics, Jiangxi Normal University, Jiangxi 330022, P. R. China;

2. Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Zhejiang 310027, P. R. China

**Abstract** In this paper, the author proves that Multiplier operator is bounded on  $BMO(R^n)$ ,  $LMO(R^n)$  and  $CBMO^{p,\lambda}(R^n)$  respectively if some cancellation conditions are satisfied.

**Keywords** multiplier operator; BMO space; LMO space;  $\lambda$ -central BMO space.

**MR(2010) Subject Classification** 42B25; 42B30

## 1. Introduction

We first recall some basic concepts and results on multiplier. Let  $m(x)$  be a bounded function on  $R^n$  and consider the multiplier operator  $T$  defined initially for a function  $f$  in the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}$  by  $\widehat{Tf}(x) = m(x)\widehat{f}(x)$ , where  $\widehat{f}$  is the Fourier transform of  $f$ . For  $s \geq 1$  and  $\ell$  a positive real number, we say  $m \in M(s, \ell)$  if

$$\sup_{R>0} \left( R^{s|\alpha|-n} \int_{R<|x|<2R} |D^\alpha m(x)|^s dx \right)^{1/s} < \infty, \quad \text{for all } |\alpha| \leq \ell. \quad (1.1)$$

The condition (1.1) has been known to be related to multiplier theorems of Hörmander-Mikhlin [1]. Using interpolation methods, Calderón and Torchinsky [2] considered the condition  $m \in M(s, \ell)$  for  $s \geq 2$  and  $\ell > n/s$ . Kurtz and Wheeden [3] obtained the following weighted norm inequality for multiplier operator when  $m \in M(s, \ell)$  with  $1 < s \leq 2$  and  $n/s < \ell \leq n$ . Now, we consider the multipliers. Following [3], we select an approximation to the identity

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi(2^{-j}x) = 1, \quad x \neq 0,$$

where  $\phi$  is an infinitely differentiable, nonnegative function supported on  $\frac{1}{2} < |x| < 2$ . For  $m \in M(s, \ell)$ , let  $m_j(x) = m(x)\phi(2^{-j}x)$ , so that

$$m(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} m_j(x), \quad x \neq 0.$$

We have that  $m_j \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$ . Define  $k_j(x) = (m_j)^\vee(x)$ , where  $g^\vee$  denotes the inverse Fourier

---

Received September 1, 2010; Accepted October 5, 2010

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos.10961015; 10871173; 10931001).

E-mail address: littleli\_chen@163.com

transform of  $g$ , and let

$$m^N(x) = \sum_{j=-N}^N m_j(x), \quad K_N(x) = (m^N)^\vee(x) = \sum_{j=-N}^N k_j(x).$$

It follows that  $\|m^N\|_\infty \leq C$  uniformly in  $N$  and that  $m^N(x) \rightarrow m(x)$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Now define  $T_N f(x) = (m^N \hat{f})^\vee(x)$ , so that  $T_N f = f * K_N$  for  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ . The following lemma shows how conditions on  $m$  can be interpreted as the conditions on  $K_N$ .

**Lemma 1.1** ([3]) *Let  $1 < s \leq 2$ ,  $m \in M(s, \ell)$  for a positive integer  $\ell$ , and let  $K_N$  be defined as above. If  $d$  is an integer such that  $0 < d \leq \ell$ ,  $1 < t \leq s$ ,  $n/t < d < n/t + 1$ , and  $1 \leq p \leq t'$ , then for all  $|y| \leq \frac{R}{2}$ ,*

$$\left( \int_{R < |x| < 2R} |K_N(x-y) - K_N(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq CR^{-d+n/p-n/t'} |y|^{d-n/t},$$

$$\left( \int_{R < |x|} |K_N(x-y) - K_N(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq CR^{-d+n/p-n/t'} |y|^{d-n/t},$$

and if  $\ell > \max\{n/p', n/s\}$ , then

$$\left( \int_{R < |x| < 2R} |K_N(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq CR^{n/p-n},$$

with  $C$  above independent of  $N$ ,  $R$  and  $y$ .

