

# The Second Critical Exponent for a Fast Diffusion Equation with Potential

Chunxiao YANG<sup>1,2</sup>, Jin'ge YANG<sup>1</sup>, Sining ZHENG<sup>1,\*</sup>

1. School of Mathematical Science, Dalian University of Technology, Liaoning 116024, P. R. China;
2. Department of Mathematics, Xi'an University of Architecture and Technology, Shaanxi 710055, P. R. China

**Abstract** This paper considers a fast diffusion equation with potential  $u_t = \Delta u^m - V(x)u^m + u^p$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$ , where  $1 - \frac{2}{\alpha m + n} < m \leq 1$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $V(x) \sim \frac{\omega}{|x|^2}$  with  $\omega \geq 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\alpha$  is the positive root of  $\alpha m(\alpha m + n - 2) - \omega = 0$ . The critical Fujita exponent was determined as  $p_c = m + \frac{2}{\alpha m + n}$  in a previous paper of the authors. In the present paper, we establish the second critical exponent to identify the global and non-global solutions in their co-existence parameter region  $p > p_c$  via the critical decay rates of the initial data. With  $u_0(x) \sim |x|^{-a}$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , it is shown that the second critical exponent  $a^* = \frac{2}{p-m}$ , independent of the potential parameter  $\omega$ , is quite different from the situation for the critical exponent  $p_c$ .

**Keywords** the second critical exponent; fast diffusion equation; potential; global solutions; blow-up.

**MR(2010) Subject Classification** 35K59; 35B33

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the second critical exponent to the fast diffusion equation with source and quadratically decaying potential

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u^m - V(x)u^m + u^p, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \geq 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $1 - \frac{2}{\alpha m + n} < m \leq 1$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $V(x) \sim \frac{\omega}{|x|^2}$  with  $\omega \geq 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  is an explicit parameter related to  $\omega$ , determined by

$$\alpha m(\alpha m + n - 2) - \omega = 0$$

with  $u_0(x)$  continuous and bounded.

It is well known that the Cauchy problem

$$u_t = \Delta u + u^p \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T) \quad (1.2)$$

---

Received February 19, 2012; Accepted March 27, 2012

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11171048).

\* Corresponding author

E-mail address: snzheng@dlut.edu.cn (Sining ZHENG)

admits a critical exponent  $p_c = 1 + \frac{2}{n}$ , such that the solutions blow up in finite time for any nontrivial initial data whenever  $1 < p \leq p_c$ , and there are both global solutions and non-global solutions if  $p > p_c$  (see [3]) and [1, 8, 9] (for the critical case). From then on, the Fujita phenomena have been studied for a great deal of PDEs [2, 11]. To identify the global and non-global solutions in their co-existence region, the so-called second critical exponent was introduced by Lee and Ni [10] with  $a^* = \frac{2}{p-1}$  for the Cauchy problem (1.2). That is to say, with initial data  $u_0(x) = \lambda\varphi(x)$  and  $p > p_c = 1 + \frac{2}{n}$ , there exist constants  $\mu, \Lambda, \Lambda_0 > 0$  such that the solutions blow up in finite time whenever  $\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{a^*} \varphi(x) > \mu > 0$ ,  $\lambda > \Lambda$ , and must be global if  $a \geq a^*$  with  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |x|^a \varphi(x) < \infty$ ,  $\lambda < \Lambda_0$ .

For the nonlinear diffusion case

$$u_t = \Delta u^m + u^p \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T) \quad (1.3)$$

with  $m > 1$  or  $\max\{0, 1 - \frac{2}{n}\} < m < 1$ , the critical exponent was proved as  $p_c = m + \frac{2}{n}$  by Galaktionov et al. [4, 5], Mochizuki and Mukai [12], and Qi [16, 17]. The second critical exponent to (1.3) was obtained with  $a^* = \frac{2}{p-m}$  by Mukai et al. [14] (for  $1 < m < p$ ) and Guo [7] (for  $(1 - \frac{2}{n}) < m < 1$ ).

Recently, the Fujita phenomena for reaction-diffusion equations with potentials have been thoroughly studied as well. Zhang [19] studied the influence of the potential on the critical exponent, without considering the quadratically decaying potential, which was shown as  $p_c = 1 + \frac{2}{\alpha+n}$  by Ishige [15] for (1.1) with  $m = 1$ , and  $p_c = m + \frac{2}{\alpha m+n}$  for  $1 - \frac{2}{\alpha m+n} < m < 1$  by the authors [18].

