

Riemann-Hilbert Problem and Its Well-Posed-Ness for Elliptic Complex Equations of First Order in Multiply Connected Domains

Guochun WEN¹, Liping WANG^{2,*}

1. LMAM, School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P. R. China;

2. College of Mathematics and Information Science, Hebei Normal University,
 Hebei 050024, P. R. China

Abstract In this article, we first propose the Riemann-Hilbert problem for uniformly elliptic complex equations of first order and its well-posed-ness in multiply connected domains. Then we give the integral representation of solutions for modified Riemann-Hilbert problem of the complex equations. Moreover we shall obtain a priori estimates of solutions of the modified Riemann-Hilbert problem and verify its solvability. Finally the solvability results of the original boundary value problem can be obtained.

Keywords Riemann-Hilbert problems; quasilinear elliptic complex equations; multiply connected domains; priori estimates and existence of solutions.

MR(2010) Subject Classification 35J55; 35C15; 35B45

1. Formulation of Riemann-Hilbert problem for elliptic complex equations of first order and its well-posedness

Let D be an $N + 1$ ($N \geq 1$)-connected domain in \mathbb{C} with the boundary $\partial D = \cup_{j=0}^N \Gamma_j \in C_\mu^1$ ($0 < \mu < 1$). Now we introduce the quasi-linear elliptic complex equation of first order

$$w_{\bar{z}} = Q_1 w_z + Q_2 \bar{w}_{\bar{z}} + A_1 w + A_2 \bar{w} + A_3, \quad z \in D, \quad (1.1)$$

where $z = x + iy$, $w_{\bar{z}} = [w_x + iw_y]/2$, $Q_j = Q_j(z, w)$, $j = 1, 2$, $A_j = A_j(z, w)$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$). We assume that equation (1.1) satisfies the following conditions.

Condition C (1) The functions $Q_j = Q_j(z, w)$ ($j = 1, 2$), $A_j = A_j(z, w)$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$) are measurable in $z \in D$ for any continuous function $w(z)$ in \bar{D} , and satisfy

$$L_p[A_j(z, w), \bar{D}], \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \quad (1.2)$$

where p, p_0 ($2 < p_0 \leq p$), k_0, k_1 are non-negative constants.

(2) The above functions are continuous in $w \in \mathbb{C}$ for almost every point $z \in D$, and $Q_j(z, w) = 0$, $j = 1, 2$, $A_j(z, w) = 0$, $j = 1, 2, 3$ for $z \notin D$.

Received April 22, 2013; Accepted February 24, 2014

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11171349) and the Science Foundation of Hebei Province (Grant No. A2010000346).

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: wengc@math.pku.edu.cn (Guochun WEN); wlpwj@163.com (Liping WANG)

(3) The complex equation (1.1) satisfies the uniform ellipticity condition

$$|Q_1(z, w)| + |Q_2(z, w)| \leq q_0, \quad (1.3)$$

in which $q_0 (< 1)$ is a non-negative constant.

Let D be an $N + 1$ ($N \geq 1$)-connected bounded domain in \mathbb{C} with the boundary $\partial D = \Gamma = \cup_{j=0}^N \Gamma_j \in C_\mu^1$ ($0 < \mu < 1$). Without loss of generality, we assume that D is a circular domain in $|z| < 1$, bounded by the $(N + 1)$ -circles $\Gamma_j : |z - z_j| = r_j, j = 0, 1, \dots, N$ and $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_{N+1} : |z| = 1, z = 0 \in D$. In this article, the notations are the same as in [4–12]. Now we formulate the Riemann-Hilbert problem for equation (1.1) as follows.

Problem A The Riemann-Hilbert boundary value problem for (1.1) is to find a continuous solution $w(z)$ in \bar{D} satisfying the boundary condition:

$$\operatorname{Re}[\overline{\lambda(z)}w(z)] = c(z), \quad z \in \Gamma, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\lambda(z), c(z)$ satisfy the conditions

$$C_\alpha[\lambda(z), \Gamma] \leq k_0, \quad C_\alpha[c(z), \Gamma] \leq k_2, \quad (1.5)$$

in which $\lambda(z) = a(z) + ib(z), |\lambda(z)| = 1$ on Γ , and α ($1/2 < \alpha < 1$) is a positive constant. The index K of Problems A is defined as follows:

$$K = K_1 + \dots + K_m = \sum_{j=0}^N \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta_{\Gamma_j} \arg \lambda(z), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, N, \quad (1.6)$$

in which the partial indexes $K_j = \Delta_{\Gamma_j} \arg \lambda(z)/2\pi$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, N$) of $\lambda(z)$ are integers.

When the index $K < 0$, Problem A is not certainly solved, and when $K \geq 0$, the solution of Problem A is not surely unique. Hence we put forward a well-posed-ness of Problem A with modified boundary conditions.

