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#### Abstract

The investigation of congruences on generalized inverse semigroups is initiated. Following some properties of such semigroups, the congruences on an orthodox semiring whose idempotents satisfy permutation identities are established. In addition, we give a structure theorem of homomorphic image of this kind of orthodox semirings.
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## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

An algebraic structure $(S,+, \cdot)$ is called a semiring if $(S,+)$ and $(S, \cdot)$ are semigroups, and for each $a, b, c \in S, a \cdot(b+c)=a \cdot b+a \cdot c,(a+b) \cdot c=a \cdot c+b \cdot c$. Usually, we write $(S,+, \cdot)$ simply as $S$, and for any $a, b \in S$, we write $a \cdot b$ simply as $a b$.

Let $S$ be a semiring. An element $a$ of $S$ is said to be an idempotent if it satisfies $a+a=$ $a \cdot a=a$. If each element of $S$ is idempotent, $S$ is said to be an idempotent semiring. An idempotent semiring $S$ is said to be a band semiring [3], if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$
a+a b+a=a, a+b a+a=a
$$

for any $a, b \in S$. In [7], the authors proved that band semirings are always regular band semirings.
Green's $\mathcal{L}-[\mathcal{R}-]$ relation on the additive reduct $(S,+)$ will be denoted by ${ }^{+} \mathcal{L}[+\underset{\mathcal{R}}{ }]$. Also, we denote by $E^{+}(S)$ the set of all additive idempotents (if there exist) of a semiring $S$. Clearly, $E^{+}(S)$ is an ideal of the multiplicative reduct $(S, \cdot)$.

Let $D$ be a distributive lattice. For each $\alpha \in D$, let $S_{\alpha}$ be a semiring and assume that $S_{\alpha} \cap S_{\beta}=\emptyset$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$. For each pair $\alpha, \beta \in D$ such that $\alpha \leq \beta$, let $\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}: S_{\alpha} \longrightarrow S_{\beta}$ be a semiring homomorphism such that
(1) $\varphi_{\alpha, \alpha}=1_{S_{\alpha}}$;
(2) $\varphi_{\alpha, \beta} \varphi_{\beta, \gamma}=\varphi_{\alpha, \gamma}$, if $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma$;
(3) $\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}$ is an injective, if $\alpha \leq \beta$;
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(4) $S_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha, \gamma} S_{\beta} \varphi_{\beta, \gamma} \subseteq S_{\alpha \beta} \varphi_{\alpha \beta, \gamma}$, if $\alpha+\beta \leq \gamma$.

On $S=\cup_{\alpha \in D} S_{\alpha}$, and $\cdot$ are defined as follows: For $a \in S_{\alpha}$ and $b \in S_{\beta}$,
(5) $a+b=a \varphi_{\alpha, \alpha+\beta}+b \varphi_{\beta, \alpha+\beta}$;
(6) $a b=\left(a \varphi_{\alpha, \alpha+\beta} b \varphi_{\beta, \alpha+\beta}\right) \varphi_{\alpha \beta, \alpha+\beta}^{-1}$.

With the above operations, $S$ is a semiring, and each $S_{\alpha}$ is a subsemiring of $S$. Write $S$ as [ $D ; S_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}$ ], and call it a strong distributive lattice $D$ of semirings $S_{\alpha}$ (see [2]).

A semiring is said to be additively regular if for each $a \in S$, there exists $a^{-1} \in S$ such that $a=a+a^{-1}+a$. For each element $a \in S$, let

$$
V^{+}(a)=\{x \in S: a+x+a=a, x+a+x=x\}
$$

be the set of inverse of $a$ in $S$. Let $S$ be a semiring, $A$ a subset of $S$. Then $A$ is said to satisfy the permutation identity if

$$
\left(\forall x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in S\right) x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{n}=x_{p_{1}}+x_{p_{2}}+\cdots+x_{p_{n}}
$$

where $\left(p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{n}\right)$ is a nontrivial permutation of $(12 \cdots n)$. [9] has given the orthodox semiring whose additive idempotents satisfy permutation identities and discussed the structure of such semirings.

After Yamada [8] has given a complete classification of inverse semigroups satisfying the same condition (called generalized inverse semigroups), Clifford has given the characterization of congruences on generalized semigroups [1]. In this paper, we will show that every congruence on an orthodox semiring whose additive idempotents satisfy permutation identities is uniquely determined by a congruence on its associated left normal band semiring, $d$-inverse semiring, and right normal band semiring. The converse also holds. Throughout this paper we shall use the terminology and notations of [4].