The present paper aims to investigate the second critical exponent for the problem (1.1) with obtaining  $a^* = \frac{2}{p-m}$ . It is interesting that, differently from the situation for the critical Fujita exponent  $p_c$ , the second critical exponent  $a^*$  is independent of the quadratically decaying potential.

Denote by  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the space of all bounded continuous functions in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_a &= \left\{ \varphi(x) \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \varphi(x) \geq 0, \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^a \varphi(x) > 0 \right\}, \\ \mathbb{I}^a &= \left\{ \varphi(x) \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \varphi(x) \geq 0, \limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^a \varphi(x) < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The main result of the paper is the following two theorems.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $p > p_c = m + \frac{2}{\alpha m+n}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $V(x) \leq \frac{\omega}{|x|^2}$  for  $|x|$  large, the initial data  $u_0 = \lambda\varphi(x)$ ,  $\varphi(x) \in \mathbb{I}_a$  for  $\lambda > 0$ . If  $a \in (0, a^*)$ , or  $a \geq a^*$  with  $\lambda$  large enough, then the solution of (1.1) blows up in finite time.*

**Theorem 2** *Let  $p > p_c = m + \frac{2}{\alpha m+n}$ ,  $V(x) \geq \frac{\omega}{|x|^2}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $u_0 = \lambda\varphi(x)$  with  $\varphi(x) = |x|^\alpha \psi(x) \in \mathbb{I}^a$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . If  $a > a^*$ , then there exist  $\lambda_0, C_1 > 0$  such that the solution  $u$  is global in time and satisfies*

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_1 t^{-\frac{a}{2-a(1-m)}} \quad \text{for all } t > 0$$

whenever  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ .

We will prove the two theorems in Sections 2 and 3, respectively.

## 2. Non-global solutions

In this section, we deal with the blow-up of solutions to prove Theorem 1.

**Proof of Theorem 1** Let  $U(r, t)$  be a radial solution to (1.1) with initial data  $0 \leq U_0(r) = \lambda \bar{\varphi}(r) \leq u_0(x) = \lambda \varphi(x)$ ,  $\bar{\varphi}(r) \in \mathbb{I}_a$ ,  $\bar{\varphi}'(r) \leq 0$ . Similarly to [18], we introduce a series of transformations. Set  $U(r, t) = r^\alpha v(r, t)$ ,  $v(r, t) = \xi(\rho, t)$  with

$$\rho = \rho(r) = \frac{2}{\alpha(1-m)+2} r^{\frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2}}. \tag{2.1}$$

Then due to  $V(x) \leq \frac{\omega}{|x|^2}$  for  $|x|$  large, we have

$$\xi_t \geq (\xi^m)_{\rho\rho} + \frac{N-1}{\rho} (\xi^m)_\rho + C_0 \rho^q \xi^p, \quad \rho > \rho_0, \quad t \in (0, T),$$

for  $\rho_0$  large enough, with

$$\xi(\rho, 0) = \lambda \left( \frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2} \rho \right)^{-\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} \bar{\varphi} \left( \left( \frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2} \rho \right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} \right), \quad \rho > \rho_0,$$

where

$$N = \frac{2\alpha + 2\alpha m + 2n}{\alpha(1-m)+2}, \quad C_0 = \left[ \frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2} \right]^{\frac{2\alpha(p-1)}{\alpha(1-m)+2}}, \quad q = \frac{2\alpha(p-1)}{\alpha(1-m)+2}. \tag{2.2}$$

Let  $w$  solve

$$\begin{cases} w_t = (w^m)_{\rho\rho} + \frac{N-1}{\rho} (w^m)_\rho + C_0 \rho^q w^p, & (\rho, t) \in (\rho_0, \infty) \times (0, T), \\ w(\rho_0, t) = U|_{\rho=\rho_0}, & t \in (0, T), \\ w(\rho, 0) = \lambda \left( \frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2} \rho \right)^{-\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} \bar{\varphi} \left( \left( \frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2} \rho \right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} \right), & \rho \in (\rho_0, \infty). \end{cases}$$

It suffices to prove the finite time blow-up of  $w$  when  $\bar{\varphi}(r) \in \mathbb{I}_a$  for  $0 < a < a^*$ , or  $a \geq a^*$  with  $\lambda$  large enough.