Using this lemma, Kurtz and Wheeden [3] showed that the kernels  $K_N$  satisfy, uniformly in  $N$ , the Hörmander condition, so that  $T_N$  is bounded on  $L^p$ , uniformly in  $N$ , for  $1 < p < \infty$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ , we have  $Tf(x) = (m\hat{f})^\vee(x)$ . It follows that  $\|Tf - T_N f\|_\infty \leq \|(m - m^N)\hat{f}\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ , since  $m^N$  converges pointwise and boundedly to  $m$ . Then, applying Fatou's lemma, we get  $\|Tf\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p$  for  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ .

We use  $p'$  to denote the index conjugate to  $p$ , that is,  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ .

**Lemma 1.2** ([3]) *If  $1 < s \leq 2$ ,  $n/s < l \leq n$ ,  $m \in M(s, l)$ , and  $\omega^{n/l} \in A_p$ , then*

- (1) *For  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $\|Tf\|_{p,\omega} \leq C\|f\|_{p,\omega}$  for a constant  $C$  independent of  $f$ .*
- (2)  *$\omega(\{x \in R^n : |Tf(x)| > \lambda\}) \leq C\lambda^{-1}\|f\|_{1,\omega}$  for a constant  $C$  independent of  $f$  and  $\lambda > 0$ .*

**Lemma 1.3** ([3]) *If  $1 < s \leq 2$ ,  $m \in M(s, n)$ , and  $\omega \in A_p$ , then we have*

$$\|Tf\|_{p,\omega} \leq C\|f\|_{p,\omega}, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

In 2010, Lin [7] obtained the boundedness of the Calderón-Zygmund type operator on BMO spaces, LMO spaces and  $\lambda$ -central BMO spaces. The conclusion essentially depends on the cancellation condition of the kernel. A natural question is: if we add a cancellation condition to the multiplier operator  $Tf$ , whether the multiplier operator  $Tf$  has the same results? Actually, the answer is affirmative. From [5], we know that if a multiplier is homogeneous of degree zero, the associated multiplier operator is a Calderón-Zygmund operator. But in this note, the homogeneous is not needed. So our theorems cannot be contained by the results in [7]. Before stating our results, we are going to give definitions of BMO, LMO and the center BMO spaces

$\text{CBMO}^{p,\lambda}(R^n)$ .

**Definition 1.1** ([6]) *LMO is a subspace of BMO, equipped with the semi-norm*

$$[f]_{\text{LMO}} = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{1 + |\ln r|}{|B_r|} \int_{B_r} |f(x) - f_{B_r}| dx + \sup_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{|B_r|} \int_{B_r} |f(x) - f_{B_r}| dx,$$

where  $B_r$  denotes the ball in  $R^n$  with radius  $r$ .

For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , define

$$[f]_{\text{LMO}^p} = \sup_{0 < r < \frac{1}{2}} (1 + |\ln r|) \left( \frac{1}{|B_r|} \int_{B_r} |f(x) - f_{B_r}|^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

**Definition 1.2** ([9]) *Let  $\lambda < 1/n$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . A function  $f \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(R^n)$  is said to belong to the  $\lambda$ -central bounded mean oscillation space  $\text{CBMO}^{p,\lambda}(R^n)$  if*

$$\|f\|_{\text{CBMO}^{p,\lambda}} = \sup_{r > 0} \left( \frac{1}{|B(0, r)|^{1+\lambda p}} \int_{B(0, r)} |f(x) - f_{B(0, r)}|^p dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty. \quad (1.2)$$

We can formulate our results as follows:

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $1 < s \leq 2$ ,  $n/s < l \leq n$ ,  $m \in M(s, l)$ , and  $T$  be defined as above, and  $T_N 1 = 0$  for any real positive integer number  $N$ . Suppose  $f \in \text{BMO}$  such that  $T_N f(x)$  exists a.e. in  $R^n$ . Then  $Tf \in \text{BMO}$  and*

$$\|Tf\|_{\text{BMO}} \leq C\|f\|_{\text{BMO}},$$

where  $C > 0$  is independent of  $f$ .