Problem B Find a continuous solution $w(z)$ of the complex equation (1.6) in D satisfying the boundary condition

$$\operatorname{Re}[\overline{\lambda(z)}w(z)] = r(z) + h(z), \quad z \in \Gamma, \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$h(z) = \begin{cases} 0, z \in \Gamma, & \text{if } K \geq N, \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} 0, z \in \Gamma_j, j = 1, \dots, K + 1, \\ h_j, z \in \Gamma_j, j = K + 2, \dots, N + 1, \end{array} \right\} & \text{if } 0 \leq K < N, \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} h_j, z \in \Gamma_j, j = 1, \dots, N, \\ h_0 + \operatorname{Re} \sum_{m=1}^{-K-1} (h_m^+ + ih_m^-) z^m, z \in \Gamma_0, \end{array} \right\} & \text{if } K < 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

in which h_j ($j = 0, 1, \dots, N + 1$), h_m^\pm ($m = 1, \dots, -K - 1$) are undetermined real constants; we must give the attention that the boundary circles Γ_j ($j = 0, 1, \dots, N$) of the domain D are moved round the positive direction. In addition, we may assume that the solution $w(z)$ satisfies the

following point conditions

$$\operatorname{Im}[\overline{\lambda(a_j)}w(a_j)] = b_j, \quad j \in J = \begin{cases} 1, \dots, 2K - N + 1, & \text{if } K \geq N, \\ 1, \dots, K + 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq K < N, \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

where $a_j \in \Gamma_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, N$), $a_{j+N} \in \Gamma_0$ ($j = 1, \dots, 2K - N + 1, K \geq N$) and $a_j \in \Gamma_{j-1}$ ($j = 1, \dots, K + 1, 0 \leq K < N$) are distinct points, and b_j ($j \in J$) are all real constants satisfying the conditions

$$|b_j| \leq k_3, \quad j \in J, \quad (1.10)$$

herein k_3 is a non-negative constant. Problem B with $A_3(z, w) = 0$ in D , $r(z) = 0$ on Γ and $b_j = 0$ ($j \in J$) is called Problem B₀. The condition $0 < K < N$ is called the singular case, which only occurs in the case of multiply connected domains, and is not easy to handle.

In order to prove the solvability of Problem B for the complex equation (1.1), we need to give a representation theorem for Problem B.

2. Integral representation of solutions for modified Riemann-Hilbert problem of elliptic complex equations

Now we transform the boundary condition (1.7) into the standard form and first find a solution $S(z)$ of the modified Dirichlet problem with the boundary condition

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} S(z) = S_1(z) - \theta(t), \quad S_1(t) &= \begin{cases} \arg \lambda(t) - K \arg t, & t \in \Gamma_0, \\ \arg \lambda(t), & t \in \Gamma_j, j = 1, \dots, N, \end{cases} \\ \theta(t) &= \begin{cases} 0, & t \in \Gamma_0, \\ \theta_j, & t \in \Gamma_j, j = 1, \dots, N, \end{cases} \quad \operatorname{Im}[S(1)] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where θ_j ($j = 1, \dots, N$) are real constants. Thus the boundary condition (1.7) can be transformed into the standard boundary condition

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}[\overline{\lambda(t)}w(t)] &= \operatorname{Re}[\overline{\Lambda(t)}\Psi(t)] = r(t) + h(t), \quad t \in \Gamma, \\ \Lambda(t) = \lambda(t)\overline{e^{iS(t)}} &= \begin{cases} t^K, & t \in \Gamma_0, \\ e^{i\theta_j}, & t \in \Gamma_j, j = 1, \dots, N, \end{cases} \quad w(z) = e^{iS(z)}\Psi(z), \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where the index of $\Lambda(z)$ is also equal to K , and the point constant (1.9) is also equal to

$$\operatorname{Im}[\overline{\Lambda(a_j)}\Psi(a_j)] = b_j, \quad j \in J, \quad (2.3)$$

and $\Psi(z)$ satisfies the complex equation

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\bar{z}} &= Q_1(z)\Psi_z + e^{-2i\operatorname{Re}S(z)}Q_2(z)\overline{\Psi_{\bar{z}}} + [A_1(z) + e^{-iS(z)}(e^{iS(z)})'Q_1]\Psi + \\ &\quad [A_2e^{-2i\operatorname{Re}S(z)} + e^{-iS(z)}\overline{(e^{iS(z)})'}Q_2]\overline{\Psi} + e^{-iS(z)}A_3, \quad z \in D. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

The above boundary value problem will be called Problem B'. It is easy to see the equivalence of Problem B with the boundary conditions (1.7), (1.9) for (1.6) and Problem B' with the boundary

conditions (2.2), (2.3) for (2.4).