We first recall some results about band semirings.
Theorem 1.1 ([6]) A semiring $S$ is a rectangular band semiring if and only if $S$ is isomorphic to the direct product of a left zero band semiring and a left zero band semiring.

Theorem 1.2 ([6]) A semiring $S$ is a normal band semiring if and only if $S$ is a strong distributive lattice of rectangular band semirings.

Let $T$ be a $d$-inverse semiring whose distributive lattice of additive idempotents is $D, L=$ [ $\left.D ; L_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}\right]$ a strong distributive lattice of left zero band semirings $L_{\alpha}$ and $R=\left[D ; R_{\alpha}, \psi_{\alpha, \beta}\right]$ a strong distributive lattice of right zero band semirings $R_{\alpha}$. Let

$$
M=\left\{(e, a, f) \in L \times T \times R ; e \in L_{a+a^{-1}, a \in T, f \in R_{a^{-1}+a}}\right\} .
$$

Define addition "+" and multiplication "." as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(e, a, f)+(u, b, v) & =(e+g, a+b, h+v), \\
(e, a, f) \cdot(u, b, v) & =(e u, a b, f v),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g \in L_{a+b+(a+b)^{-1}}, h \in R_{(a+b)^{-1}+a+b}$. We denote $M$ by $Q S(L, T, R ; D)$. In [9], the author proved that $(M,+, \cdot)$ is an orthodox semiring.

Easily, we can prove the following lemma.
Lemma 1.3 Let $(e, a, f),(u, b, v) \in S=Q S(L, T, R ; D)$. Then
(1) $(e, a . f) \in E^{+}(S) \Longleftrightarrow a \in E^{+}(T)$,
(2) $(e, a, f) \stackrel{+}{\mathcal{L}}(u, b, v) \Longleftrightarrow a \stackrel{+}{\mathcal{L}} b, f=v$,
(3) $(e, a, f) \stackrel{+}{\mathcal{R}}(u, b, v) \Longleftrightarrow a \stackrel{+}{\mathcal{R}} b, e=u$.

## 2. The characterizations of congruence

Suppose that $S=Q S(L, T, R ; D)$, let $\rho$ be a congruence on $S$. Define the relation as follows:

$$
a \xi b \Longleftrightarrow(\exists(e, a, f),(u, b, v) \in S)(e, a, f) \rho(u, b, v) .
$$

Denote $\rho_{T}=\xi^{\infty}$, where $e \in L_{\alpha}, f \in R_{\alpha}, u \in L_{\beta}, v \in R_{\beta}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
e \rho_{L} u & \Longleftrightarrow\left(\exists s \in R_{\alpha}, t \in R_{\beta}\right)((e, \alpha, f)+(u, \beta, t)) \rho(u, \beta, t)((u, \beta, t)+(e, \alpha, s)) \rho(e, \alpha, s) \\
f \rho_{R} v & \Longleftrightarrow\left(\exists p \in L_{\alpha}, q \in L_{\beta}\right)((p, \alpha, f)+(q, \beta, v)) \rho(p, \alpha, f)((q, \beta, v)+(p, \alpha, f)) \rho(q, \beta, v)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition $2.1 \rho_{L}$ is a congruence on $L$ and $\rho_{R}$ is a congruence on $R$.
Proof It is easily seen that $\rho_{L}$ is reflexive and symmetric. Suppose $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3} \in L$ such that $e_{1} \rho_{L} e_{2}, e_{2} \rho_{L} e_{3}$. Then there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in D$ such that $e_{1} \in L_{\alpha}, e_{2} \in L_{\beta}, e_{3} \in L_{\gamma}, s_{1} \in$ $R_{\alpha}, s_{2}, s_{4} \in R_{\beta}, s_{3} \in R_{\gamma}$ satisfying:

$$
\left(\left(e_{1}, \alpha, s_{1}\right)+\left(e_{2}, \beta, s_{2}\right)\right) \rho\left(e_{2}, \beta, s_{2}\right), \quad\left(\left(e_{2}, \beta, s_{2}\right)+\left(e_{1}, \alpha, s_{1}\right)\right) \rho\left(e_{1}, \alpha, s_{1}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left(\left(e_{2}, \beta, s_{2}\right)+\left(e_{3}, \gamma, s_{3}\right)\right) \rho\left(e_{3}, \gamma, s_{3}\right), \quad\left(\left(e_{3}, \gamma, s_{3}\right)+\left(e_{2}, \beta, s_{2}\right)\right) \rho\left(e_{2}, \beta, s_{2}\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(e_{1}, \alpha, s_{1}\right)+\left(e_{3}, \gamma, s_{3}\right)\right) \rho\left(\left(e_{1}, \alpha, s_{1}\right)+\left(e_{2}, \beta, s_{2}\right)+\left(e_{3}, \gamma, s_{3}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\left(e_{1}, \alpha, s_{1}\right)+\left(e_{2}, \beta, s_{4}\right)+\left(e_{3}, \beta, s_{3}\right)\right) \rho\left(\left(e_{2}, \beta, s_{4}\right)+\left(e_{3}, \gamma, s_{3}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\left(e_{2}, \beta, s_{2}\right)+\left(e_{3}, \gamma, s_{3}\right)\right) \rho\left(e_{3}, \gamma, s_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we can prove $\left(\left(e_{3}, \gamma, s_{3}\right)+\left(e_{1}, \alpha, s_{1}\right)\right) \rho\left(e_{1}, \alpha, s_{1}\right)$.
Now, we can prove $\rho_{L}$ is compatible with addition and multiplication. Suppose that $e_{1} \rho_{L} e_{2}$. Then there exist $s \in L_{\gamma}, t \in R_{\gamma}, \gamma \in D$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(s & \left.+e_{1}, \gamma+\alpha, t+s_{1}\right)+\left(s+e_{2}, \gamma+\beta, t+s_{2}\right) \\
& =\left((s, \gamma, t)+\left(e_{1}, \alpha, s_{1}\right)+\left(e_{2}, \beta, s_{2}\right)\right) \rho\left((s, \gamma, t)+\left(e_{2}, \beta, s_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(s+e_{2}, \gamma+\beta, t+s_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, $\left(e_{1}+s, e_{2}+s\right) \in \rho_{T}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(s e_{1}, \gamma \alpha, t s_{1}\right)+\left(s e_{2}, \gamma \beta, t s_{2}\right) & =(s, \gamma, t)\left(\left(e_{1}, \alpha, s_{1}\right)+\left(e_{2}, \beta, s_{2}\right)\right) \rho(s, \gamma, t)\left(e_{2}, \beta, s_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(s e_{2}, \gamma \beta, t s_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, $\left(e_{1} s, e_{2} s\right) \in \rho_{L}$. So $\rho_{L}$ is a congruence on $L$. It is similar to prove $\rho_{R}$ is a congruence on $R$.

Proposition 2.2 Let $\rho$ be a congruence on $S$. Suppose $(e, a, f) \rho(u, b, v)$. Then
(1) $\left(\forall s \in R_{a+a^{-1}}, t \in R_{b+b^{-1}}\right)\left(\left(e, a+a^{-1}, s\right)+\left(u, b+b^{-1}, t\right)\right) \rho\left(u, b+b^{-1}, t\right)\left(\left(u, b+b^{-1}, t\right)+\right.$ $\left.\left(e, a+a^{-1}, s\right)\right) \rho\left(e, a+a^{-1}, s\right)$,
(2) $\left(\forall g \in L_{a^{-1}+a}, h \in L_{b^{-1}+b}\right)\left(\left(g, a^{-1}+a, f\right)+\left(h, b^{-1}+b, v\right)\right) \rho\left(g, a^{-1}+a, f\right)\left(\left(h, b^{-1}+\right.\right.$ $\left.b, v)+\left(g, a^{-1}+a, f\right)\right) \rho\left(h, b^{-1}+b, v\right)$.

Suppose $S$ ia an orthodox semiring. Then we can define a relation $\sigma$ on $S$ as follows:

$$
a \sigma b \text { if and only if } V^{+}(a)=V^{+}(b)
$$

In [9], the author proved that $\sigma$ is the minimum d-inverse semiring congruence. Now, we give another characterization of the congruence $\sigma$.

Proposition 2.3 Let $S$ be an orthodox semiring, $E^{+}(S)$ be a normal band semiring. Then

$$
\sigma=\left\{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid a=a+a^{-1}+b+a^{-1}+a, b=b+b^{-1}+a+b^{-1}+b\right\} .
$$

Proof If $x \sigma y$, then $V^{+}(x)=V^{+}(y)$. Suppose $x^{-1} \in V^{+}(x)\left(=V^{+}(y)\right)$, then