**Theorem 1.2** *Let  $1 < s \leq 2$ ,  $n/s < l \leq n$ ,  $m \in M(s, l)$ , and  $T$  be defined as above, and  $T_N 1 = 0$  for any real positive integer number  $N$ . Suppose  $f \in \text{LMO}$  such that  $T_N f(x)$  exists a.e. in  $R^n$ . Then  $Tf \in \text{LMO}$  and*

$$[Tf]_{\text{LMO}} \leq C[f]_{\text{LMO}},$$

where  $C > 0$  is independent of  $f$ .

**Theorem 1.3** *Let  $1 < s \leq 2$ ,  $n/s < l \leq n$ ,  $m \in M(s, l)$ , and  $T$  be defined as above, and  $T_N 1 = 0$  for any real positive integer number  $N$ . Suppose  $f \in \text{CBMO}^{p,\lambda}$  such that  $T_N f(x)$  exists a.e. in  $R^n$ , where  $q' \leq p < \infty$  and  $-1/n < \lambda \leq 0$ . Then  $Tf \in \text{CBMO}^{p,\lambda}$  and*

$$\|Tf\|_{\text{CBMO}^{p,\lambda}} \leq C\|f\|_{\text{CBMO}^{p,\lambda}},$$

where  $C > 0$  is independent of  $f$ .

## 2. Proofs of theorems

**Proof of Theorem 1.1** If we prove the following inequality:

$$\|T_N f\|_{\text{BMO}} \leq C\|f\|_{\text{BMO}}.$$

Then, by applying Fatou's lemma, we will get

$$\|Tf\|_{\text{BMO}} \leq C\|f\|_{\text{BMO}}.$$

Therefore, in order to prove Theorem 1.1, we only need to establish the (BMO, BMO)-boundedness of  $T_N$ .

For any ball  $B = B(x_0, r) \subset R^n$ ,  $r > 0$ , write

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f_{2B} + (f(x) - f_{2B})\chi_{8B}(x) + (f(x) - f_{2B})\chi_{(8B)^c}(x) \\ &= f_1(x) + f_2(x) + f_3(x). \end{aligned}$$

The assumption  $T_N 1 = 0$  tells that  $T_N f_1 = 0$ .

Thanks to Hölder's inequality and the  $L^2(R^n)$ -boundedness of  $T_N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |T_N f_2(x)| dx &\leq \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |T_N f_2(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{R^n} |f_2(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \left( \frac{1}{|8B|} \int_{8B} |f(x) - f_{2B}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $T_N f(x)$  and  $T_N f_2(x)$  exist a.e. in  $R^n$ , there is a point  $z \in B$  such that  $T_N f_3(z) < \infty$ . For  $x \in B$ ,  $z \in B$  and  $y \in (8B)^c$ , we have  $|y - z| \geq 2|x - z|$ . Choosing  $t, r$  such that  $n/d < t < \min(s, p)$ ,  $n/t < d < n/t + 1$ ,  $t < r < p$  and by using Lemma 1.1 and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |T_N f_3(x) - T_N f_3(z)| dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \int_{(8B)^c} |K_N(x - y) - K_N(z - y)| |f(y) - f_{2B}| dy dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^j|x-z| \leq |y-z| < 2^{j+1}|x-z|} |K_N(x - y) - K_N(z - y)| |f(y) - f_{2B}| dy dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{2^j|x-z| \leq |y-z| < 2^{j+1}|x-z|} |K_N(x - y) - K_N(z - y)|^{r'} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r'}} \times \\ &\quad \left( \int_{2^j|x-z| \leq |y-z| < 2^{j+1}|x-z|} |f(y) - f_{2B}|^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} dx \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2^j|x - z|)^{-d+n/r'-n/t'} |x - z|^{d-n/t} \left( \int_{2^{j+1}B} |f(y) - f_{2B}|^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j 2^{j(-d+n/t)} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |T_N f(x) - (T_N f)_B| dx &\leq \frac{2}{|B|} \int_B |T_N f(x) - T_N f_3(z)| dx \\ &\leq \frac{2}{|B|} \int_B |T_N f_2(x)| dx + \frac{2}{|B|} \int_B |T_N f_3(x) - T_N f_3(z)| dx \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}}, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2** ([8]) *If  $f \in \text{LMO}$ , then for any  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  depending only on  $n$  and  $p$  such that  $[f]_{\text{LMO}^p} \leq C[f]_{\text{LMO}}$ .*