Set

$$S_\varepsilon(r) = \zeta_R(r) e^{-\varepsilon(r-R)^2} \quad \text{in } (R, \infty), \tag{2.3}$$

with

$$\zeta_R(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{r-R}{r}, & N \geq 3, \\ \log r - \log R, & 2 \leq N < 3. \end{cases}$$

By Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 in [13] with a simple computation, we know that  $S_\varepsilon \in C^2(R, \infty)$  and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} S_\varepsilon'' + \frac{N-1}{r} S_\varepsilon' &\geq -2(N+2)\varepsilon S_\varepsilon \quad \text{in } (R, \infty), \\ S_\varepsilon(R) &= S_\varepsilon(\infty) = 0, \\ S_\varepsilon &> 0 \quad \text{in } (R, \infty) \quad \text{with} \quad \int_R^\infty S_\varepsilon(r) r^{N-1} dr < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{N/2} \int_R^\infty S_\varepsilon(r) r^{N-1} dr = \pi^{\frac{N}{2}}, \quad N \geq 3, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} [\log \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}]^{-1} \int_R^\infty S_\varepsilon(r) r^{N-1} dr = \pi^{\frac{N}{2}}, \quad 2 \leq N < 3. \quad (2.5)$$

Since  $\bar{\varphi}(r) \in \mathbb{I}_a$ , there are  $L, R_1 > 0$  such that  $\bar{\varphi}(r) \geq Lr^{-a}$  for all  $r \geq R_1$ , and hence  $\bar{\varphi}(\left(\frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2}\rho\right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha(1-m)+2}}) \geq L\left(\frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2}\rho\right)^{-\frac{2a}{\alpha(1-m)+2}}$  for all  $\rho \geq \rho_1$  with  $\rho_1$  large enough. Set  $\rho_2 = \max\{\rho_0, \rho_1\}$ , and denote

$$\psi_\varepsilon(\rho) = C_\varepsilon S_\varepsilon(\rho) \quad \text{in } (\rho_2, \infty), \quad (2.6)$$

with

$$C_\varepsilon = \left( \int_{\rho_2}^\infty S_\varepsilon(\rho) \rho^{N-1} d\rho \right)^{-1}. \quad (2.7)$$

Define

$$y(t) = \int_{\rho_2}^\infty w(\rho, t) \psi_\varepsilon(\rho) \rho^{N-1} d\rho.$$

By Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} y'(t) &= \int_{\rho_2}^\infty w^m \rho^{N-1} (\psi_\varepsilon'' + \frac{N-1}{\rho} \psi_\varepsilon') d\rho + C_0 \int_{\rho_2}^\infty \rho^{N+q-1} \psi_\varepsilon w^p d\rho \\ &\geq -2(N+2)\varepsilon y^m(t) + C_0 \left( \int_{\rho_2}^\infty \rho^{N-1-\frac{q}{p-1}} \psi_\varepsilon d\rho \right)^{-(p-1)} y^p(t) \\ &= y^p(t) \left( C_0 \left( \int_{\rho_2}^\infty \rho^{N-1-\frac{q}{p-1}} \psi_\varepsilon d\rho \right)^{-(p-1)} - 2(N+2)\varepsilon y^{-(p-m)}(t) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$y'(t) \geq \frac{C_0}{2} \left( \int_{\rho_2}^\infty \rho^{N-1-\frac{q}{p-1}} \psi_\varepsilon d\rho \right)^{-(p-1)} y^p(t) \quad (2.8)$$

provided

$$y^{-(p-m)}(t) \leq \frac{C_0 \left( \int_{\rho_2}^\infty \rho^{N-1-\frac{q}{p-1}} \psi_\varepsilon d\rho \right)^{-(p-1)}}{4(N+2)\varepsilon},$$

or equivalently,

$$y(t) \geq C_0^{-\frac{1}{p-m}} \left( \int_{\rho_2}^\infty \rho^{N-1-\frac{q}{p-1}} \psi_\varepsilon d\rho \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p-m}} (4(N+2)\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{p-m}},$$

which is ensured by

$$y(0) \geq C_0^{-\frac{1}{p-m}} \left( \int_{\rho_2}^\infty \rho^{N-1-\frac{q}{p-1}} \psi_\varepsilon d\rho \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p-m}} (4(N+2)\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{p-m}}. \quad (2.9)$$