Theorem 2.1 *Under the above conditions, Problem B with the index $K \geq 0$ for analytic functions has a solution.*

Proof We first find the solution of Problem B', and then obtain the solution of Problem B. By using the formula (2.54), Chapter II, [6], we can introduce

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(z, t) = P_{N+1}(z, t) &= \begin{cases} \frac{z^K e^{iS(z)} \lambda(t) (t+z) r(t)}{t^K e^{iS(t)} (t-z) t}, & t \in \Gamma_0, \\ 0, & t \in \Gamma_j, j = 1, \dots, N, \end{cases} \\ P_j(z, t) &= \begin{cases} \frac{e^{i\theta_j} e^{iS(z)} \lambda(t) r(t) (t+z-2z_j)}{e^{iS(t)} (t-z) (t-z_j)}, & t \in \Gamma_j, \\ 0, & t \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_j, j = 1, \dots, N, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

and find a solution of the boundary value problem with the boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}[\overline{\Lambda(z)} P_*(z, t)] &= -\operatorname{Re}[\overline{\Lambda(z)} Q(z, t)] + h(z, t), \quad z \in \Gamma, \\ Q(z, t) &= \sum_{m=1, m \neq j}^{N+1} P_m(z, t), \quad z \in \Gamma_j, j = 1, \dots, N+1, \\ \operatorname{Im}[\overline{\Lambda(a_j)} P_*(a_j, t)] &= -\operatorname{Im}[\overline{\Lambda(a_j)} Q(a_j, t)], \quad a_j \in \Gamma, \\ j \in J &= \begin{cases} 1, \dots, 2K - N + 1, & \text{if } K > N - 1, \\ 1, \dots, K + 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq K \leq N - 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

$$P(z, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} P_j(z, t) + P_*(z, t), \quad t \in \Gamma \quad (2.7)$$

is the Schwarz kernel of Problem B'. Thus we get the representation of solutions of Problem B' as follows:

$$\Psi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} P(z, t) r(t) dt + \Psi_0(z), \quad (2.8)$$

in which $\Psi_0(z)$ is the solution of corresponding homogeneous problem, which can be determined by some point conditions

$$\operatorname{Im}[\overline{\Lambda(a_j)} \Psi_0(a_j)] = b_j - \operatorname{Im} \left[\frac{\overline{\Lambda(a_j)}}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} P(a_j, t) r(t) dt \right], \quad j \in J. \quad (2.9)$$

Thus the solution of original boundary value problem (Problem B) can be expressed as

$$w(z) = \Phi(z) = \Psi(z) e^{iS(z)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} T(z, t) r(t) dt + \Phi_0(z), \quad (2.10)$$

where $T(z, t) = P(z, t) e^{iS(z)}$, $T(z, t)$ is the Schwarz kernel, and $w_0(z) = \Phi_0(z) = \Psi_0(z) e^{iS(z)}$ is a solution of Problem B with the point conditions

$$\operatorname{Im}[\overline{\lambda(a_j)} \Phi_0(a_j)] = b_j - \operatorname{Im} \left[\frac{\overline{\lambda(a_j)}}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} T(a_j, t) r(t) dt \right], \quad j \in J. \quad \square \quad (2.11)$$

Theorem 2.2 Under the above conditions, Problem B with the index $K < 0$ for analytic functions has a solution.

Proof Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.1, we first find the solution of Problem B'. If $K < 0$, similarly to Theorem 2.1, we introduce

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(z, t) = P_{N+1}(z, t) &= \begin{cases} \frac{2z^{|K|} e^{iS(z)} \lambda(t) r(t)}{e^{iS(t)} (t-z) t^{|K|}}, & t \in \Gamma_0, \\ 0, & t \in \Gamma_j, j = 1, \dots, N, \end{cases} \\ P_j(z, t) &= \begin{cases} \frac{e^{i\theta_j} e^{iS(z)} \lambda(t) r(t) (t+z-2z_j)}{e^{iS(t)} (t-z)(t-z_j)}, & t \in \Gamma_j, \\ 0, & t \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_j, j = 1, \dots, N. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can find a solution of the boundary value problem with the boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}[\overline{\Lambda(z)} P_*(z, t)] &= -\operatorname{Re}[\overline{\Lambda(z)} Q(z, t)] + h(z, t), \quad z \in \Gamma, \\ Q(z, t) &= \sum_{m=1, m \neq j}^{N+1} P_m(z, t), \quad z \in \Gamma_j, j = 1, \dots, N+1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$P(z, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} P_j(z, t) + P_*(z, t), \quad t \in \Gamma \quad (2.14)$$

is the Schwarz kernel of Problem B'. Thus we get the representation of solutions of Problem B' as follows:

$$\Psi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} P(z, t) r(t) dt. \quad (2.15)$$

Thus the solution of original boundary value problem (Problem B) can be expressed as

$$w(z) = \Phi(z) = \Psi(z) e^{iS(z)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} T(z, t) r(t) dt, \quad (2.16)$$

in which $T(z, t) = P(z, t) e^{iS(z)}$, $T(z, t)$ is the Schwarz kernel. In the above discussion, we have to use the $N - 2K - 1$ solvability conditions of Problem B, if $K < 0$.