$$
x+x^{-1}+x=x, x^{-1}+x+x^{-1}=x^{-1}, y+x^{-1}+y=y, x^{-1}+y+x^{-1}=x^{-1}
$$

So

$$
x=x+x^{-1}+y+\left(x^{-1}+x\right), y=y+x^{-1}+x+x^{-1}+y .
$$

Conversely, if

$$
x=x+x^{-1}+y+x^{-1}+x, y=y+y^{-1}+x+y^{-1}+y .
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{-1} & =x^{-1}+x+x^{-1}=x^{-1}+x+x^{-1}+y+x^{-1}+x+x^{-1}=x^{-1}+y+x^{-1} . \\
y+x^{-1}+y & =y+y^{-1}+x+y^{-1}+y+x^{-1}+y+y^{-1}+x+y^{-1}+y \\
& =y+y^{-1}+x+y^{-1}+y+x^{-1}+x+x^{-1}+x+y^{-1}+y \\
& =y+y^{-1}+x+x^{-1}+x+y^{-1}+y+x^{-1}+x+y^{-1}+y \\
& =y+y^{-1}+x+y^{-1}+y=y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $x^{-1} \in V^{+}(y)$, and $V^{+}(y)=V^{+}(x)$ as required.
Using Proposition 2.3, we can easily prove the following proposition.
Proposition 2.4 Let $\rho$ be a congruence on $S$. Then $(\sigma \vee \rho) / \rho=\sigma / \rho$.
Proposition 2.5 The mapping: $\phi: S \rightarrow T$, defined by $(e, a, f) \phi=a$ is a homomorphism and $\sigma=\operatorname{ker} \phi$.

Proof It is clear that $\phi$ is a homomorphism. Since $T$ is a $d$-inverse semiring, it follows from Proposition 2.3 that $\sigma \subseteq$ ker $\phi$. To show the reverse inclusion, suppose that $(e, a, f) \phi=(u, b, v) \phi$,
then $a=b$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(e, a, f) & =\left(e, a+a^{-1}, s\right)+(u, b, v)+\left(t, a^{-1}+a, f\right) \\
& =(e, a, f)+\left(e, a^{-1}, f\right)+(u, b, v)+\left(e, a^{-1}, f\right)+(e, a, f) \\
& =(e, a, f)+(e, a, f)^{-1}+(u, b, v)+(e, a, f)^{-1}+(e, a, f) \\
(u, b, v) & =\left(u, b+b^{-1}, p\right)+(e, a, f)+\left(q, b^{-1}+b, v\right) \\
& =(u, b, v)+\left(u, b^{-1}, v\right)+(e, a, f)+\left(u, b^{-1}, v\right)+(u, b, v) \\
& =(u, b, v)+(u, b, v)^{-1}+(e, a, f)+(u, b, v)^{-1}+(u, b, v)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $(e, a, f) \sigma(u, b, v)$. So $\sigma=\operatorname{ker} \phi$.
Proposition 2.6 Let $(e, a, f),(u, b, v) \in S$, and $\rho$ be a congruence on $S$. Then $(e, a, f) \sigma / \rho$ $(u, b, v)$ if and only if $a \rho_{T} b$.

Proof If $(e, a, f) \sigma / \rho(u, b, v)$, then it follows from Proposition 2.4 that

$$
(e, a, f) \rho\left(e_{1}, a_{1}, f_{1}\right) \sigma\left(e_{2}, a_{2}, f_{2}\right) \rho \cdots \sigma\left(e_{2 n}, a_{2 n}, f_{2 n}\right) \rho(u, b, v)
$$

for some $\left(e_{1}, a_{1}, f_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(e_{2 n}, a_{2 n}, f_{2 n}\right)$ in $S$. That is,

$$
a \rho_{T} a_{1}, a_{2} \rho_{T} a_{3}, \ldots, a_{2 n} \rho_{T} b
$$

and

$$
a_{1}=a_{2}, a_{3}=a_{4}, \ldots, a_{2 n-1}=a_{2 n}
$$

Hence $a \rho_{T} b$.
Conversely, if $a \rho_{T} b$, there exist $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 n} \in T$ such that $a=a_{0} \rho_{T} a_{1} \rho_{T} a_{2} \cdots \rho_{T} a_{2 n} \rho_{T} a_{2 n+1}=$ b. So we have

$$
\left(u_{i}, a_{i}, v_{i}\right) \rho\left(e_{i}, a_{i}, f_{i}\right)
$$

for some $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 n+1} ; u_{0}, \ldots, u_{2 n} \in L, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{2 n+1} ; v_{0}, \ldots, v_{2 n} \in R$, where $i=0,1, \ldots, 2 n$. From Lemma 2.5,

$$
(e, a, f) \sigma\left(u_{0}, a_{0}, v_{0}\right) \rho\left(e_{1}, a_{1}, f_{1}\right) \sigma \cdots \rho\left(e_{2 n+1}, a_{2 n+1}, f_{2 n+1}\right) \sigma(u, b, v)
$$

Thus, $(e, a, f) \rho \vee \sigma(u, b, v)$. So $(e, a, f) \sigma / \rho(u, b, v)$.
Corollary $2.7 \rho_{T}$ is a congruence on $T$.
Theorem 2.8 If $\rho$ is a congruence on $S$, and $(e, a, f),(u, b, v) \in S$, then $(e, a, f) \rho(u, b, v)$ if and only if $e \rho_{L} u, a \rho_{T} b, f \rho_{R} v$.