Next we present the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2** For any ball  $B = B(x_0, r) \subset R^n$  with  $r \geq 1$ , by BMO-boundedness of  $T$  in Theorem 1.1, we have

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |Tf(x) - (Tf)_B| dx \leq \|Tf\|_{\text{BMO}} \leq C\|f\|_{\text{BMO}} \leq C[f]_{\text{LMO}}.$$

Therefore it suffices to prove that, for any ball  $B = B(x_0, r) \subset R^n$  with  $0 < r < 1$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{1 + |\ln r|}{|B|} \int_B |Tf(x) - (Tf)_B| dx \leq C[f]_{\text{LMO}}. \quad (2.1)$$

Similarly, if the following inequality

$$\frac{1 + |\ln r|}{|B|} \int_B |T_N f(x) - (T_N f)_B| dx \leq C[f]_{\text{LMO}}$$

is proved, we will establish the  $(\text{LMO}, \text{LMO})$ -boundedness of  $T$ . We consider two cases respectively.

(i)  $1/16 \leq r < 1$ . The BMO-boundedness of  $T_N$  also implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 + |\ln r|}{|B|} \int_B |T_N f(x) - (T_N f)_B| dx &= \frac{1 + |\ln \frac{1}{r}|}{|B|} \int_B |T_N f(x) - (T_N f)_B| dx \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |T_N f(x) - (T_N f)_B| dx \leq C\|T_N f\|_{\text{BMO}} \\ &\leq C\|f\|_{\text{BMO}} \leq C[f]_{\text{LMO}}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii)  $0 < r < 1/16$ . Write

$$f(x) = f_{8B} + (f(x) - f_{8B})\chi_{8B}(x) + (f(x) - f_{8B})\chi_{(8B)^c}(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(x) + f_3(x).$$

The hypothesis  $T_N 1 = 0$  says that  $T_N f_1 = 0$ . Noting that  $0 \leq 8r < 1/2$  and employing Hölder's inequality, the  $(L^2, L^2)$ -boundedness of  $T_N$ , Lemma 2.2 and the following inequality

