

# Strong Law of Large Numbers of Partial Sums for Pairwise NQD Sequences

Shuhe HU, Xiaotao LIU, Xinghui WANG, Xiaoqin LI\*

*School of Mathematical Science, Anhui University, Anhui 230039, P. R. China*

**Abstract** By using the moment inequality, maximal inequality and the truncated method of random variables, we establish the strong law of large numbers of partial sums for pairwise NQD sequences, which extends the corresponding result of pairwise NQD random variables.

**Keywords** pairwise NQD sequences; strong law of large numbers.

**MR(2010) Subject Classification** 60F05; 60F15

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Lehmann [1] introduced the concept of negatively quadrant dependent (NQD) sequences.

**Definition 1.1** Two random variables  $X$  and  $Y$  are said to be NQD if for all real numbers  $x$  and  $y$ ,

$$P(X < x, Y < y) \leq P(X < x)P(Y < y).$$

A sequence of random variables  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is said to be pairwise NQD if  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  are NQD for any  $i, j \in \mathbf{N}^+$  and  $i \neq j$ .

Many known types of negative dependence such as negatively associated (NA) and negatively orthant dependence (NOD) etc. have developed on the notion of pairwise NQD. Joag-Dev and Proschan [2] pointed out that an NA sequence is NOD, and gave an example that is NOD but not NA. In particular, among them the negatively associated (NA) class is the most important and special case of pairwise NQD class and has wide applications in reliability theory and multivariate statistical analysis. Wang et al. [3] gave an example that is pairwise NQD but not NA. In addition, it is easily seen that an NOD sequence is pairwise NQD from the concept of NOD (see [3]), but the reverse is invalid (the counter-example refers to [3]). Thus, pairwise NQD sequences are sequences of wider scope which are weaker than NA and NOD sequences. So it is significant to study probabilistic properties of this wider pairwise NQD class. So far, many

---

Received September 20, 2011; Accepted March 27, 2012

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11171001; 12101001), Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province (Grant No. 1208085QA03), Talents Youth Fund of Anhui Province Universities (Grant No. 2012SQRL204) and Doctoral Research Start-up Funds Projects of Anhui University.

\* Corresponding author

E-mail address: lixiaoqin1983@163.com (Xiaoqin LI)

limiting properties on pairwise NQD sequences have been discussed, for instance, Matula [4] obtained the Kolmogorov strong law of large numbers for pairwise NQD random variable sequences with the same distribution. Wang et al. [5] obtained the Marcinkiewicz weak law of large numbers with the same distribution. Wang et al. [3] obtained the strong stability for Jamison type weighted product sums and the Marcinkiewicz strong law of large numbers for product sums of pairwise NQD sequences. Wu [6] gave Kolmogorov-type inequality and the three series theorem of pairwise NQD sequences and proved the Marcinkiewicz strong law of large numbers. Chen [7] generalized the results of Matula [4] to the case of nonidentical distributions under some mild condition. Wan [8] obtained law of large numbers and complete convergence for pairwise NQD sequences. Gan et al. [9] obtained the strong stability for pairwise NQD sequences. Zhao [10] obtained the almost surely convergence properties and growth rate for partial sums of a class of random variable sequences under moment condition. In addition, Wu [11] obtained the strong convergence rate of  $\tilde{\rho}$  mixing sequence based on moment inequality and the truncation method of random variables, and so forth.

Inspired by the papers above, we study the strong law of large numbers for pairwise NQD by using the truncation method below, which extends the corresponding result of pairwise NQD random variables.

Put

$$X_i^{(k)} = -\frac{2^{\frac{k+1}{r}}}{(k+1)^{\frac{\mu}{r}}} I(X_i < -\frac{2^{\frac{k+1}{r}}}{(k+1)^{\frac{\mu}{r}}}) + X_i I(|X_i|^r \leq \frac{2^{k+1}}{(k+1)^\mu}) + \frac{2^{\frac{k+1}{r}}}{(k+1)^{\frac{\mu}{r}}} I(X_i > \frac{2^{\frac{k+1}{r}}}{(k+1)^{\frac{\mu}{r}}}),$$

where  $I(A)$  denotes the indicator function of the event  $A$ . Denote  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ ,  $S_n^{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^{(k)}$ .

