

Generalized Stability of Multi-Quadratic Mappings

Peisheng JI^{1,*}, Weiqing QI², Xiaojing ZHAN¹

1. College of Mathematics, Qingdao University, Shandong 266071, P. R. China;
2. College of Information Engineering, Qingdao University, Shandong 266071, P. R. China

Abstract In this paper we unify the system of functional equations defining multi-quadratic mappings to a single equation, find out the general solution of it and prove its generalized Hyers-Ulam stability.

Keywords Hyers-Ulam stability; multi-quadratic mapping; functional equation.

MR(2010) Subject Classification 39B52; 39B82

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let X and Y be vector spaces over \mathcal{Q} , the field of rational numbers, and $n \geq 2$ be an integer. A mapping $g : X \longrightarrow Y$ is called quadratic if g satisfies the functional equation $g(x+y) + g(x-y) = 2g(x) + 2g(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

A mapping $f : X^n \longrightarrow Y$ is called multi-quadratic or n -quadratic if it is quadratic in each variable; that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i + x'_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) + f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i - x'_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \\ &= 2f(x_1, \dots, x_n) + 2f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x'_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and all $x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x'_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n \in X$.

For a mapping $f : X^n \longrightarrow Y$, consider the functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{0,1\}} f(x_{11} + (-1)^{i_1} x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1} + (-1)^{i_n} x_{n2}) \\ &= 2^n \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \{1,2\}} f(x_{1j_1}, \dots, x_{nj_n}) \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

for all $x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2} \in X$.

In this paper we reduce system (1.1) to equation (1.2), establish the general solution of (1.2) and prove its generalized Hyers-Ulam stability.

The theory of Hyers-Ulam's type stability is a very popular subject of investigations. For the historical background on it, we refer to [1, 2] and the references therein. Recently, some

Received April 17, 2013; Accepted November 12, 2013

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10971117).

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: jipeish@sina.com (Peisheng JI)

mathematicians established the general solution and investigated the stability of some multivariable functional equations. In particular, Ciepliński [3] established the solution of multi-additive functional equation, and the stability of it was proved in [4, 5]. In [6], Prager and Schwaiger got the solution of multi-Jensen equation, and the stability of it was recently investigated in [7–12].

The stability of 2-quadratic mappings were studied in [13]. In [14], K. Ciepliński proved the stability of the system (1.1) of equations defining the multi-quadratic mappings. So the result of this paper generalizes the result of [13].

2. Solutions of Eq. (1.2)

We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 *A function $f : X^n \longrightarrow Y$ satisfies (1.2) for all $x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2} \in X$ if and only if f is n -quadratic.*

Proof Assume that $f : X^n \longrightarrow Y$ satisfies (1.2). First, we use induction to show that $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ for any $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ with at least one component which is equal to zero.

Putting $x_{11} = x_{12} = \dots = x_{n1} = x_{n2} = 0$ in (1.2), we get

$$2^n f(0, \dots, 0) = 2^n \times 2^n f(0, \dots, 0),$$

and consequently $f(0, \dots, 0) = 0$.

Now we assume that $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ for any $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ with at most i ($0 \leq i \leq n-2$) components which are not equal to zero. Fix $1 \leq k_1 \leq \dots \leq k_{i+1} \leq n$ and put $x_{j2} = 0$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $x_{j1} = 0$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{k_1, \dots, k_{i+1}\}$. By assumption, we have $f(0, \dots, x_{k_1 j_{k_1}}, 0, \dots, x_{k_{i+1} j_{k_{i+1}}}, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$ if $j_{k_1}, \dots, j_{k_{i+1}} \in \{1, 2\}$ and at least one is 2. Then, by (1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^n f(0, \dots, x_{k_1 1}, 0, \dots, x_{k_{i+1} 1}, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &= 2^n (2^{n-i-1} f(0, \dots, x_{k_1 1}, 0, \dots, x_{k_{i+1} 1}, 0, \dots, 0) + \\ & \quad 2^{n-i-1} \sum \{f(0, \dots, x_{k_1 j_{k_1}}, 0, \dots, x_{k_{i+1} j_{k_{i+1}}}, 0, \dots, 0) : \\ & \quad j_{k_1}, \dots, j_{k_{i+1}} \in \{1, 2\} \text{ and at least one is } 2\}), \end{aligned}$$

and thus $f(0, \dots, x_{k_1 1}, 0, \dots, x_{k_{i+1} 1}, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$. By induction, we have $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ for any $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ with at least one component which is equal to zero.