Proof If $(e, a, f) \rho(u, b, v)$, by Proposition 2.2 and definitions of $\rho_{L}, \rho_{T}, \rho_{R}$, it is easy to see that $e \rho_{L} u, a \rho_{T} b$ and $f \rho_{R} v$.

Conversely, if $(e, a, f),(u, b, v) \in S$ satisfy $e \rho_{L} u, a \rho_{T} b$ and $f \rho_{R} v$, then from Propositions 2.2 and 2.6, there exist $s \in R_{a+a^{-1}}, t \in L_{a^{-1}+a}$ such that

$$
(x, a, y) \rho\left(\left(x, a+a^{-1}, s\right)+(p, b, q)+\left(t, a^{-1}+a, y\right)\right)
$$

where $(x, a, y),(p, b, q) \in S$.
On the other hand, by the definitions of $\rho_{L}$ and $\rho_{R}$, for each $g \in R_{a+a^{-1}}, l \in L_{a^{-1}+a}, h \in$ $R_{b+b^{-1}}, k \in L_{b^{-1}+b}$, then $\left(\left(e, a+a^{-1}, g\right)+\left(u, b+b^{-1}, h\right)\right) \rho\left(u, b+b^{-1}, h\right),\left(\left(k, b^{-1}+b, v\right)+\left(l, a^{-1}+a, f\right)\right) \rho\left(k, b^{-1}+b, v\right)$.

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
(e, a, f)= & \left(\left(e, a+a^{-1}, g\right)+(x, a, y)+\left(l, a^{-1}+a, f\right)\right) \rho\left(\left(e, a+a^{-1}, g\right)+\left(x, a+a^{-1}, s\right)\right. \\
& \left.(p, b, q)+\left(t, a^{-1}+a, y\right)+\left(l, a^{-1}+a, f\right)\right) \\
= & \left(e, a+a^{-1}, g\right)+\left(p, b+b^{-1}, h\right)+(u, b, v)+\left(k, b^{-1}+b, q\right)+\left(l, a^{-1}+a, f\right) \\
= & \left(\left(e, a+a^{-1}, g\right)+\left(u, b+b^{-1}, h\right)+(u, b, v)+\left(k, b^{-1}+b, v\right)+\left(l, a^{-1}+a, f\right)\right) \rho \\
& \left(\left(u, b+b^{-1}, h\right)+(u, b, v)+\left(k, b^{-1}+b, v\right)\right) \\
= & (u, b, v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we can give the definition of congruence triple on orthodox semirings whose additive idempotents satisfy permutation identities.

Definition 2.9 Let $S=Q S(L, T, R ; D)$, $\rho_{T}$ a congruence on $T, \rho_{L}$ and $\rho_{R}$ the congruences on $L$ and $R$ respectively satisfying $\left.\rho_{T}\right|_{D}=\rho_{L_{D}}=\rho_{R_{D}}$, where $\rho_{L_{D}}=\left\{(\alpha, \beta) \in D \times D \mid\left(\exists e \in L_{\alpha}, u, v \in\right.\right.$ $\left.L_{\alpha+\beta}, f \in L_{\beta}\right) e \rho_{L} u$ and $\left.v \rho_{L} f\right\}, \rho_{R_{D}}=\left\{(\alpha, \beta) \in D \times D \mid\left(\exists e \in R_{\alpha}, u, v \in R_{\alpha+\beta}, f \in R_{\beta}\right) e \rho_{R} u\right.$ and $\left.v \rho_{R} f\right\}$. Then $\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{T}, \rho_{R}\right)$ is said to be a congruence triple on $S$. Define a relation $\rho_{\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{T}, \rho_{R}\right)}$ as follows:

$$
(e, a, f) \rho_{\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{T}, \rho_{R}\right)}(u, b, v) \Longleftrightarrow e \rho_{L} u, a \rho_{T} b, f \rho_{R} v
$$

Proposition 2.10 Let $\rho_{L}$ be a congruence on a left normal band semiring $L=\left[D ; L_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}\right]$. If $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in D, \gamma \leq \alpha, \beta$, and $\alpha \rho_{L} \beta$, then for each $e \in L_{\gamma},\left(e+\gamma+\alpha+(\gamma+\alpha)^{-1}\right) \rho_{L}\left(e+\gamma+\beta+\left(\gamma+\beta^{-1}\right)\right)$.