The symbols  $C, C_1, C_2, \dots$  stand for generic positive constants not depending on  $n$ .  $\alpha, \mu$  and  $r$  are positive numbers not depending on  $n$  and  $\log x$  represents  $\log_2(\max(x, e))$ .

**Lemma 1.1** ([1]) *Let random variables  $X$  and  $Y$  be NQD. Then*

- (i)  $EXY \leq EXEY$ ;
- (ii)  $P(X > x, Y > y) \leq P(X > x)P(Y > y), \forall x, y \in R$ ;
- (iii) *If  $f$  and  $g$  are both nondecreasing (or nonincreasing) functions, then  $f(X)$  and  $g(Y)$  are NQD.*

**Lemma 1.2** ([6]) *Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a pairwise NQD sequence with  $EX_n = 0$  and  $EX_n^2 < \infty$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Denote  $T_j(k) = \sum_{i=j+1}^{j+k} X_i, j \geq 0$ . Then*

$$E(T_j(k))^2 \leq \sum_{i=j+1}^{j+k} EX_i^2$$

and

$$E\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} (T_j(k))^2\right) \leq C \log^2 n \sum_{i=j+1}^{j+n} EX_i^2. \quad (1)$$

**Lemma 1.3** ([12]) *Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be an arbitrary random variable sequence. If there exists some random variable  $X$  such that  $P(|X_n| \geq x) \leq CP(|X| \geq x)$  for any  $x > 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ , then*

for any  $\beta > 0$  and  $t > 0$ ,

$$E|X_n|^\beta I(|X_n| \leq t) \leq C(E|X|^\beta I(|X| \leq t) + t^\beta P(|X| > t)) \quad (2)$$

and

$$E|X_n|^\beta I(|X_n| > t) \leq CE|X|^\beta I(|X| > t). \quad (3)$$

## 2. Main result and its proof

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a pairwise NQD sequence with  $EX_n = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Suppose that there exists a random variable  $X$  such that for any  $x > 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$P(|X_n| \geq x) \leq CP(|X| \geq x). \quad (4)$$

*If there exist constants  $1 \leq r < 2$  and  $\alpha > r + 1$  such that*

$$E(|X|^r \log^\alpha |X|) < \infty, \quad (5)$$

*then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1/r} S_n = 0, \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (6)$$

**Proof** For any integer  $n$ , there exists some integer  $k = k(n)$  such that  $2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}$ , hence  $n^{-1/r} |S_n| \leq \max_{2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}} (2^{-k/r} |S_n|)$ . It suffices to show that

$$\max_{2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}} 2^{-k/r} |S_n| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{a.s., } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (7)$$

Take  $r < \mu < r + 1$  and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , denote

$$A_k = \bigcap_{i=1}^{2^{k+1}} (|X_i|^r \leq 2^{k+1}/(k+1)^\mu), \quad A_k^c = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2^{k+1}} (|X_i|^r > 2^{k+1}/(k+1)^\mu),$$

$$E_k = \left( \max_{2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}} |S_n| > 2^{k/r} \varepsilon \right).$$

It is clear to check that

$$E_k = E_k A_k + E_k A_k^c \subset \left( \max_{2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}} |S_n^{(k)}| > 2^{k/r} \varepsilon \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{2^{k+1}} (|X_i|^r > 2^{k+1}/(k+1)^\mu) \right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left( \max_{2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}} |S_n| > 2^{k/r} \varepsilon \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{2^{k+1}} (|X_i|^r > \frac{2^{k+1}}{(k+1)^\mu}) \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left( \max_{2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}} |S_n^{(k)}| > 2^{k/r} \varepsilon \right) \doteq I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

If we can obtain that  $I_1 < \infty$  and  $I_2 < \infty$ , by Borel-Cantelli Lemma, (7) holds.