Next, fix an $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Putting $x_{j2} = 0$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$ in (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{n-1} f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{(i-1)1}, x_{i1} + x_{i2}, x_{(i+1)1}, \dots, x_{n1}) + \\ & 2^{n-1} f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{(i-1)1}, x_{i1} - x_{i2}, x_{(i+1)1}, \dots, x_{n1}) \\ &= 2^n \left(\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_n \in \{1, 2\}} f(x_{1j_1}, \dots, x_{(i-1)j_{i-1}}, x_{i1}, x_{(i+1)j_{i+1}}, \dots, x_{nj_n}) + \right. \\ & \quad \left. \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_n \in \{1, 2\}} f(x_{1j_1}, \dots, x_{(i-1)j_{i-1}}, x_{i2}, x_{(i+1)j_{i+1}}, \dots, x_{nj_n}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= 2^n (f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{(i-1)1}, x_{i1}, x_{(i+1)1}, \dots, x_{n1}) + f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{(i-1)1}, x_{i2}, x_{(i+1)1}, \dots, x_{n1})).$$

Thus $f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{(i-1)1}, x_{i1} + x_{i2}, x_{(i+1)1}, \dots, x_{n1}) + f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{(i-1)1}, x_{i1} - x_{i2}, x_{(i+1)1}, \dots, x_{n1}) = 2(f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{(i-1)1}, x_{i1}, x_{(i+1)1}, \dots, x_{n1}) + f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{(i-1)1}, x_{i2}, x_{(i+1)1}, \dots, x_{n1}))$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and all $x_{11}, \dots, x_{(i-1)1}, x_{i1}, x_{i2}, x_{(i+1)1}, \dots, x_{n1} \in X$, which proves that f is multi-quadratic. The rest of the proof is clear.

Theorem 2.2 A function $f : X^n \longrightarrow Y$ satisfies Eq. (1.2) for all $x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2} \in X$ if and only if there exists a function $F : X^{2n} \longrightarrow Y$ such that $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = F(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_n)$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$, and F is additive in each variable and is symmetric about the $(2i-1)$ th variable and $2i$ th variable for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof If there exists a function $F : X^{2n} \longrightarrow Y$ such that $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = F(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_n)$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$, and F is additive in each variable and is symmetric about the $(2i-1)$ th variable and $2i$ th variable for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then it is obvious that f satisfies Eq. (1.2).

Conversely, we define a function $F : X^{2n} \longrightarrow Y$ by

$$\begin{aligned} & F(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4^n} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \{0,1\}} (-1)^{j_1 + \dots + j_n} f(x_{11} + (-1)^{j_1} x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1} + (-1)^{j_n} x_{n2}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2} \in X$. Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $x_{kj_k}, k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}, j_k \in \{1, 2\}$. Since f is quadratic in the i th variable, we see from [15, pp. 165–178] that the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} & g_{x_{11} + (-1)^{j_1} x_{12}, \dots, x_{(i-1)1} + (-1)^{j_{i-1}} x_{(i-1)2}, x_{(i+1)1} + (-1)^{j_{i+1}} x_{(i+1)2}, \dots, x_{n1} + (-1)^{j_n} x_{n2}}(x_{i1}, x_{i2}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (f(x_{11} + (-1)^{j_1} x_{12}, \dots, x_{(i-1)1} + (-1)^{j_{i-1}} x_{(i-1)2}, \\ & \quad x_{i1} + x_{i2}, x_{(i+1)1} + (-1)^{j_{i+1}} x_{(i+1)2}, \dots, x_{n1} + (-1)^{j_n} x_{n2}) - \\ & \quad f(x_{11} + (-1)^{j_1} x_{12}, \dots, x_{(i-1)1} + (-1)^{j_{i-1}} x_{(i-1)2}, \\ & \quad x_{i1} - x_{i2}, x_{(i+1)1} + (-1)^{j_{i+1}} x_{(i+1)2}, \dots, x_{n1} + (-1)^{j_n} x_{n2})) \end{aligned}$$