We first consider the homogeneous modified Riemann-Hilbert problem (Problem B₀) for the complex equation (1.6), and give the integral representation of solutions of Problem B₀ for (1.1).

According to (2.65)–(2.74), Chapter I, [6], we introduce the two double integral operator of homogeneous modified Riemann-Hilbert problem (Problem B₀) for $w_{\bar{z}} = F$ in the domain D as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}F &= -\frac{2}{\pi} \iint_D [P(z, \zeta) F(\zeta) + Q(z, \zeta) \overline{F(\zeta)}] d\sigma_{\zeta} = TF + \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} T_j F + T_* F, \\ P(z, \zeta) &= \frac{1}{2} [G_1(z, \zeta) + G_2(z, \zeta) + H_1(z, \zeta) - H_2(z, \zeta)], \quad z, \zeta \in \overline{D}, \\ Q(z, \zeta) &= \frac{1}{2} [G_1(z, \zeta) - G_2(z, \zeta) + H_1(z, \zeta) + H_2(z, \zeta)], \quad z, \zeta \in \overline{D}, \end{aligned}$$

$$G_1(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{\zeta - z} + \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} g_j(z, \zeta), \quad G_2(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{\zeta - z} - \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} g_j(z, \zeta), \quad z, \zeta \in D,$$

$$g_0(z, \zeta) = g_{N+1}(z, \zeta) = \frac{z}{1 - \bar{\zeta}z}, \quad g_j(z, \zeta) = \frac{e^{2i\theta_j}(z - z_j)}{r_j^2 - (\bar{\zeta} - z_j)(z - z_j)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \quad (2.17)$$

where $H_1(t, \zeta)$, $H_2(t, \zeta)$ are the solution with some boundary conditions. \square

Theorem 2.3 *Let the complex equation (1.6) satisfy Condition C. Then any solution $w(z)$ ($w_{\bar{z}} \in L_{p_0}(\bar{D})$, $2 < p_0 \leq p$) of Problem B with the index $K = 0$ for (1.6) possesses the representation*

$$w(z) = \Phi(z) + \tilde{T}F, \quad (2.18)$$

where $F(z) = w_{\bar{z}}$, $\Phi(z)$ is an analytic function as stated in (2.10) with $K = 0$ in D , and $\tilde{T}F$ is as stated in (2.17), and $\Phi(z)$ satisfies the estimates

$$C_\beta[\Phi(z), \bar{D}] \leq M_1, \quad L_{p_0}[\Phi'(z), \bar{D}] \leq M_2, \quad (2.19)$$

in which $\beta = \min(1 - 2/p_0, \alpha)$, $M_j = M_j(p_0, \beta, k, D)$, $j = 1, 2$, $k = k(k_0, k_1, k_2, k_3)$. Moreover $\tilde{T}F$ satisfies the homogeneous boundary condition of Problem B, and $\tilde{S}F = (\tilde{F})_z$ possesses the properties

$$\|\tilde{S}F\|_{L_{p_0}(\bar{D})} \leq \tilde{\Lambda}\|F\|_{L_{p_0}(\bar{D})}, \quad \tilde{\Lambda} \leq 1, \quad \text{if } K = 0. \quad (2.20)$$

and for a positive number $q_0 < 1$ there exists a constant $2 < p_0 \leq p$ such that

$$q_0 \tilde{\Lambda}_{p_0} < 1. \quad (2.21)$$

Proof By using (3.6), Chapter I, [1], Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we can get (2.19), and (2.20), (2.21) can be obtained by the method of Theorem 3.5, Chapter I, [4], Lemma 2.7, Chapter II, [6] and Theorem 3.1, [12]. \square

3. Estimates of solutions for modified Riemann-Hilbert problem of elliptic complex equation in multiply connected domains

First of all, we give the estimates of solutions of Problem B for the equation (1.6).

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose that the first order complex equation (1.6) satisfies Condition C. Then any solution $w(z)$ of Problem B for the complex equation (1.6) satisfies the conditions*

$$C_\beta[w(z), \bar{D}] < M_3, \quad L_{p_0}[|w_{\bar{z}}| + |w_z|, \bar{D}] \leq M_4, \quad (3.1)$$

in which $\beta = \min(1 - 2/p_0, \alpha)$, $k = k(k_0, k_1, k_2, k_3)$, $M_j = M_j(q_0, p_0, \beta, k, D)$ ($j = 3, 4$) are positive constants.