We deduce from (2.8) that

$$y(t) \geq \left( y^{-(p-1)}(0) - \frac{C_0}{2} \left( \int_{\rho_2}^\infty \rho^{N-1-\frac{q}{p-1}} \psi_\varepsilon d\rho \right)^{-(p-1)} (p-1)t \right)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}},$$

and hence,  $y(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow T = \frac{2}{C_0(p-1)} \left( \int_{\rho_2}^\infty \rho^{N-1-\frac{q}{p-1}} \psi_\varepsilon d\rho \right)^{p-1} y^{-(p-1)}(0)$ . This concludes that  $w$  blows up in finite time for large initial data required by (2.9).

Now we verify that the condition (2.9) is valid under either  $a \in (0, a^*)$ , or  $a \geq a^*$  with  $\lambda$  large. By (2.3) and (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned}
 y(0) &= \int_{\rho_2}^{\infty} w(\rho, 0)\psi_{\varepsilon}(\rho)\rho^{N-1}d\rho \\
 &\geq \lambda L \left(\frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2}\right)^{-\frac{2(a+\alpha)}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} C_{\varepsilon} \int_{\rho_2}^{\infty} \rho^{N-1-\frac{2(a+\alpha)}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} \zeta_{\rho_2}(\rho)e^{-\varepsilon(\rho-\rho_2)^2} d\rho \\
 &= \lambda L \left(\frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2}\right)^{-\frac{2(a+\alpha)}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} C_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{-\frac{N}{2}+\frac{a+\alpha}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} \int_{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\rho_2}^{\infty} \tau^{N-1-\frac{2(a+\alpha)}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} \zeta_{\rho_2}\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right)e^{-(\tau-\sqrt{\varepsilon}\rho_2)^2} d\tau.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

On the other hand, (2.9) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
 y(0) &\geq C_0^{-\frac{1}{p-m}} C_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{p-1}{p-m}} \left(\int_{\rho_2}^{\infty} \rho^{N-1-\frac{q}{p-1}} \zeta_{\rho_2}(\rho)e^{-\varepsilon(\rho-\rho_2)^2} d\rho\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p-m}} (4(N+2)\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{p-m}} \\
 &= B_0 C_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{p-1}{p-m}} \varepsilon^{-\frac{N(p-1)}{2(p-m)}+\frac{q}{2(p-m)}+\frac{1}{p-m}} \left(\int_{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\rho_2}^{\infty} \tau^{N-1-\frac{q}{p-1}} \zeta_{\rho_2}\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right)e^{-(\tau-\sqrt{\varepsilon}\rho_2)^2} d\tau\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p-m}}
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

with  $B_0 = C_0^{-\frac{1}{p-m}} (4(N+2))^{\frac{1}{p-m}}$ . We have by (2.4), (2.5) and (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_{\varepsilon} \int_{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\rho_2}^{\infty} \tau^{N-1-\frac{2(a+\alpha)}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} \zeta_1(\tau)e^{-\tau^2} d\tau &\geq C_1 \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} \\
 C_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{p-1}{p-m}} \left(\int_{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\rho_2}^{\infty} \tau^{N-1-\frac{q}{p-1}} \zeta_{\rho_2}\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right)e^{-(\tau-\sqrt{\varepsilon}\rho_2)^2} d\tau\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p-m}} &\leq C_2 \varepsilon^{\frac{N(p-1)}{2(p-m)}}
 \end{aligned}$$

with some  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  independent of  $\varepsilon$ . Since  $q = \frac{2\alpha(p-1)}{\alpha(1-m)+2}$ , we have

$$\varepsilon^{\frac{a+\alpha}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} \gg \varepsilon^{\frac{q}{2(p-m)}+\frac{1}{p-m}} \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

provided  $0 < a < a^* = \frac{2}{p-m}$ . If  $a = a^*$ , the right hand sides of (2.10) and (2.11) share the same order of  $\varepsilon$ . So, we can arrive at (2.9) by letting  $\lambda$  be large enough. If  $a > a^*$ , for any fixed  $\varepsilon$ , there exists  $\lambda_{\varepsilon} > 0$ , such that (2.9) holds whenever  $\lambda > \lambda_{\varepsilon}$ .  $\square$

### 3. Global solutions

In this section, we will show that the solutions must be global and decay to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , if  $a > a^*$  with  $\lambda$  small, where  $u_0(x) = \lambda\varphi(x)$  with  $\varphi(x) = |x|^{\alpha}\psi(x) \in \mathbb{I}^a$ .