is additive in each variable and symmetric about x_{i1} and x_{i2} . Thus the function $F : X^{2n} \longrightarrow Y$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & F(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2}) = \frac{1}{4^{n-1}} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, j_{i+1}, j_n \in \{0,1\}} (-1)^{j_1 + \dots + j_{i-1} + j_{i+1} + \dots + j_n} \\ & \quad g_{x_{11} + (-1)^{j_1} x_{12}, \dots, x_{(i-1)1} + (-1)^{j_{i-1}} x_{(i-1)2}, x_{(i+1)1} + (-1)^{j_{i+1}} x_{(i+1)2}, \dots, x_{n1} + (-1)^{j_n} x_{n2}}(x_{i1}, x_{i2}), \end{aligned}$$

is additive in each variable and symmetric about x_{i1} and x_{i2} , i.e., $F(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2}) = F(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{i2}, x_{i1}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2})$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Since f is quadratic in each variable, we have $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ for any $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ with at least one component which is equal to zero, and $f(2x_1, \dots, 2x_n) = 4^n f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Choosing $x_{i1} = x_{i2}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ in Eq. (2.1), we get

$$F(x_{11}, x_{11}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n1}) = \frac{1}{4^n} f(2x_{11}, \dots, 2x_{n1}) +$$

$$\frac{1}{4^n} \sum \{f(x_{11} + (-1)^{j_1} x_{11}, \dots, x_{n1} + (-1)^{j_n} x_{n1}) : j_1, \dots, j_n \in \{0, 1\},$$

and at least one is 1 $\} = \frac{1}{4^n} f(2x_{11}, \dots, 2x_{n1}) = f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{n1}).$

3. Stability of Eq. (1.2): The direct method

From now on, let X and Y be vector space and Banach space, respectively.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $\phi : X^{2n} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that*

$$\tilde{\phi}(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^{n(k+1)}} \phi(2^k x_{11}, 2^k x_{12}, \dots, 2^k x_{n1}, 2^k x_{n2}) < \infty \quad (3.1)$$

for all $x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2} \in X$. Suppose that a function $f : X^n \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the inequality

$$\left\| \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{0, 1\}} f(x_{11} + (-1)^{i_1} x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1} + (-1)^{i_n} x_{n2}) - 2^n \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \{1, 2\}} f(x_{1j_1}, \dots, x_{nj_n}) \right\| \leq \phi(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2}) \quad (3.2)$$

for all $x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2} \in X$ and $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ for any $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ with at least one component which is equal to zero. Then there exists a unique multi-quadratic function $Q : X^n \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x_1, \dots, x_n) - Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \tilde{\phi}(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_n) \quad (3.3)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$.

Proof Choosing $x_{i1} = x_{i2} = x_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and dividing by 4^n in Eq. (3.2), we have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{4^n} f(2x_1, \dots, 2x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4^n} \phi(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_n)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$, using the assumption $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ for any $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ with at least one component which is equal to zero. Replacing x_i by $2^k x_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, respectively, and dividing by 4^{nk} in the above inequality, we get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{4^{n(k+1)}} f(2^{k+1} x_1, \dots, 2^{k+1} x_n) - \frac{1}{4^{nk}} f(2^k x_1, \dots, 2^k x_n) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4^{n(k+1)}} \phi(2^k x_1, 2^k x_1, \dots, 2^k x_n, 2^k x_n)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{4^{nm}} f(2^m x_1, \dots, 2^m x_n) - \frac{1}{4^{nk}} f(2^k x_1, \dots, 2^k x_n) \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=k}^{m-1} \frac{1}{4^{n(i+1)}} \phi(2^i x_1, 2^i x_1, \dots, 2^i x_n, 2^i x_n) \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

for all nonnegative integers k and m with $k < m$ and all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$. Therefore we conclude from (3.1) and (3.4) that $\{\frac{1}{4^{nk}} f(2^k x_1, \dots, 2^k x_n)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y . Since Y is a Banach space, this sequence is convergent. We define $Q : X^n \rightarrow Y$ by