Proof Since the solution $w(z)$ of Problem B for the complex equation (1.6) can be expressed as (2.18), and the analytic function $\Phi(z)$ possesses the properties in (2.19), it is necessary to consider any solution $W(z) = \tilde{T}\omega$ of the complex equation:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} W_{\bar{z}} &= Q_1(z)W_z + Q_2(z)\bar{W}_{\bar{z}} + A_1(z)W + A_2(z)\bar{W} + A(z), \\ A(z) &= Q_1(z)\Phi'(z) + Q_2(z)\overline{\Phi'(z)} + A_1(z)\Phi(z) + A_2(z)\overline{\Phi(z)} + A_3(z), \end{aligned} \right\} z \in D, \quad (3.2)$$

where $A(z) \in L_{p_0}(\overline{D})$.

We first verify the uniqueness of solutions of the homogeneous problem B_0 with the index $K \geq 0$, i.e., the solution $W(z) \equiv 0$ of the homogeneous problem B_0 for the homogeneous equation

$$W_{\bar{z}} = Q_1(z)W_z + Q_2(z)\overline{W_z} + A_1(z)W + A_2(z)\overline{W} \quad \text{in } D \quad (3.3)$$

with the index $K \geq 0$. The solution $W(z)$ of (3.3) can be expressed as

$$W(z) = \Psi[\zeta(z)]e^{\phi(z)} \quad \text{in } D, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\zeta(z) = \eta(\chi(z))$ is a homeomorphism in \overline{D} , which quasiconformally maps D onto the $N+1$ -connected circular domain G with boundary $L = \zeta(\Gamma)$ in $\{|\zeta| < 1\}$, such that three points on Γ are mapped onto three points on L respectively, $\Psi(\zeta)$ is an analytic function in G , $\phi(z) = i\tilde{T}_1 g(z)$, $\chi(z) = z + Th$ are the solutions of the complex equations

$$\phi(z) = i\tilde{T}_1 g, \quad \chi(z) = z + Th \quad (3.5)$$

of the complex equations

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\bar{z}} &= [Q_1 + Q_2\overline{W_z}/W_z]\phi_z + A_1 + A_2\overline{W}/W, \quad \text{in } D, \\ \chi_{\bar{z}} &= [Q_1 + Q_2\overline{W_z}/W_z]\chi_z \quad \text{in } D, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

respectively, $\tilde{T}_1 g$ is a double integral satisfying the modified Dirichlet boundary condition in D , $\chi(z)$ is a homeomorphism in \overline{D} , $\zeta = \eta(\chi)$ is a univalent analytic function, which conformally maps $E = \chi(D)$ onto the domain G , $\zeta(z) = \eta[\chi(z)]$ in D , and $\Psi(\zeta)$ is an analytic function in G . Since $\tilde{S}h = [\tilde{T}h]_z$ possesses the properties in (2.20) and (2.21), and $\tilde{S}h$ has the similar properties, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} L_{p_0}[g(z), \overline{D}] &\leq L_{p_0}[|A_1| + |A_2|, \overline{D}]/(1 - q_0\tilde{\Lambda}_{p_0}), \\ L_{p_0}[h(z), \overline{D}] &\leq L_{p_0}[|A_1| + |A_2|, \overline{D}]/(1 - q_0\Lambda_{p_0}). \end{aligned}$$

By the principle of contract mapping, we can obtain that $\psi(z)$, $\chi(z)$ of the equations in (3.6), and $\psi(z)$, $\chi(z)$, $\zeta(z)$ satisfy the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} C_\beta[\phi, \overline{D}] &\leq k_4, \quad L_{p_0}[|\phi_{\bar{z}}| + |\phi_z|, \overline{D}] \leq k_4, \quad L_{p_0}[|\chi_{\bar{z}}| + |\chi_z|, \overline{D}] \leq k_5, \\ C_\beta[\zeta(z), \overline{D}] &\leq k_4, \quad C_\beta[z(\zeta), \overline{G}] \leq k_4, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

in which $\beta = \min(\alpha, 1 - 2/p_0)$, p_0 ($2 < p_0 \leq p$), $k_j = k_j(q_0, p_0, \beta, k_0, k_1, D)$ ($j = 4, 5$) are non-negative constants dependent on $q_0, p_0, \beta, k_0, k_1, D$, and $\Psi[\zeta(z)] = \tilde{T}\omega$ satisfies the the boundary condition

$$\operatorname{Re}[\overline{\lambda(z(\zeta))}\Psi(\zeta)] = h(z(\zeta)) \quad \text{in } L \quad (3.8)$$

of homogeneous Problem B for analytic functions. According to Theorem 6.2, Chapter V, [6], it follows $\Psi(\zeta) \equiv 0$ in G .

If the index $K < 0$, we can use the method of Theorem 4.1, Chapter II, [4] to verify Problem B_0 at most has a solution.