It suffices to consider the case of  $a^* < a < \alpha m + n$ . The conclusion for  $a \geq \alpha m + n$  can be proved by comparison. Introduce the following auxiliary problem

$$\begin{cases} W_{\tau} = (W^m)_{\rho\rho} + \frac{N-1}{\rho}(W^m)_{\rho}, & (\rho, t) \in [0, \infty) \times (0, T), \\ W(\rho, 0) = M\rho^{-b}, & \rho \geq 0 \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

with  $N$  defined in (2.2),  $b = \frac{2(a+\alpha)}{\alpha(1-m)+2}$ . The condition  $p > p_c$  with  $a^* < a < \alpha m + n$  implies  $b < N$ . It was known by [6] that the problem (3.1) admits the self-similar solution

$$W = \tau^{-\beta b} f(\rho\tau^{-\beta}), \quad \beta = \frac{1}{2-(1-m)b} = \frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{4-2a(1-m)}, \tag{3.2}$$

with  $f(\eta)$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} (f^m)'' + \frac{N-1}{\eta}(f^m)' + \beta\eta f' + \beta b f = 0, & \eta > 0, \\ f'(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \eta^b f(\eta) = M. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that there exists  $M_0 > 0$  such that

$$\|\eta^{\frac{2a}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} f(\eta)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|(\eta + 1)^{-\frac{2a}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} ((\eta + 1)^b f)\|_{L^\infty} \leq M_0. \tag{3.3}$$

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $a^* < a < \alpha m + n$ ,  $M_1, \tau_0 > 0$ , and  $(h(t), \tau(t))$  solve the ODE system*

$$\begin{cases} h'(t) = \lambda^{p-1} M_1^{p-1} (\lambda^{m-1} \tau(t) + \tau_0)^{-\frac{a(p-1)}{2-a(1-m)}} h^p(t), & t > 0, \\ \tau'(t) = h^{m-1}(t), & t > 0, \\ h(0) = 1, \quad \tau(0) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

Then there is  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that  $h(t)$  is bounded in  $[0, \infty)$  whenever  $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_0)$ .

**Proof** The local existence and uniqueness of solutions to (3.4) follow from the standard ODE theory. Since  $h'(t) > 0$  for  $t > 0$ , the solution  $h(t) \geq 1$  can be continued whenever  $h(t)$  is finite.

Suppose  $h(s)$  exists in  $[0, t]$ . Then  $\tau(t) = \int_0^t h^{m-1}(s) ds$ . Since  $0 < m \leq 1$ ,  $h'(t) > 0$ , we have

$$h^{m-1}(t)t \leq \tau(t) \leq h^{m-1}(0)t = t.$$

By (3.4), we know

$$\begin{aligned} h'(t) &\leq \lambda^{p-1} M_1^{p-1} (\lambda^{m-1} h^{m-1}(t)t + \tau_0)^{-\frac{a(p-1)}{2-a(1-m)}} h^p(t) \\ &= \lambda^{p-1} M_1^{p-1} (\lambda^{m-1} t + h^{1-m}(t)\tau_0)^{-\frac{a(p-1)}{2-a(1-m)}} h^{p+\frac{a(p-1)(1-m)}{2-a(1-m)}} \\ &\leq \lambda^{p-1} M_1^{p-1} (\lambda^{m-1} t + \tau_0)^{-\frac{a(p-1)}{2-a(1-m)}} h^{p+\frac{a(p-1)(1-m)}{2-a(1-m)}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

for  $h(t) \geq 1$ . Due to  $a > a^* = \frac{2}{p-m}$ , integrate (3.5) to get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - h^{1-p-\frac{a(p-1)(1-m)}{2-a(1-m)}} &\leq \left(p + \frac{a(p-1)(1-m)}{2-a(1-m)} - 1\right) \lambda^{p-1} M_1^{p-1} \int_0^t (\lambda^{m-1} s + \tau_0)^{-\frac{a(p-1)}{2-a(1-m)}} ds \\ &\leq \left(p + \frac{a(p-1)(1-m)}{2-a(1-m)} - 1\right) \frac{\lambda^{p-m} M_1^{p-1} \tau_0^{-\left(\frac{a(p-1)}{2-a(1-m)}-1\right)}}{\frac{a(p-1)}{2-a(1-m)} - 1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Let  $\lambda_0 > 0$  satisfy