$$(1 + |a + b|)^{-1} \leq (1 + |a|)^{-1}(1 + |b|), \quad \text{for any } a, b \in R, \quad (2.2)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |T_N f_2(x)| dx &\leq \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |T_N f_2(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \left( \frac{1}{|8B|} \int_{8B} |f(x) - f_{8B}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &= C \frac{1 + |\ln 8r|}{1 + |\ln 8r|} \left( \frac{1}{|8B|} \int_{8B} |f(x) - f_{8B}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C[f]_{\text{LMO}^2} (1 + |\ln 8r|)^{-1} \\ &\leq C[f]_{\text{LMO}} (1 + \ln 8) (1 + |\ln r|)^{-1} \leq C\|f\|_{\text{LMO}} (1 + |\ln r|)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $T_N f(x)$  and  $T_N f_2(x)$  exist a.e. in  $R^n$ , there is a point  $z \in B$  such that  $T_N f_3(z) < \infty$ . Since  $r^{-1} > 16$ , there exists a  $k \in N$  with  $k \geq 4$  such that  $2^k < r^{-1} \leq 2^{k+1}$ , namely  $k \sim |\ln r|$ . For  $x \in B$ ,  $z \in B$  and  $y \in (8B)^c$ , we have  $|y - z| \geq 2|x - z|$ . Choosing  $t, r$  such that  $n/d < t < \min(s, p)$ ,  $n/t < d < n/t + 1$ ,  $t < r < p$  and by using Lemma 1.1 and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |T_N f_3(x) - T_N f_3(z)| dx \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \int_{(8B)^c} |K_N(x - y) - K_N(z - y)| |f(y) - f_{8B}| dy dx \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^j|x-z| \leq |y-z| < 2^{j+1}|x-z|} |K_N(x - y) - K_N(z - y)| |f(y) - f_{8B}| dy dx \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{2^j|x-z| \leq |y-z| < 2^{j+1}|x-z|} |K_N(x - y) - K_N(z - y)|^{r'} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r'}} \times \\
& \quad \left( \int_{2^j|x-z| \leq |y-z| < 2^{j+1}|x-z|} |f(y) - f_{8B}|^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} dx \\
& \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2^j|x-z|)^{-d+n/r'-n/t'} |x-z|^{d-n/t} \left( \int_{2^{j+3}B} |f(y) - f_{8B}|^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
& \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(-d+n/t)} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{|2^j B|} \int_{2^{j+3}B} |f(y) - f_{2^{j+3}B}|^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + |f_{2^{j+3}B} - f_{8B}| \right] \\
& \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{k-4} 2^{j(-d+n/t)} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{|2^j B|} \int_{2^{j+3}B} |f(y) - f_{2^{j+3}B}|^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \sum_{i=3}^{j+2} |f_{2^{i+1}B} - f_{2^i B}| \right] + \\
& \quad \sum_{j=k-3}^{\infty} 2^{j(-d+n/t)} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{|2^j B|} \int_{2^{j+3}B} |f(y) - f_{2^{j+3}B}|^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \sum_{i=3}^{j+2} |f_{2^{i+1}B} - f_{2^i B}| \right] \\
& = \text{I} + \text{II}.
\end{aligned}$$

We first estimate I. When  $1 \leq j \leq k-4$  and  $3 \leq i \leq j+2$ , there are  $0 < 2^{j+3}r < 1/2$  and  $0 < 2^{j+1}r < 1/2$ . It follows from Lemma 2.1 and inequality (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{I} & \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{k-4} 2^{j(-d+n/t)} \left[ \frac{1 + |\ln 2^{j+3}r|}{1 + |\ln 2^{j+3}r|} \left( \frac{1}{|2^{j+3}B|} \int_{2^{j+3}B} |f(y) - f_{2^{j+3}B}|^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \right. \\
& \quad \left. \sum_{i=3}^{j+2} \frac{1 + |\ln 2^{i+1}r|}{1 + |\ln 2^{i+1}r|} \left( \frac{1}{|2^{i+1}B|} \int_{2^{i+1}B} |f(y) - f_{2^{i+1}B}| dy \right) \right] \\
& \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{k-4} 2^{j(-d+n/t)} \left( \frac{[f]_{\text{LMO}^r}}{1 + |\ln 2^{j+3}r|} + \sum_{i=3}^{j+2} \frac{[f]_{\text{LMO}}}{1 + |\ln 2^{i+1}r|} \right) \\
& \leq C [f]_{\text{LMO}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-4} 2^{j(-d+n/t)} \sum_{i=3}^{j+2} \frac{1}{1 + |\ln 2^{i+1}r|}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C[f]_{\text{LMO}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-4} 2^{j(-d+n/t)} \sum_{i=3}^{j+2} \frac{i}{1+|\ln r|} \\
&\leq C[f]_{\text{LMO}} (1+|\ln r|)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^2 2^{j(-d+n/t)} \\
&\leq C[f]_{\text{LMO}} (1+|\ln r|)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate II.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{II} &\leq C \sum_{j=k-3}^{\infty} 2^{j(-d+n/t)} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{|2^{j+3}B|} \int_{2^{j+3}B} |f(y) - f_{2^{j+3}B}|^r dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \right. \\
&\quad \left. \sum_{i=3}^{j+2} \frac{1}{|2^iB|} \int_{2^iB} |f(y) - f_{2^{i+1}B}| dy \right] \\
&\leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{j=k-3}^{\infty} j 2^{j(-d+n/t)} \leq C[f]_{\text{LMO}} \sum_{j=k-3}^{\infty} \frac{j^2}{k+1} 2^{j(-d+n/t)} \\
&\leq C[f]_{\text{LMO}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^2}{1+|\ln r|} 2^{j(-d+n/t)} \leq C[f]_{\text{LMO}} (1+|\ln r|)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above two estimates, we have