Denote $4d = \min_{z \in \Gamma} |z|$ and $D_1 = \{|z| \leq d\}$, $D_2 = \{d < |z| \leq 2d\}$, $D_3 = \{2d < |z| \leq 3d\}$, $D_4 = \{3d < |z| \leq 4d\}$, and construct two continuously differential functions

$$\tau_1(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{in } D_1, \\ 1 & \text{in } \overline{D} \setminus \{D_1 \cup D_2\}, \\ \tau_1(z) & \text{in } D_2, \end{cases} \quad \tau_2(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{in } D_1 \cup D_2, \\ 0 & \text{in } \overline{D} \setminus \{D_1 \cup D_2 \cup D_3\}, \\ \tau_2(z) & \text{in } D_3, \end{cases}$$

where $0 \leq \tau_1(z) \leq 1$ in D_2 and $0 \leq \tau_2(z) \leq 1$ in D_3 . From (3.2), we see that two functions $\tilde{W}(z) = \tau_1(z)z^{-K}W(z)$ and $\hat{W}(z) = \tau_2(z)W(z)$ are the solutions of following complex equations

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{W}_{\bar{z}} &= Q_1 \tilde{W}_z + Q_2 \overline{\tilde{W}_z} + A_1(z) \tilde{W} + [A_2(z) \tau_1 z^{-K} / \overline{\tau_1 z^{-K}}] \overline{\tilde{W}} + \tilde{A}, \\ \tilde{A} &= [(\tau_1 z^{-K})_{\bar{z}} - Q_1 (\tau_1 z^{-K})_z] W - Q_2 \overline{(\tau_1 z^{-K})_z} \overline{W} + \tau_1 z^{-K} A(z) \text{ in } D, \\ \hat{W}_{\bar{z}} &= Q_1 \hat{W}_z + Q_2 \overline{\hat{W}_z} + A_1(z) \hat{W} + [A_2(z) \tau_2(z) / \overline{\tau_2(z)}] \overline{\hat{W}} + \hat{A}, \\ \hat{A} &= [\tau_{1\bar{z}} - Q_1 \tau_{1z}] W - Q_2 \overline{\tau_{1z}} \overline{W} + \tau_2(z) A(z) \text{ in } D, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

and satisfy the boundary conditions

$$\operatorname{Re}[\overline{\Lambda(z)} \tilde{W}(z)] = h(z) \text{ on } \Gamma, \operatorname{Re}[\overline{\Lambda(z)} \hat{W}(z)] = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \quad (3.10)$$

respectively. The indexes of above boundary value problems are equal to $K = 0$, and the function $W(z)$ is bounded in \overline{D} from (2.19), (2.20), (3.4) and (3.7). Moreover by using Theorem 4.3, Chapter II, [4], we can obtain the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} C_\beta[\tilde{W}(z), \overline{D}] &\leq M_5, L_{p_0}[|\tilde{W}_{\bar{z}}| + |\tilde{W}_z|, \overline{D}] \leq M_6, \\ C_\beta[\hat{W}(z), \overline{D}] &\leq M_7, L_{p_0}[|\hat{W}_{\bar{z}}| + |\hat{W}_z|, \overline{D}] \leq M_8, \end{aligned}$$

where $M_j = M_j(q_0, p, \beta, k, D)$ ($j = 5, 6, 7, 8$) are positive constants. In particular we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_\beta[W(z), \overline{D} \setminus \{D_1 \cup D_2\}] &\leq M_5, L_{p_0}[|W_{\bar{z}}| + |W_z|, \overline{D} \setminus \{D_1 \cup D_2\}] \leq M_6, \\ C_\beta[W(z), D_1 \cup D_2] &\leq M_7, L_{p_0}[|W_{\bar{z}}| + |W_z|, D_1 \cup D_2] \leq M_8. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimates, we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_\beta[W(z), \overline{D}] &\leq M_9 = M_9(M_5, M_7, \tau_1, \tau_2, D), \\ L_{p_0}[|W_{\bar{z}}| + |W_z|, \overline{D}] &\leq M_{10} = M_{10}(M_6, M_8, \tau_1, \tau_2, D). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

□

4. The solvability of modified Riemann-Hilbert problem for elliptic complex equations in multiply connected domains

Finally we prove the solvability of Problem B for the equation (1.1).

Theorem 4.1 *Under the conditions in Theorem 3.1, Problem B for (1.6) is solvable.*

Proof We introduce the quasi-linear elliptic complex equation with the parameter $t \in [0, 1]$:

$$w_{\bar{z}} - tF(z, w, w_z) = A(z), \quad (4.1)$$

where $A(z)$ is any measurable function in D and $A(z) \in L_{p_0}(\overline{D})$ ($2 < p_0 \leq p$). When $t = 0$, it is not difficult to see that there exists a unique solution $w(z)$ of Problem B for the complex equation (4.1), which possesses the form

$$w(z) = \Phi(z) + \psi(z), \quad \psi(z) = \tilde{T}A, \quad (4.2)$$

where $\tilde{T}A$ is stated as in (2.20), $\Phi(z)$ is an analytic function in D and satisfies the boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}[\overline{\lambda(z)}\Phi(z)] &= r(z) - \operatorname{Re}[\overline{\lambda(z)}\psi(z)] + h(z), \quad z \in \Gamma, \\ \operatorname{Im}[\overline{\lambda(a_j)}\Phi(a_j)] &= b_j - \operatorname{Im}[\overline{\lambda(a_j)}\psi(a_j)], \quad j \in J. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

