

# On the Congruence $\sigma(n) \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$

Min TANG\*, Meng LI

*School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Anhui Normal University, Anhui 241003, P. R. China*

**Abstract** Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer, and let  $\sigma(n)$  denote the sum of the positive divisors of an integer  $n$ . We call  $n$  a quasi-multiperfect number if  $\sigma(n) = kn + 1$ . In this paper, we give some necessary properties of them.

**Keywords** quasiperfect number; quasi-multiperfect number.

**MR(2010) Subject Classification** 11A25

## 1. Introduction

For a positive integer  $n$ , let  $\phi(n)$ ,  $\omega(n)$  and  $\sigma(n)$  denote the Euler function of  $n$ , the number of distinct prime factors of  $n$  and the sum of the positive divisors of  $n$ , respectively. Let  $a$  be an integer. C. Pomerance [8] proved that  $S(a) = \{n : \sigma(n) \equiv a \pmod{n}\}$  has density 0. It is known that  $\sigma(n) = n + 1$  if and only if  $n$  is prime, thus we only need to consider  $\sigma(n) = kn + 1$  for  $k \geq 2$ . We call  $n$  a quasi-multiperfect(QM) number if  $\sigma(n) = kn + 1$  with  $k \geq 2$ . In particular, we call  $n$  a quasiperfect number if  $\sigma(n) = 2n + 1$  and  $n$  a quasi-triperfect(QT) number if  $\sigma(n) = 3n + 1$ . Up to now, no quasiperfect numbers are known, but necessary properties of them are described in many papers [1–7].

In this paper, we obtain the following results:

**Theorem 1** If  $n$  is QM and odd, then  $\omega(n) \geq 7$ . If  $n$  is QM and even, then  $\omega(n) \geq 3$ .

**Theorem 2** If  $n$  is an even QM and  $\omega(n) = 3$ , then  $n$  is QT and  $n = 2^{\alpha_1} 3^{\alpha_2} p^2$ , where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  are even,

$$p = \left\lceil \frac{2^{\alpha_1+1} 3^{\alpha_2+1}}{2^{\alpha_1+1} + 3^{\alpha_2+1} - 1} \right\rceil, \quad p > \sqrt{2^{\alpha_1+1}}, \quad p > \sqrt{3^{\alpha_2+1}}.$$

In fact,

$$\frac{2^{\alpha_1+1} 3^{\alpha_2+1}}{2^{\alpha_1+1} + 3^{\alpha_2+1} - 1} - \frac{1}{2} < p < \frac{2^{\alpha_1+1} 3^{\alpha_2+1}}{2^{\alpha_1+1} + 3^{\alpha_2+1} - 1}.$$

**Corollary 3** If  $n$  is an even QM and  $\omega(n) = 3$ , then  $n > 10^{100}$ .

**Conjecture 4** There is no even QM with three different divisors.

---

Received June 5, 2012; Accepted September 7, 2012

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10901002) and the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province (Grant No. 1208085QA02).

\* Corresponding author

E-mail address: tmzzz2000@163.com (Min TANG); limengde163youx@163.com (Meng LI)

## 2. Proofs

**Lemma 1** ([5, Theorem 3]) *If  $n$  is a quasiperfect number, then  $\omega(n) \geq 7$ .*

**Lemma 2** *If  $n$  is QT and  $n = 2^{\alpha_1} 3^{\alpha_2} p^{\alpha_3}$ , then  $\alpha'_i$ s are even for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ .*

**Proof** Since  $n$  is QT, we have  $\sigma(n) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . If  $\alpha_1$  is odd, then  $\sigma(2^{\alpha_1}) = 2^{\alpha_1+1} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , thus  $\sigma(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\alpha_1$  is even. Since  $n$  is QT, we have

$$\sigma(n) = \sigma(2^{\alpha_1} 3^{\alpha_2} p^{\alpha_3}) = 2^{\alpha_1+1} 3^{\alpha_2+1} p^{\alpha_3} + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

That is,

$$\sigma(n) = (1 + 2 + \cdots + 2^{\alpha_1})(1 + 3 + \cdots + 3^{\alpha_2})(1 + p + \cdots + p^{\alpha_3}) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

We have  $(\alpha_2 + 1)(\alpha_3 + 1) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , hence  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_3$  must be even. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1** Assume that  $\sigma(n) = kn + 1$  and  $n$  is odd. If  $\omega(n) \leq 6$ , then we have

$$2 \leq k = \frac{\sigma(n)}{n} - \frac{1}{n} < \frac{n}{\phi(n)} \leq \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{5}{4} \cdot \frac{7}{6} \cdot \frac{11}{10} \cdot \frac{13}{12} \cdot \frac{17}{16} < 3.$$

Thus  $k = 2$ ,  $n$  is a quasiperfect number. By Lemma 1, we have  $\omega(n) \geq 7$ , a contradiction.