Theorem 2.11 Let $S=Q S(L, T, R ; D)$. Then there exist congruences $\rho_{L}, \rho_{T}$ and $\rho_{R}$ on $L, T$ and $R$ respectively such that $\rho_{\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{T}, \rho_{R}\right)}$ is the unique congruence which induces $\rho_{L}, \rho_{T}$ and $\rho_{R}$.

Conversely, for each congruence $\rho, \rho_{L}, \rho_{T}$ and $\rho_{R}$ can be defined as above, then $\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{T}, \rho_{R}\right)$ is the unique congruence triple on $S$ satisfying $\rho_{\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{T}, \rho_{R}\right)}=\rho$.

Proof Denote $\rho=\rho_{\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{T}, \rho_{R}\right)}$. We immediately see $\rho$ is an equivalence relation on $S$. If $(e, a, f) \rho(u, b, v)$, then $e \rho_{L} u, a \rho_{T} b, f \rho_{R} v$. Since $\rho_{T}$ is a congruence on $T$, then for each $(i, x, j) \in S$, we have $(x+a) \rho_{T}(x+b)$ and

$$
\left(x+a+(x+a)^{-1}\right) \rho_{T}\left(x+b+(x+b)^{-1}\right),\left((x+a)^{-1}+x+a\right) \rho_{T}\left((x+b)^{-1}+x+b\right)
$$

Following the definition of congruence triple and Proposition 2.10, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(i+x+a+(x+a)^{-1}\right) \rho_{L}\left(i+x+b+(x+b)^{-1}\right) \\
& \left(i+(x+a)^{-1}+x+a\right) \rho_{L}\left(i+(x+b)^{-1}+x+b\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
(i, x, j)+(e, a, f)=\left(i+x+a+(x+a)^{-1}, x+a,(x+a)^{-1}+x+a+f\right) \rho
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(i+x+b+(x+b)^{-1}, x+b,(x+b)^{-1}+x+b+v\right) \\
= & (i, x, j)+(u, b, v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
((e, a, f)+(i, x, j)) \rho((u, b, v)+(i, x, j)) .
$$

At the same time, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (i, x, j)(e, a, f)=(i e, x a, j f) \rho(i u, x b, j v)=(i, x, j)(u, b, v), \\
& (e, a, f)(i, x, j)=(e i, a x, f j) \rho(u i, b x, v j)=(u, b, v)(i, x, j)
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\rho$ is a congruence on $S$.
Conversely, following Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 2.7, we just need to prove ( $\rho_{L}, \rho_{T}, \rho_{R}$ ) satisfies the condition of Definition 2.9. If $\alpha, \beta \in D, a \rho_{T} b$, from the Propositions 2.3 and 2.6, there exist $(e, \alpha, f),(t, \alpha, s),(u, \beta, v),(p, \beta, q) \in S$ such that

$$
(e, \alpha, f) \rho((e, \alpha, s)+(u, \beta, v)+(t, \alpha, f)),(u, \beta, v) \rho((u, \beta, q)+(e, \alpha, f)+(p, \beta, v))
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (e, \alpha, f) \rho\left(e+\alpha+\beta+(\alpha+\beta)^{-1}, \alpha+\beta,(\alpha+\beta)^{-1}+\alpha+\beta+f\right) \\
& (u, \beta, v) \rho\left(u+\beta+\alpha+(\beta+\alpha)^{-1}, \beta+\alpha,(\beta+\alpha)^{-1}+\beta+\alpha+v\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $e \rho_{L}\left(e+\alpha+\beta+(\alpha+\beta)^{-1}\right), u \rho_{L}\left(u+\beta+\alpha+(\beta+\alpha)^{-1}\right), f \rho_{R}\left((\alpha+\beta)^{-1}+\alpha+\beta+f\right)$, $v \rho_{R}\left((\beta+\alpha)^{-1}+\beta+\alpha+v\right)$. Therefore, $\alpha \rho_{L_{D}} \beta$ and $\alpha \rho_{R_{D}} \beta$.