From Theorem 3.1, we see that $w(z) \in B = C_\beta(\overline{D}) \cap W_{p_0}^1(D)$. Suppose that when $t = t_0$ ($0 \leq t_0 < 1$), Problem B for the complex equation (4.1) has a unique solution, we shall prove that there exists a neighborhood of t_0 : $E = \{|t - t_0| \leq \delta, 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$, $\delta (> 0)$ is a small positive constant, so that for every $t \in E$ and any function $A(z) \in L_{p_0}(\overline{D})$, Problem B for (4.1) is solvable. In fact, the complex equation (4.1) can be written in the form

$$w_{\bar{z}} - t_0 F(z, w, w_z) = (t - t_0)F(z, w, w_z) + A(z). \quad (4.4)$$

We arbitrarily select a function $w_0(z) \in B = C_\beta(\overline{D}) \cap W_{p_0}^1(D)$, in particular $w_0(z) = 0$ on \overline{D} . Let $w_0(z)$ be replaced into the position of $w(z)$ in the right hand side of (4.4). By Condition C, it is obvious that

$$B_0(z) = (t - t_0)F(z, w_0, w_{0z}) + A(z) \in L_{p_0}(\overline{D}). \quad (4.5)$$

Note that (4.4) has a solution $w_1(z) \in B$. Applying the successive iteration, we can find out a sequence of functions: $w_n(z) \in B$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, which satisfy the complex equations

$$w_{n+1\bar{z}} - t_0 F(z, w_{n+1}, w_{n+1z}) = (t - t_0)F(z, w_n, w_{nz}) + A(z), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.6)$$

The difference of the above equations for $n + 1$ and n is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & (w_{n+1} - w_n)_{\bar{z}} - t_0 [F(z, w_{n+1}, w_{n+1z}) - F(z, w_n, w_{nz})] \\ &= (t - t_0)[F(z, w_n, w_{nz}) - F(z, w_{n-1}, w_{n-1z})], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

From Condition C, it can be seen that

$$\begin{aligned} & F(z, w_{n+1}, w_{n+1z}) - F(z, w_n, w_{nz}) \\ &= F(z, w_{n+1}, w_{n+1z}) - F(z, w_{n+1}, w_{nz}) + [F(z, w_{n+1}, w_{nz}) - F(z, w_n, w_{nz})] \\ &= \tilde{Q}_{n+1}(w_{n+1} - w_n)_z + \tilde{A}_{n+1}(w_{n+1} - w_n), \\ & |\tilde{Q}_{n+1}| \leq q_0 < 1, \quad \tilde{A}_{n+1} \in L_{p_0}(\overline{D}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \\ & L_{p_0}[F(z, w_n, w_{nz}) - F(z, w_{n-1}, w_{n-1z}), \overline{D}] \\ & \leq q_0 L_{p_0}[(w_n - w_{n-1})_z, \overline{D}] + k_0 C[w_n - w_{n-1}, \overline{D}] \\ & \leq (q_0 + k_0)[C_\beta[w_n - w_{n-1}, \overline{D}] + L_{p_0}[|(w_n - w_{n-1})_{\bar{z}}| + \\ & \quad |(w_n - w_{n-1})_z|, \overline{D}]] = (q_0 + k_0)L_n. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $w_{n+1}(z) - w_n(z)$ satisfies the homogeneous boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Re}[\overline{\lambda(z)}(w_{n+1}(z) - w_n(z))] &= h(z), \quad z \in \Gamma, \\ \operatorname{Im}[\overline{\lambda(a_j)}(w_{n+1}(a_j) - w_n(a_j))] &= 0, \quad j \in J.\end{aligned}\quad (4.8)$$

On the basis of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$L_{n+1} = C_\beta[w_{n+1} - w_n, \overline{D}] + L_{p_0}[|(w_{n+1} - w_n)_z| + |(w_{n+1} - w_n)_{\bar{z}}|, \overline{D}] \leq M_{11}|t - t_0|(q_0 + k_0)L_n,$$

where $M_{11} = M_3 + M_4$, M_3, M_4 is as stated in (3.1). Provided $\delta (> 0)$ is small enough, so that $\eta = \delta M_{11}(q_0 + k_0) < 1$, it can be obtained that

$$L_{n+1} \leq \eta L_n \leq \eta^n L_1 = \eta^n [C_\beta(w_1, \overline{D}) + L_{p_0}(|w_{1\bar{z}}| + |w_{1z}|, \overline{D})] \quad (4.9)$$