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |T_N f_3(x) - T_N f_3(z)| dx \leq C[f]_{\text{LMO}} (1+|\ln r|)^{-1}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1+|\ln r|}{|B|} \int_B |T_N f(x) - (T_N f)_B| dx \\
&\leq 2 \frac{1+|\ln r|}{|B|} \int_B |T_N f(x) - T_N f_3(z)| dx \\
&\leq 2 \frac{1+|\ln r|}{|B|} \int_B |T_N f_2(x)| dx + 2 \frac{1+|\ln r|}{|B|} \int_B |T_N f_3(x) - T_N f_3(z)| dx \\
&\leq C[f]_{\text{LMO}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.3** If we prove the following inequality:  $\|T_N f\|_{\text{CBMO}^{p,\lambda}} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{CBMO}^{p,\lambda}}$ .

Then, by applying Fatou's lemma, we get

$$\|Tf\|_{\text{CBMO}^{p,\lambda}} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{CBMO}^{p,\lambda}}.$$

Therefore, in order to prove Theorem 1.3, we only need to establish the  $(\text{CBMO}^{p,\lambda}, \text{CBMO}^{p,\lambda})$ -boundedness of  $T_N$ .

For any ball  $B = B(0, r) \subset R^n$ , with  $r > 0$ , write

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x) &= f_{16B} + (f(x) - f_{16B})\chi_{16B}(x) + (f(x) - f_{16B})\chi_{(16B)^c}(x) \\
&= f_1(x) + f_2(x) + f_3(x).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the hypothesis  $T_N 1 = 0$  that  $T_N f_1 = 0$ .