Secondly, if $\alpha \rho_{L_{D}} \beta$, then there exist $e \in L_{\alpha}, u, v \in L_{\alpha+\beta}, f \in L_{\beta}$ such that $e \rho_{L} u, v \rho_{L} f$. Hence, for some $s \in R_{\alpha}, p \in R_{\beta}, t, q \in R_{\alpha+\beta}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ((e, \alpha, s)+(u, \alpha+\beta, t)) \rho(u, \alpha+\beta, t),((u, \alpha+\beta, t)+(e, \alpha, s)) \rho(e, \alpha, s) \\
& ((f, \beta, p)+(v, \alpha+\beta, q)) \rho(v, \alpha+\beta, q),((v, \alpha+\beta, q)+(f, \beta, p)) \rho(f, \beta, p)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (f, \beta, p) \rho[(f, \beta, p)+(v, \alpha+\beta, q)+(f, \beta, p)] \\
& \quad=((f, \beta, p)+(u, \alpha+\beta, t)+(f, \beta, p)) \rho((f, \beta, p)+(e, \alpha, s)+(u, \alpha+\beta, t)+(f, \beta, p)) \\
& \quad=((f, \beta, p)+(e, \alpha, s)+(v, \alpha+\beta, q)+(f, \beta, p)) \rho((f, \beta, p)+(e, \alpha, s)+(f, \beta, p))
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, $(e, \alpha, s) \rho((e, \alpha, s)+(f, \beta, p)+(e, \alpha, s))$. That is, $\alpha \rho_{T} \beta,\left.\rho_{T}\right|_{D}=\rho_{L_{D}}$. It is similar to prove $\left.\rho_{T}\right|_{D}=\rho_{R_{D}}$.

## 3. The characterization of homomorphism

Based on Theorem 3.3 ([9]), it is easy to prove that additive idempotents of the homomorphism image of an orthodox semiring still satisfy permutation identities. Now we give the characterization of homomorphism image of an orthodox semiring whose additive idempotents still satisfy permutation identities.

Theorem 3.1 Let $S=Q S(L, T, R ; D), \rho_{\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{T}, \rho_{R}\right)}$ be a congruence on $S$ and $\rho=\rho_{\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{T}, \rho_{R}\right)}$. Then

$$
S / \rho=Q S\left(L / \rho_{L}, T / \rho_{T}, R / \rho_{R} ; D /\left.\rho_{T}\right|_{D}\right)
$$

where for each $A \in D /\left.\rho_{T}\right|_{D}, L_{A}=\cup_{\alpha \in A} L_{\alpha}, \rho_{L_{A}}=\left.\rho_{L}\right|_{A}, R_{A}=\cup_{\alpha \in A} R_{\alpha}, \rho_{R_{A}}=\left.\rho_{R}\right|_{A}$ and each $A, B \in D /\left.\rho_{T}\right|_{D}, A \leq B, \alpha \in A, \beta \in B, \alpha \leq \beta, i \in L_{\alpha}, j \in L_{\beta}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{\varphi}_{A, B}: L_{A} / \rho_{L_{A}} \longrightarrow L_{B} / \rho_{L_{B}} \\
i \rho_{L} \longmapsto\left(i \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}\right) \rho_{L} \\
\bar{\psi}_{A, B}: R_{A} / \rho_{R_{A}} \longrightarrow R_{B} / \rho_{R_{B}} \\
j \rho_{R} \longmapsto\left(j \psi_{\alpha, \beta}\right) \rho_{R} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof Let $A, B \in D /\left.\rho_{T}\right|_{D}, A \leq B, \alpha \in A, \beta \in B$. Then $\alpha \leq \alpha+\beta \in B$. Suppose $\alpha \leq \beta$, if $\gamma \in A, \delta \in B, \gamma \leq \delta, i \in L_{\alpha}, j \in L_{\gamma}$ such that $i \rho_{L} j$. From Proposition 2.10, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(i+\alpha+\beta+\delta+(\alpha+\beta+\delta)^{-1}\right) \rho_{L}\left(j+\gamma+\beta+\delta+(\gamma+\beta+\delta)^{-1}\right) \\
\quad\left(i+\alpha+\beta+(\alpha+\beta)^{-1}\right) \rho_{L}\left(i+\alpha+\beta+\delta+(\alpha+\beta+\delta)^{-1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left(j+\gamma+\delta+(\gamma+\delta)^{-1}\right) \rho_{L}\left(j+\gamma+\beta+\delta+(\gamma+\beta+\delta)^{-1}\right)
$$

So $\left(i+\alpha+\beta+(\alpha+\beta)^{-1}\right) \rho_{L}\left(j+\gamma+\delta+(\gamma+\delta)^{-1}\right)$. Therefore, $\bar{\varphi}_{A, B}$ is well-defined. Similarly, $\bar{\psi}_{A, B}$ is well-defined.