for every $t \in E$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}S(w_n - w_m) &= C_\beta[w_n - w_m, \overline{D}] + L_{p_0}[|(w_n - w_m)_z| + |(w_n - w_m)_{\bar{z}}|, \overline{D}] \\ &\leq L_n + L_{n-1} + \cdots + L_{m+1} \leq (\eta^{n-1} + \eta^{n-2} + \cdots + \eta^m)L_1 \\ &= \eta^m(1 + \eta + \cdots + \eta^{n-m-1})L_1 \leq \eta^{N+1} \frac{1 - \eta^{n-m}}{1 - \eta} L_1 \leq \frac{\eta^{N+1}}{1 - \eta} L_1\end{aligned}\quad (4.10)$$

for $n \geq m > N$, where N is a positive integer. This shows that $S(w_n - w_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Following the completeness of the Banach space $B = C_\beta(\overline{D}) \cap W_{p_0}^1(D)$, there is a function $w_*(z) \in B$, such that when $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$S(w - w_*) = C_\beta[w_n - w_*, \overline{D}] + L_{p_0}[|(w_n - w_*)_z| + |(w_n - w_*)_{\bar{z}}|, \overline{D}] \rightarrow 0.$$

By Condition C, from (3.1) it follows that $w_*(z)$ is a solution of Problem B for (4.4), i.e., (4.1) for $t \in E$. It is easy to see that the positive constant δ is independent of t_0 ($0 \leq t_0 < 1$). Hence from that Problem B for the complex equation (4.4) with $t = t_0 = 0$ is solvable, we can derive that when $t = \delta, 2\delta, \dots, [1/\delta]\delta, 1$, Problem B for (4.4) are solvable, especially Problem B for (4.4) with $t = 1$ and $A(z) = 0$, namely Problem B for (1.6) has a unique solution. \square

Theorem 4.2 *Let the complex equation (1.1) satisfy Condition C. Then Problem A for (1.1) possesses the following solvability results.*

- (1) *If the index $K > N - 1$, then Problem A for (1.1) is solvable, and its general solution includes $2K - N + 1$ arbitrary real constants.*
- (2) *If $0 \leq K \leq N - 1$, then Problem A has $N - K$ solvability conditions, and its general solution is dependent on $K + 1$ arbitrary real constants.*
- (3) *If $K < 0$, then Problem A has $N - 2K - 1$ solvability conditions.*

Proof Let the solution $w(z)$ of Problem B for (1.6) be substituted into the boundary condition (1.7). If the function $h(z) = 0$, $z \in \Gamma$, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} h_j = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, N, & \text{if } K \geq 0, \\ h_j = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, N, \quad h_m^\pm = 0, \quad m = 1, \dots, -K - 1, & \text{if } K < 0, \end{cases}$$

then the function $w(z)$ is just a solution of Problem A for (1.1). Hence the total number of

above equalities is the total of solvability conditions as stated in this theorem. Also note that the real constants b_j ($j \in J$) in (1.9) are arbitrarily chosen. This shows that the general solution of Problem A for (1.1) includes the number of arbitrary real constants as stated in the theorem.

□

References

- [1] I. N. VEKUA. *Generalized Analytic Functions*. Pergamon, Oxford, 1962.
- [2] M. A. LAVRENT'EV, B. V. SHABAT. *Methods of Function Theory of a Complex Variable*. GITTL, Moscow, 1958. (in Russian)
- [3] A. V. BITSADZE. *Some Classes of Partial Differential Equations*. Gordon and Breach, New York, 1988.
- [4] Guochun WEN, H. BEGEHR. *Boundary Value Problems for Elliptic Equations and Systems*. Longman Scientific and Technical Company, Harlow, 1990.
- [5] Guochun WEN. *Conformal Mappings and Boundary Value Problems, Translations of Mathematics Monographs*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.
- [6] Guochun WEN. *Linear and Nonlinear Elliptic Complex Equations*. Shanghai Scientific and Technical Publishers, Shanghai, 1986. (in Chinese)
- [7] Guochun WEN, Zhongwei DAI, Maoying TIAN. *Function Theoretic Methods of Free Boundary Problems and Their Applications to Mechanics*. Higher Education Press, Beijing, 1996. (in Chinese)
- [8] Sha HUANG, Yuying QIAO, Guochun WEN. *Real and Complex Clifford Analysis*. Springer, 2005.
- [9] Guochun WEN. *Approximate Methods and Numerical Analysis for Elliptic Complex Equations*. Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, 1999.
- [10] Guochun WEN. *Recent Progress in Theory and Applications of Modern Complex Analysis*. Science Press, Beijing, 2010.
- [11] Guochun WEN, Dechang CHEN, Zuoliang XU. *Nonlinear Complex Analysis and Its Applications*. Mathematics Monograph Series 12, Science Press, Beijing, 2008.
- [12] Guochun WEN. *The Poincaré problem with negative index for linear elliptic systems of second order in a multiply connected domain*. J. Math. Res. Exposition, 1982, 1(1): 61–76. (in Chinese)