Thanks to Hölder's inequality and the  $L^p(R^n)$ -boundedness of  $T_N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |T_N f_2(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} &\leq C \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{16B} |f(x) - f_{16B}|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{\text{CBMO}^{p,\lambda}} |B|^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $T_N f(x)$  and  $T_N f_2(x)$  exist a.e. in  $R^n$ , there is a point  $z \in 3B \setminus 2B$  such that  $T_N f_3(z) < \infty$ . For  $x \in B$ ,  $z \in 3B \setminus 2B$  and  $y \in (16B)^c$ , there is  $|y - z| \geq 2|x - z|$ . Choosing  $t, q$  such that  $n/d < t < \min(s, p)$ ,  $n/t < d < n/t + 1$ ,  $t < q' < p$  and by using Lemma 1.1 and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |T_N f_3(x) - T_N f_3(z)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left\{ \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left( \int_{(16B)^c} |K_N(x-y) - K_N(z-y)| |f(y) - f_{16B}| dy \right)^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left\{ \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^j|x-z| \leq |y-z| < 2^{j+1}|x-z|} |K_N(x-y) - K_N(z-y)| |f(y) - f_{16B}| dy \right)^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left\{ \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{2^j|x-z| \leq |y-z| < 2^{j+1}|x-z|} |K_N(x-y) - K_N(z-y)|^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \times \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left( \int_{2^{j+4}B} |f(y) - f_{2B}|^{q'} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \right]^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left\{ \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2^{j+4}|x-z|)^{-d+n/q-n/t'} |x-z|^{d-n/t} \left( \int_{2^{j+4}B} |f(y) - f_{16B}|^{q'} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \right]^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(-d+n/t)} \left( \frac{1}{|2^{j+4}r_B|} \int_{2^{j+4}B} |f(y) - f_{16B}|^{q'} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(-d+n/t)} \left( \frac{1}{|2^{j+4}r_B|} \int_{2^{j+4}B} |f(y) - f_{16B}|^p dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(-d+n/t)} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{|2^{j+4}B|} \int_{2^{j+4}B} |f(y) - f_{2^{j+4}B}|^p dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \sum_{i=4}^{j+3} |f_{2^{i+1}B} - f_{2^iB}| \right] \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(-d+n/t)} \left[ \|f\|_{\text{CBMO}^{p,\lambda}} |2^{j+4}B|^\lambda + \sum_{i=4}^{j+3} \left( \frac{1}{|2^{i+1}B|} \int_{2^{i+1}B} |f(y) - f_{2^{i+1}B}|^p dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right] \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{\text{CBMO}^{p,\lambda}} |B|^\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(-d+n/t)} \left[ 2^{jn\lambda} + \sum_{i=4}^{j+3} 2^{in\lambda} \right] \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{\text{CBMO}^{p,\lambda}} |B|^\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j 2^{j(-d+n/t)} 2^{jn\lambda} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{CBMO}^{p,\lambda}} |B|^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \frac{1}{|B|^{1+\lambda p}} \int_B |T_N f(x) - (T_N f)_B|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C \left( \frac{1}{|B|^{1+\lambda p}} \int_B |T_N f(x) - T_N f_3(z)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \left( \frac{1}{|B|^{1+\lambda p}} \int_B |T_N f_2(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} + C \left( \frac{1}{|B|^{1+\lambda p}} \int_B |T_N f_3(x) - T_N f_3(z)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq C \|f\|_{\text{CBMO}^{p,\lambda}},
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.  $\square$

We also remark that Theorem 1.3 remains true for the inhomogeneous version of  $\lambda$ -central BMO spaces by taking the supremum over  $r > 1$  in Definition 1.2 instead of  $r > 0$  there.

## References

- [1] L. HÖRMANDER. *Estimates for translation invariant operators in  $L^p$  spaces*. Acta Math., 1960, **104**: 93–140.
- [2] A. P. CALDERÓN, A. TORCHINSKY. *Parabolic maximal functions associated with a distribution (II)*. Advances in Math., 1977, **24**(2): 101–171.
- [3] D. S. KURTZ, R. L. WHEEDEN. *Results on weighted norm inequalities for multipliers*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1979, **255**: 343–362.
- [4] B. MUCKENHOUT, R. WHEEDEN. *Weighted norm inequalities for fractional integrals*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1974, **192**: 261–274.
- [5] E. M. STEIN. *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*. Princeton Univ Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [6] S. SPANNE. *Some function spaces defined using the mean oscillation over cubes*. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, 1965, **19**(3): 593–608.
- [7] Yan LIN. *Endpoint estimates for Calderón-Zygmund type operators*. Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), 2010, **26**(3): 523–532.
- [8] Yongzhong SUN, Weiyi SU. *An endpoint estimate for the commutator of singular integrals*. Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), 2005, **21**(6): 1249–1258.
- [9] J. ALVAREZ, J. LAKEY, M. GUZMÁN-PARTIDA. *Spaces of bounded  $\lambda$ -central mean oscillation, Morrey spaces, and  $\lambda$ -central Carleson measures*. Collect. Math., 2000, **51**(1): 1–47.