Firstly, we will check  $I_1 < \infty$ . By (4), (5) and  $1 \leq r < \mu < \alpha$ , it follows that

$$I_1 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{k+1}} P\left( |X_i|^r > \frac{2^{k+1}}{(k+1)^\mu} \right) \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k+1} P\left( |X|^r > \frac{2^{k+1}}{(k+1)^\mu} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k+1} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} P\left(\frac{2^j}{j^\mu} \leq |X|^r < \frac{2^{j+1}}{(j+1)^\mu}\right) = C_1 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^j 2^{k+1} P\left(\frac{2^j}{j^\mu} \leq |X|^r < \frac{2^{j+1}}{(j+1)^\mu}\right) \\
&= 4C_1 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^j P\left(\frac{2^j}{j^\mu} \leq |X|^r < \frac{2^{j+1}}{(j+1)^\mu}\right) \\
&\leq C_2 + 4C_1 \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} \frac{2^j}{j^\mu} (j - \mu \log j)^\alpha E\left(I\left(\frac{2^j}{j^\mu} \leq |X|^r < \frac{2^{j+1}}{(j+1)^\mu}\right)\right) \\
&\quad \text{(where } j_0 \text{ satisfies that for } j \geq j_0, (j - \mu \log j)^\alpha > 0 \text{ and } 1 < \frac{(j - \mu \log j)^\alpha}{j^\mu}) \\
&\leq C_2 + C_3 \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} E\left\{|X|^r \log^\alpha |X| I\left(\frac{2^j}{j^\mu} \leq |X|^r < \frac{2^{j+1}}{(j+1)^\mu}\right)\right\} \\
&\leq C_2 + C_3 E(|X|^r \log^\alpha |X|) < \infty. \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we will check  $I_2 < \infty$ . By  $EX_i = 0$ , (3)–(5), Lemma 3 and taking  $k$  sufficiently large such that  $(k+1 - \mu \log(k+1))^\alpha > 0$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\max_{2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}} |ES_n^{(k)}|}{2^{k/r}} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{2^{k+1}} \frac{|EX_i^{(k)}|}{2^{k/r}} \\
&\leq 2^{-k/r} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{k+1}} \left\{ E|X_i| I(|X_i|^r > \frac{2^{k+1}}{(k+1)^\mu}) + \frac{2^{(k+1)/r}}{(k+1)^{\mu/r}} P\left(|X_i| > \frac{2^{(k+1)/r}}{(k+1)^{\mu/r}}\right) \right\} \\
&\leq C 2^{-k/r} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{k+1}} \left\{ E|X| I(|X|^r > \frac{2^{k+1}}{(k+1)^\mu}) + \frac{2^{(k+1)/r}}{(k+1)^{\mu/r}} P\left(|X| > \frac{2^{(k+1)/r}}{(k+1)^{\mu/r}}\right) \right\} \\
&\leq 2C 2^{-k/r} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{k+1}} E|X| I(|X|^r > \frac{2^{k+1}}{(k+1)^\mu}) \\
&\leq C_4 \frac{2^{k+1} (k+1)^{\mu(r-1)/r} E(|X|^r \log^\alpha |X|)}{2^{k/r} 2^{(k+1)(r-1)/r} (k+1 - \mu \log(k+1))^\alpha} \leq C_5 \frac{1}{k^{\alpha - \mu + \mu/r}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $2^{-k/r} \max_{2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}} |ES_n^{(k)}| < \varepsilon/2$  for  $k$  sufficiently large. Thus,

$$I_2 \leq C_6 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}} |S_n^{(k)} - ES_n^{(k)}| > 2^{k/r} \varepsilon/2\right). \tag{9}$$