$$\left(p + \frac{a(p-1)(1-m)}{2-a(1-m)} - 1\right) \frac{\lambda_0^{p-m} M_1^{p-1} \tau_0^{-\left(\frac{a(p-1)}{2-a(1-m)}-1\right)}}{\frac{a(p-1)}{2-a(1-m)} - 1} = 1.$$

And define

$$C_\lambda = \left(p + \frac{a(p-1)(1-m)}{2-a(1-m)} - 1\right) \frac{\lambda^{p-m} M_1^{p-1} \tau_0^{-\left(\frac{a(p-1)}{2-a(1-m)}-1\right)}}{\frac{a(p-1)}{2-a(1-m)} - 1}, \tag{3.7}$$

$$h_\lambda = \left(\frac{1}{1 - C_\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+\frac{a(p-1)(1-m)}{2-a(1-m)}-1}} \tag{3.8}$$

for  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ . It follows from (3.6)–(3.8) that  $h(t) \leq h_\lambda$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2** Since  $\varphi(x) = |x|^\alpha \psi(x) \in \mathbb{I}^a$ , we get  $\psi(x) \in \mathbb{I}^{a+\alpha}$ . There is  $D > 0$  such that

$$\psi(x) \leq D(1 + |x|)^{-(a+\alpha)} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Without loss of generality, assume  $\psi(x)$  is radial with  $r = |x|$ . Let  $M$  in (3.1) be large so that  $M > D\left(\frac{2}{\alpha(1-m)+2}\right)^b$ . With  $\rho$  defined in (2.1), we have

$$W(\rho(r), 0) = M\rho^{-b} = M\left(\frac{2}{\alpha(1-m)+2}\right)^{-b}r^{-(a+\alpha)} > D(1+r)^{-(a+\alpha)} \geq \psi(r).$$

So, there is  $\tau_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\psi(r) < W(\rho(r), \tau_0)$ . Denote  $\zeta(\rho, \tau) = \lambda W(\rho, \lambda^{m-1}\tau + \tau_0)$  with  $\lambda > 0$ . Then  $\zeta$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \zeta_\tau = (\zeta^m)_{\rho\rho} + \frac{N-1}{\rho}(\zeta^m)_\rho, & (\rho, t) \in [0, \infty) \times (0, T), \\ \zeta(x, 0) = \lambda W(\rho, \tau_0), & \rho \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Set  $\bar{u} = r^\alpha h(t)\zeta(\rho(r), \tau(t))$ , with  $(h(t), \tau(t))$  solving (3.4). It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}_t - (\bar{u}^m)_{rr} - \frac{n-1}{r}(\bar{u}^m)_r + V(r)\bar{u}^m - \bar{u}^p &\geq \bar{u}_t - (\bar{u}^m)_{rr} - \frac{n-1}{r}(\bar{u}^m)_r + \frac{\omega}{r^2}\bar{u}^m - \bar{u}^p \\ &= r^\alpha \zeta(\rho, \tau)(h'(t) - r^{\alpha(p-1)}h^p(t)\zeta^{p-1}(\rho, \tau)), \\ \bar{u}(r, 0) = r^\alpha \zeta(\rho(r), 0) &= \lambda r^\alpha W(\rho(r), \tau_0) \geq \lambda r^\alpha \psi(r) = \lambda \varphi(x). \end{aligned}$$