$$Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^{nk}} f(2^k x_1, \dots, 2^k x_n)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$. It follows from (3.2) and (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{0,1\}} Q(x_{11} + (-1)^{i_1} x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1} + (-1)^{i_n} x_{n2}) - 2^n \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \{1,2\}} Q(x_{1j_1}, \dots, x_{nj_n}) \right\| \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^{nk}} \left| \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{0,1\}} f(2^k x_{11} + (-1)^{i_1} 2^k x_{12}, \dots, 2^k x_{n1} + (-1)^{i_n} 2^k x_{n2}) - \right. \\ & \quad \left. 2^n \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \{1,2\}} f(2^k x_{1j_1}, \dots, 2^k x_{nj_n}) \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^{nk}} \phi(2^k x_{11}, 2^k x_{12}, \dots, 2^k x_{n1}, 2^k x_{n2}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2} \in X$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1, Q is multi-quadratic.

Choosing $k = 0$ and letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.4), we obtain

$$\|Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^{n(i+1)}} \phi(2^i x_1, 2^i x_1, \dots, 2^i x_n, 2^i x_n) = \tilde{\phi}(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_n)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$.

It remains to show that Q is unique. Suppose that there exists another multi-quadratic function $\tilde{Q} : X^n \rightarrow Y$ which satisfies (3.3). Since $Q(2^k x_1, \dots, 2^k x_n) = 4^{nk} Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\tilde{Q}(2^k x_1, \dots, 2^k x_n) = 4^{nk} \tilde{Q}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{Q}(x_1, \dots, x_n) - Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| = \frac{1}{4^{nk}} \|\tilde{Q}(2^k x_1, \dots, 2^k x_n) - Q(2^k x_1, \dots, 2^k x_n)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4^{nk}} (\|\tilde{Q}(2^k x_1, \dots, 2^k x_n) - f(2^k x_1, \dots, 2^k x_n)\| + \|f(2^k x_1, \dots, 2^k x_n) - Q(2^k x_1, \dots, 2^k x_n)\|) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{4^{nk}} \tilde{\phi}(2^k x_1, 2^k x_1, \dots, 2^k x_n, 2^k x_n) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^{n(k+i+1)}} \phi(2^{k+i} x_1, 2^{k+i} x_1, \dots, 2^{k+i} x_n, 2^{k+i} x_n) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^{n(i+1)}} \phi(2^i x_1, 2^i x_1, \dots, 2^i x_n, 2^i x_n) \end{aligned}$$

for every nonnegative integer k and all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$. Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in this inequality, we have $\tilde{Q}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$, which gives the conclusion.

Similarly, one can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2 Let $\phi : X^{2n} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$\tilde{\phi}(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 4^{nk} \phi\left(\frac{x_{11}}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x_{12}}{2^{k+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_{n1}}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x_{n2}}{2^{k+1}}\right) < \infty$$

for all $x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2} \in X$. Suppose that a function $f : X^n \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{0,1\}} f(x_{11} + (-1)^{i_1} x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1} + (-1)^{i_n} x_{n2}) - \right. \\ & \quad \left. 2^n \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \{1,2\}} f(x_{1j_1}, \dots, x_{nj_n}) \right\| \leq \phi(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2} \in X$ and $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ for any $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ with at least one component which is equal to zero. Then there exists a unique multi-quadratic function $Q : X^n \longrightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x_1, \dots, x_n) - Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \tilde{\phi}(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_n)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$.

4. Stability of Eq.(1.2): The fixed point method

Apart from the direct method applied by Hyers, the fixed point method introduced by Radu [16] is effective in the investigations of the stability of functional equations. Some further applications of fixed point theorems to the Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations can be found in [17]. Applying Radu's method, one can prove the following two results.