Since  $X_i^{(k)} - EX_i^{(k)}$  is a nondecreasing function, we have by applying Lemma 1.1(iii) that  $\{X_i^{(k)} - EX_i^{(k)}, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  is still a pairwise NQD sequence with mean zero. Hence by (9), Markov's inequality, (1), (2) and  $C_r$  inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\leq C_6 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-2k/r} E\left(\max_{2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}} |S_n^{(k)} - ES_n^{(k)}|^2\right) \\
&\leq C_6 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-2k/r} E\left(\max_{1 \leq n \leq 2^{k+1}} \left|\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^{(k)} - EX_i^{(k)})\right|^2\right) \\
&\leq C_6 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\log 2^{k+1})^2}{2^{2k/r}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{2^{k+1}} E|X_i^{(k)} - EX_i^{(k)}|^2 \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C_6 + C_7 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^2}{2^{2k/r}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{k+1}} \left\{ E \left( X_i^2 I(|X_i|^r \leq \frac{2^{k+1}}{(k+1)^\mu}) \right) + \frac{2^{2(k+1)/r}}{(k+1)^{2\mu/r}} P(|X_i|^r > \frac{2^{k+1}}{(k+1)^\mu}) \right\} \\
&\leq C_6 + C_7 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^2}{2^{2k/r}} 2^{k+1} \left\{ E \left( X^2 I(|X|^r \leq \frac{2^{k+1}}{(k+1)^\mu}) \right) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. C_7 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^2}{2^{2k/r}} 2^{k+1} \frac{2^{2(k+1)/r}}{(k+1)^{2\mu/r}} P(|X|^r > \frac{2^{k+1}}{(k+1)^\mu}) \right\} \\
&=: C_6 + C_7 I_{21} + C_7 I_{22}. \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

For  $I_{21}$ , it is clear to check the fact that  $\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{n^2}{2^{\delta n}} \leq C \frac{m^2}{2^{\delta m}}$  for any  $m \geq 1$  and  $\delta > 0$ . Without loss of generality, we assume  $\frac{2^m}{m^\mu} < \frac{2^{m+1}}{(m+1)^\mu}$ ,  $m \geq 1$  and  $A_m := \{\frac{2^m}{m^\mu} < |X|^r \leq \frac{2^{m+1}}{(m+1)^\mu}\}$ . Noting that  $1 \leq r < 2$ ,  $r < \mu < r+1$ ,  $\alpha > r+1$  and  $E(|X|^r \log^\alpha |X|) < \infty$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{21} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 2^{k+1 - \frac{2k}{r}} (EX^2 I(|X|^r \leq 2) + \sum_{m=1}^k EX^2 I(A_m)) \\
&= C_8 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} k^2 2^{k+1 - \frac{2k}{r}} \right) EX^2 I(A_m) \\
&\leq C_8 + C_9 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^2 2^{m - \frac{2m}{r}} E(|X|^r \log^\alpha |X| \cdot \frac{|X|^{2-r}}{\log^\alpha |X|} I(A_m)) \\
&\leq C_8 + C_{10} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^2 2^{m - \frac{2m}{r}} \left( \frac{2^{m+1}}{(m+1)^\mu} \right)^{\frac{2-r}{r}} \frac{1}{(\log \frac{2^m}{m^\mu})^\alpha} E|X|^r \log^\alpha |X| I(A_m) \\
&\leq C_8 + C_{11} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{2+\mu - \frac{2\mu}{r} - \alpha} E|X|^r \log^\alpha |X| I(A_m).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha > r$ , we can take  $\mu$  such that  $\alpha > 2 + \mu - \frac{2\mu}{r}$ . Thereby,