Assume that  $\sigma(n) = kn + 1$  and  $n$  is even, if  $\omega(n) = 1$  or  $2$ , then we have

$$2 \leq k = \frac{\sigma(n)}{n} - \frac{1}{n} < \frac{n}{\phi(n)} \leq \frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{3}{2} = 3.$$

Thus  $k = 2$ ,  $n$  is a quasiperfect number. By a result of Cattaneo [2],  $n$  must be an odd square, a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2** Since  $n$  is an even QM and  $\omega(n) = 3$ , we may assume that  $n = 2^{\alpha_1} p_1^{\alpha_2} p_2^{\alpha_3}$ , where  $3 \leq p_1 < p_2$ . If  $p_1 \geq 5$ , then

$$2 \leq k = \frac{\sigma(n)}{n} - \frac{1}{n} < \frac{n}{\phi(n)} \leq \frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{5}{4} \cdot \frac{7}{6} < 3,$$

thus  $k = 2$ ,  $n$  is a quasiperfect number. By Lemma 1, this is impossible. Hence  $p_1 = 3$  and

$$2 \leq k = \frac{\sigma(n)}{n} - \frac{1}{n} < \frac{n}{\phi(n)} \leq \frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{5}{4} = 3.75.$$

Then  $\sigma(n) = 2n + 1$  or  $\sigma(n) = 3n + 1$ . By Lemma 1, we have  $\sigma(n) = 3n + 1$ , thus  $n$  is QT and  $n = 2^{\alpha_1} 3^{\alpha_2} p^{\alpha_3}$ . Hence we have

$$(2^{\alpha_1+1} - 1)(3^{\alpha_2+1} - 1)(p^{\alpha_3+1} - 1) = 2^{\alpha_1+1} 3^{\alpha_2+1} p^{\alpha_3} (p - 1) + 2(p - 1). \quad (1)$$

Let  $\{a, b\} = \{2^{\alpha_1+1}, 3^{\alpha_2+1}\}$  with  $a > b \geq 8$ . Then

$$(a - 1)(b - 1)(p^{\alpha_3+1} - 1) = abp^{\alpha_3}(p - 1) + 2(p - 1). \quad (2)$$

By (2) we have

$$p^{\alpha_3} (p(a - 1)(b - 1) - (p - 1)ab) = (a - 1)(b - 1) + 2(p - 1).$$

That is,

$$p^{\alpha_3}(ab - (a + b - 1)p) = (a - 1)(b - 1) + 2(p - 1). \quad (3)$$

By (3) we have  $ab - (a + b - 1)p > 0$ , thus

$$p < \frac{ab}{a + b - 1}. \quad (4)$$

By (4) we have  $p < a$ ,  $p < b$ . By (2) and  $p \nmid 2(p - 1)$  we have  $p \nmid b - 1$ . Hence  $p \leq b - 2$ . By (2) we have

$$b((a - 1)(p^{\alpha_3+1} - 1) - ap^{\alpha_3}(p - 1)) = (a - 1)(p^{\alpha_3+1} - 1) + 2(p - 1) > 0. \quad (5)$$

Thus

$$(p + 2)((a - 1)(p^{\alpha_3+1} - 1) - ap^{\alpha_3}(p - 1)) \leq (a - 1)(p^{\alpha_3+1} - 1) + 2(p - 1). \quad (6)$$

Then

$$a((p + 2)(p^{\alpha_3+1} - 1) - (p + 2)p^{\alpha_3}(p - 1) - (p^{\alpha_3+1} - 1)) \leq (p + 1)(p^{\alpha_3+1} - 1) + 2(p - 1).$$

That is,

$$a(2p^{\alpha_3} - p - 1) \leq p^{\alpha_3+2} + p^{\alpha_3+1} + p - 3.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} a &\leq \frac{p^{\alpha_3+2} + p^{\alpha_3+1} + p - 3}{2p^{\alpha_3} - p - 1} = \frac{p^2 + p + \frac{p-3}{p^{\alpha_3}}}{2 - \frac{p+1}{p^{\alpha_3}}} \\ &\leq \frac{p^2 + p + \frac{p-3}{p^2}}{2 - \frac{p+1}{p^2}} = \frac{p^4 + p^3 + p - 3}{2p^2 - p - 1} < p^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\sqrt{a} < p < b. \quad (7)$$

By (3) and (7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} p^{\alpha_3} &\leq p^{\alpha_3}(ab - (a + b - 1)p) = (a - 1)(b - 1) + 2(p - 1) \\ &\leq (p^2 - 2)(p^2 - 3) + 2(p - 1) = p^4 - 5p^2 + 2p + 4 < p^4. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha_3$  is even and  $\alpha_3 > 0$ , we have  $\alpha_3 = 2$ .