Theorem 4.1 Let $\phi : X^{2n} \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$\phi(2x_{11}, 2x_{12}, \dots, 2x_{n1}, 2x_{n2}) \leq 4^n L \phi(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2}) \quad (4.1)$$

for an $L \in (0, 1)$ and all $x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2} \in X$. Suppose that a function $f : X^n \longrightarrow Y$ satisfies the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{0,1\}} f(x_{11} + (-1)^{i_1} x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1} + (-1)^{i_n} x_{n2}) - \right. \\ & \quad \left. 2^n \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \{1,2\}} f(x_{1j_1}, \dots, x_{nj_n}) \right\| \\ & \leq \phi(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

for all $x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2} \in X$ and $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ for any $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ with at least one component which is equal to zero. Then there exists a unique multi-quadratic function $Q : X^n \longrightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x_1, \dots, x_n) - Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \frac{1}{4^n(1-L)} \phi(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_n) \quad (4.3)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$.

Theorem 4.2 Let $\phi : X^{2n} \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$\phi(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2}) \leq \frac{L}{4^n} \phi(2x_{11}, 2x_{12}, \dots, 2x_{n1}, 2x_{n2})$$

for an $L \in (0, 1)$ and all $x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2} \in X$. Suppose that a function $f : X^n \longrightarrow Y$ satisfies the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{0,1\}} f(x_{11} + (-1)^{i_1} x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1} + (-1)^{i_n} x_{n2}) - \right. \\ & \quad \left. 2^n \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \{1,2\}} f(x_{1j_1}, \dots, x_{nj_n}) \right\| \leq \phi(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2} \in X$ and $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ for any $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ with at least

one component which is equal to zero. Then there exists a unique multi-quadratic function $Q : X^n \longrightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x_1, \dots, x_n) - Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \frac{L}{4^n(1-L)} \phi(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_n)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$.

Acknowledgments The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for the constructive comments and suggestions which helped to improve the quality of this paper.

References

- [1] N. BRILLOUËT-BELLOUT, J. BRZDEK, K. CIEPLIŃSKI. *On some recent developments in Ulam's type stability*. Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2012, Art. ID 716936, 41 pp.
- [2] S. M. JUNG. *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Nonlinear Analysis*. Springer Optimization and Its Applications, 48. Springer, New York, 2011.
- [3] K. CIEPLIŃSKI. *Generalized stability of multi-additive mappings*. Appl. Math. Letters, 2010, **23**: 1291–1294.
- [4] K. CIEPLIŃSKI. *Stability of multi-additive mappings in non-Archimedean normed spaces*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2011, **373**: 376–383.
- [5] K. CIEPLIŃSKI. *Stability of multi-additive mappings in β -Banach spaces*. Nonlinear Anal., 2012, **75**: 4205–4212.
- [6] W. PRAGER, J. SCHWAIGER. *Multi-affine and multi-Jensen functions and their connection with generalized polynomials*. Aequationes Math., 2005, **69**(1-2): 41–57.
- [7] W. PRAGER, J. SCHWAIGER. *Stability of the multi-Jensen equation*. Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 2008, **45**(1): 133–142.
- [8] K. CIEPLIŃSKI. *On multi-Jensen functions and Jensen difference*. Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 2008, **45**(4): 729–737.
- [9] K. CIEPLIŃSKI. *Stability of multi-Jensen equation*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2010, **363**: 249–254.
- [10] K. CIEPLIŃSKI. *Stability of Multi-Jensen Mappings in Non-Archimedean Normed Spaces*. Springer Optim. Appl., 52, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [11] Tianzhou XU. *On the stability of multi-Jensen mappings in β -Banach spaces*. Appl. Math. Lett., 2012, **25**(11): 1866–1870.
- [12] Tianzhou XU. *Stability of multi-Jensen mappings in non-Archimedean normed spaces*. J. Math. Phys., 2012, **53**(2): 1–9.
- [13] J. H. BAE, W. G. PARK. *On a bi-quadratic functional equation and its stability*. Nonlinear Anal., 2005, **62**(4): 643–654.
- [14] K. CIEPLIŃSKI. *On the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of multi-quadratic mappings*. Comput. Math. Appl., 2011, **62**(9): 3418–3426.
- [15] J. ACZEL, J. DHOMBRES. *Functional Equations in Several Variables*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [16] V. RADU. *The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations*. Fixed Point Theory, 2003, **4**(1): 91–96.
- [17] K. CIEPLIŃSKI. *Applications of fixed point theorems to the Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations—a survey*. Ann. Funct. Anal., 2012, **3**(1): 151–164.