$$I_{21} \leq C_8 + C_{11} E(|X|^r \log^\alpha |X|) < \infty. \tag{11}$$

For  $I_{22}$ , by  $\mu < r+1 < \frac{3r}{2-r}$ , it follows that  $2 + \mu - 2\mu/r > -1$ . Consequently, by  $\alpha > r+1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{22} &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2 - \frac{2\mu}{r}} 2^{k+1} EI(|X|^r > \frac{2^{k+1}}{(k+1)^\mu}) \leq C_{12} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2+\mu - \frac{2\mu}{r}} E(|X|^r I(|X|^r > \frac{2^{k+1}}{(k+1)^\mu})) \\
&= C_{12} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2 - \mu(\frac{2}{r} - 1)} \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} E(|X|^r I(A_{m+1})) = C_{12} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} E(|X|^r I(A_{m+1})) \sum_{k=1}^m k^{2+\mu - \frac{2\mu}{r}} \\
&\leq C_{13} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{3 - \mu(\frac{2}{r} - 1)} E(|X|^r I(A_{m+1})) \leq C_{13} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{r+1} E(|X|^r I(A_{m+1})) \\
&\leq C_{14} + C_{15} \sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{r+1}}{(m+1 - \mu \log(m+1))^\alpha} E(|X|^r \log^\alpha |X| I(A_{m+1})) \\
&\leq C_{14} + C_{15} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} E(|X|^r \log^\alpha |X| I(A_{m+1})) \\
&\leq C_{14} + C_{15} E(|X|^r \log^\alpha |X|) < \infty. \tag{12}
\end{aligned}$$

By (10)–(12), we have that  $I_2 < \infty$ . Combining (8) with (7), we get (6). The proof of the desired result is completed.  $\square$

**Remark** In the process of proving  $I_2 < \infty$ , we refer to the method on the proof of Theorem 5.4.2 in [12], but the choices of truncation random variables  $\{X_i^{(k)}, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  and the specific parameter  $\mu$  are different.

## References

- [1] E. L. LEHMANN. *Some concepts of dependence*. Ann. Math. Statist., 1966, **37**(5): 1137–1153.
- [2] K. JOAG-DEV, F. PROSCHAN. *Negative association of random variables, with applications*. Ann. Statist., 1983, **11**(1): 286–295.
- [3] Yuebao WANG, Jigao YAN, Fengyang CHENG, et al. *Strong stability for Jamison type weighted product sums of pairwise NQD series with different distributions*. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A, 2001, **22**(6): 701–706. (in Chinese)
- [4] P. MATULA. *A note on the almost sure convergence of sums of negatively dependent random variables*. Statist. Probab. Lett., 1992, **15**(3): 209–213.
- [5] Yuebao WANG, Chun SU, Xuguo LIU. *Some limit properties for pairwise NQD sequences*. Acta Math. Appl. Sinica, 1998, **21**(3): 404–414. (in Chinese)
- [6] Qunying WU. *Convergence properties of pairwise NQD random sequences*. Acta Math. Sinica (Chin. Ser.), 2002, **45**(3): 617–624. (in Chinese)
- [7] Pingyan CHEN. *Strong law of large numbers for pairwise NQD random variables*. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. A Chin. Ed., 2005, **25**(3): 386–392. (in Chinese)
- [8] Chenggao WAN. *Law of large numbers and complete convergence for pairwise NQD random sequences*. Acta Math. Appl. Sin., 2005, **28**(2): 253–261. (in Chinese)
- [9] Shixin GAN, Pingyan CHEN. *Strong stability of pairwise NQD random variable sequences*. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. A Chin. Ed., 2008, **28**(4): 612–618. (in Chinese)
- [10] Ting ZHAO, Shuhe HU, Xiaoqin LI, et al. *Probability inequalities and almost surely convergence properties for a class of random variables*. J. Anhui Univ. Natur. Sci. Ed., 2010, **34**(1): 7–10. (in Chinese)
- [11] Qunying WU. *Strong convergence rate for  $\tilde{\rho}$ -mixing random sequences with different distributions*. J. Math. Res. Exposition, 2004, **24**(1): 173–179. (in Chinese)
- [12] Qunying WU. *Limit Theorems of Probability Theory for Mixing Sequences*. Science Press, Beijing, 2006. (in Chinese)