By (3) and  $\alpha_3 = 2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} p^2(ab - (a + b - 1)p) &= (a - 1)(b - 1) + 2(p - 1) \leq (p^2 - 2)(b - 1) + 2(p - 1) \\ &= p^2(b - 1) - 2(b - p) < p^2(b - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $ab - (a + b - 1)p < b - 1$ . So

$$p > \frac{ab}{a + b - 1} - \frac{b - 1}{a + b - 1} > \frac{ab}{a + b - 1} - \frac{1}{2}. \quad (8)$$

By (4) and (8), we have

$$\frac{2^{\alpha_1+1}3^{\alpha_2+1}}{2^{\alpha_1+1} + 3^{\alpha_2+1} - 1} - \frac{1}{2} < p < \frac{2^{\alpha_1+1}3^{\alpha_2+1}}{2^{\alpha_1+1} + 3^{\alpha_2+1} - 1},$$

thus

$$p = \left\lfloor \frac{2^{\alpha_1+1} 3^{\alpha_2+1}}{2^{\alpha_1+1} + 3^{\alpha_2+1} - 1} \right\rfloor.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

**Proof of Corollary 3** If  $n$  is an even QM with  $\omega(n) = 3$  and  $n \leq 10^{100}$ , then by Theorem 2 we have  $p > \sqrt{2^{\alpha_1+1}}$  and  $p > \sqrt{3^{\alpha_2+1}}$ , thus

$$(2^{\alpha_1} 3^{\alpha_2})^{3/2} \cdot \sqrt{6} < n = 2^{\alpha_1} 3^{\alpha_2} p^2 \leq 10^{100}.$$

Then we have  $2^{\alpha_1} 3^{\alpha_2} < (\frac{10^{100}}{\sqrt{6}})^{2/3}$ , hence  $\alpha_1 \leq 216$  and  $\alpha_2 \leq 136$ .

Let

$$a = 2^{\alpha_1+1}, b = 3^{\alpha_2+1}, p = \left\lfloor \frac{ab}{a+b-1} \right\rfloor.$$

By (2) in the proof of Theorem 2, we have

$$(a+b-1)p^2 - (a-1)(b-1)(p+1) + 2 = 0.$$

Using Mathematica, it is easy to verify that if  $\alpha_1 \in \{2u : u = 1, 2, \dots, 108\}$ ,  $\alpha_2 \in \{2v : v = 1, 2, \dots, 68\}$ , then

$$(a+b-1)p^2 - (a-1)(b-1)(p+1) + 2 \neq 0,$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof of Corollary 3.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement** We would like to thank Professor Yonggao CHEN and the referee for their many helpful suggestions.

## References

- [1] H. L. ABBOTT, C. E. AULL, E. BROWN, et al. *Quasiperfect numbers*. Acta Arith., 1973, **22**(4): 439–447.
- [2] P. CATTANEO. *Sui numeri quasiperfetti*. Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., 1951, **6**(3): 59–62.
- [3] G. L. COHEN. *On odd perfect numbers. II. Multiperfect numbers and quasiperfect numbers*. J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A, 1980, **29**(3): 369–384.
- [4] G. L. COHEN. *The nonexistence of quasiperfect numbers of certain forms*. Fibonacci Quart., 1982, **20**(1): 81–84.
- [5] P. HAGIS, G. L. COHEN. *Some results concerning quasiperfect numbers*. J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A, 1982, **33**(2): 275–286.
- [6] M. KISHORE. *Odd integers  $n$  with five distinct prime factors for which  $2 - 10^{-12} < \sigma(n)/n < 2 + 10^{-12}$* . Math. Comp., 1978, **32**(141): 303–309.
- [7] M. KISHORE. *On odd perfect, quasiperfect, and odd almost perfect numbers*. Math. Comp., 1981, **36**(154): 583–586.
- [8] C. POMERANCE. *On the congruences  $\sigma(n) \equiv a \pmod{n}$  and  $n \equiv a \pmod{\varphi(n)}$* . Acta Arith., 1975, **26**(3): 265–272.