To check  $\bar{u}$  is a supersolution of (1.1), it suffices to show

$$h'(t) \geq (r^\alpha \zeta(\rho, \tau))^{p-1} h^p(t). \tag{3.9}$$

Actually, by (3.2) and (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \|r^\alpha \zeta(\rho, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} &= \lambda \left(\frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} \left\| \rho^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} (\lambda^{m-1}\tau(t) + \tau_0)^{-\beta b} f(\rho(\lambda^{m-1}\tau(t) + \tau_0)^{-\beta}) \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &= \lambda \left(\frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} (\lambda^{m-1}\tau(t) + \tau_0)^{-\beta b + \frac{2\alpha\beta}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} \left\| \eta^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha(1-m)+2}} f(\eta) \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq \lambda M_1 (\lambda^{m-1}\tau(t) + \tau_0)^{-\frac{a}{2-a(1-m)}}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $b = \frac{2(a+\alpha)}{\alpha(1-m)+2}$ ,  $\beta = \frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{4-2a(1-m)}$ ,  $M_1 = M_0 \left(\frac{\alpha(1-m)+2}{2}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha(1-m)+2}}$ . By Lemma 3.1, there exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that (3.9) is true whenever  $\lambda < \lambda_0$ . Consequently,  $\|u(r, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\bar{u}(r, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq h_\lambda \|r^\alpha \zeta(\rho, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} \leq Ct^{-\frac{a}{2-a(1-m)}}$  for all  $t > 0$  with  $C = M_1(h_\lambda \lambda)^{\frac{2}{2-a(1-m)}}$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] D. G. ARONSON, H. F. WEINBERGER. *Multidimensional nonlinear diffusion arising in population genetics*. Adv. in Math., 1978, **30**(1): 33–76.
- [2] Keng DENG, H. W. LEVINE. *The role of critical exponents in blow-up theorems: the sequel*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2000, **243**(1): 85–126.
- [3] H. FUJITA. *On the blowing up of solution of the Cauchy problem for  $u_t = \Delta u + u^{\alpha+1}$* . J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I, 1966, **13**: 109–124.

- [4] V. A. GALAKTIONOV. *Blow-up for quasilinear heat equations with critical Fujita's exponents*. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 1994, **124**(3): 517–525.
- [5] V. A. GALAKTIONOV, S. P. KURDYUMOV, A. P. MIKHAILOV, et al. *Unbounded solutions of the Cauchy problem for the parabolic equation  $u_t = \nabla(u^\sigma \nabla u) + u^\beta$* . Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 1980, **252**(6): 1362–1364. (in Russian)
- [6] J. S. GUO. *Similarity solution for a quasilinear parabolic equation*. J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B, 1995, **37**(2): 253–266.
- [7] J. S. GUO, Y. GUO. *On a fast diffusion equation with source*. Tohoku Math. J. (2), 2001, **53**(4): 571–579.
- [8] K. HAYAKAWA. *On nonexistence of global solution os some semilinear parabolic equation*. Proc. Japan Acad., 1973, **49**: 503–505.
- [9] K. KOBAYASHI, T. SIRAO, H. TANAKA. *On the blowing up problem for semilinear heat equations*. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 1977, **29**: 407–424.
- [10] T. Y. LEE, Weiming NI. *Global existence, large time behavior and life span of solutions of a semilinear parabolic Cauchy problem*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1992, **333**(1): 365–378.
- [11] H. A. LEVINE. *The role of critical exponents in blowup theorems*. SIAM Rev., 1990, **32**(2): 262–288.
- [12] K. MOCHIZUKI, K. MUKAI. *Existence and nonexistence of global solution to fast diffusions with source*. Methods Appl. Anal., 1995, **2**(1): 92–102.
- [13] K. MOCHIZUKI, R. SUZUKI. *Critical exponent and critical blow-up for quasi-linear parabolic equations*. Israel J. Math., 1997, **98**: 141–156.
- [14] K. MUKAI, K. MOCHIZUKI, Qing HUANG. *Large time behavior and life span for a quasilinear parabolic equation with slowly decaying initial values*. Nonlinear Anal., 2000, **39**(1): 33–45.
- [15] R. PINSKY. *The Fujita exponent for semilinear heat equations with quadratically decaying potential or in an exterior domain*. J. Differential Equations, 2009, **246**(6): 2561–2576.
- [16] Yuanwei QI. *On the equation  $u_t = \Delta u^\alpha + u^\beta$* . Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 1993, **123**(2): 373–390.
- [17] Yuanwei QI. *Critical exponents of degenerate parabolic equations*. Sci. China Ser. A, 1995, **38**(10): 1153–1162.
- [18] Chunxiao YANG, Lizhong ZHAO, Sining ZHENG. *Critical Fujita exponent for fast diffusion equation with potential*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2013, **398**(2): 879–885.
- [19] Q. S. ZHANG. *The quantizing effect of potentials on the critical number of reaction-diffusion equations*. J. Differential Equations, 2001, **170**(1): 188–214.