Clearly, $L / \rho_{L}=\left[D /\left.\rho_{T}\right|_{D}, L_{A} / \rho_{L_{A}}, \bar{\varphi}_{A, B}\right]$ and $R / \rho_{R}=\left[D /\left.\rho_{T}\right|_{D}, R_{A} / \rho_{R_{A}}, \bar{\psi}_{A, B}\right]$ are left normal band semiring and right normal band semiring, respectively. Since $\rho_{T}$ is a congruence, $T / \rho_{T}$ is a $d$-inverse semiring. Let $\bar{S}=Q S\left(L / \rho_{L}, T / \rho_{T}, R / \rho_{R} ; D /\left.\rho_{T}\right|_{D}\right)$. We define a mapping $\theta$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta: S & \longrightarrow \bar{S} \\
(e, a, f) & \longmapsto\left(e \rho_{L}, a \rho_{T}, f \rho_{R}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $(e, a, f),(u, b, v) \in S$, let $A=\left.\left(a+a^{-1}\right) \rho_{T}\right|_{D}, B=\left.\left(a+b+(a+b)^{-1}\right) \rho_{T}\right|_{D}$, $C=\left.\left(b^{-1}+b\right) \rho_{T}\right|_{D}, D=\left.\left((a+b)^{-1}+a+b\right) \rho_{T}\right|_{D}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
((e, a, f)+(u, b, v)) \theta= & \left(e+a+b+(a+b)^{-1}, a+b,(a+b)^{-1}+a+b+v\right) \theta \\
= & \left.\left(\left(e+a+b+(a+b)^{-1}\right) \theta,(a+b) \theta,(a+b)^{-1}+a+b+v\right) \theta\right) \\
= & \left.\left(\left(e+a+b+(a+b)^{-1}\right) \rho_{L},(a+b) \rho_{T},(a+b)^{-1}+a+b+v\right) \rho_{R}\right) \\
= & \left(e \rho_{L}+(a+b) \rho_{L}+(a+b)^{-1} \rho_{L}, a \rho_{T}+b \rho_{T},(a+b)^{-1} \rho_{R}+\right. \\
& \left.(a+b) \rho_{R}+v \rho_{R}\right) \\
= & \left(e \rho_{L}, a \rho_{T}, f \rho_{R}\right)+\left(u \rho_{L}, b \rho_{T}, v \rho_{R}\right) \\
= & (e, a, f) \theta+(u, b, v) \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
[(e, a, f)(u, b, v)] \theta=(e u, a b, f v) \theta=((e u) \theta,(a b) \theta,(f v) \theta)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left((e u) \rho_{L},(a b) \rho_{T},(f v) \rho_{R}\right)=\left(e \rho_{L}, a \rho_{T}, f \rho_{R}\right)\left(u \rho_{L}, b \rho_{T}, v \rho_{R}\right) \\
& =(e, a, f) \theta(u, b, v) \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\theta$ is a homomorphism.
If $\left(i \rho_{L}, a \rho_{T}, j \rho_{R}\right) \in \bar{S}$, where $i \in L_{\alpha}, j \in R_{\beta}$, then $\alpha \rho_{T}\left(a+a^{-1}\right), \beta \rho_{T}\left(a^{-1}+a\right)$. So $(\alpha+$ a) $\rho_{T} a,(a+\beta) \rho_{T} a$ and $\left(\alpha+a+\beta+(\alpha+a+\beta)^{-1}\right) \rho_{T}\left(a+a^{-1}\right),\left((\alpha+a+\beta)^{-1}+\alpha+a+\beta\right) \rho_{T}\left(a^{-1}+a\right)$, $(\alpha+a+\beta) \rho_{T} a$. Hence,

$$
(i, a, j) \rho\left(i+\alpha+a+\beta+(\alpha+a+\beta)^{-1}, \alpha+a+\beta,(\alpha+a+\beta)^{-1}+\alpha+a+\beta+j\right)
$$

Then,

$$
i \rho_{L}\left(i+\alpha+a+\beta+(\alpha+a+\beta)^{-1}\right), j \rho_{R}\left((\alpha+a+\beta)^{-1}+\alpha+a+\beta+j\right)
$$

So

$$
\left(i+\alpha+a+\beta+(\alpha+a+\beta)^{-1}, \alpha+a+\beta,(\alpha+a+\beta)^{-1}+\alpha+a+\beta+j\right) \in S
$$

and

$$
\left(i+\alpha+a+\beta+(\alpha+a+\beta)^{-1}, \alpha+a+\beta,(\alpha+a+\beta)^{-1}+\alpha+a+\beta+j\right) \theta=\left(i \rho_{L}, a \rho_{T}, j \rho_{R}\right)
$$

Thus, $\theta$ is a homomorphism.
It is easily seen $\operatorname{ker} \theta=\rho$. Therefore, $S / \rho \cong \bar{S}=Q S\left(L / \rho_{L}, T / \rho_{T}, R / \rho_{R} ; D /\left.\rho_{T}\right|_{D}\right)$.
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