

Solutions of Linear Differential Equations in the Unit Disc

Mingxing LI, Lipeng XIAO*

Institute of Mathematics and Informations, Jiangxi Normal University, Jiangxi 330022, P. R. China

Abstract In this paper, some properties of solutions of linear differential equations $f^{(k)} + A(z)f = 0$ and $f^{(k)} + A(z)f = F(z)$ are discussed. Our results are a generalization of the original results.

Keywords unit disc; linear differential equations; analytic function; Q_p space.

MR(2010) Subject Classification 30D35; 34M10

1. Introduction

In this paper, we will use notation $\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ to denote the unit disc in the complex plane, D and A denote Dirichlet space and analytic function space, respectively. We still need the following definitions.

Definition 1.1 ([1]) We say a function $f \in A$ belongs to space Q_p ($p \in (0, \infty)$) if and only if

$$\sup_{a \in \Delta} \iint_{\Delta} |f'(z)|^2 g^p(z, a) d\sigma(z) < \infty,$$

where $g(z, a) = \log \left| \frac{1 - \bar{a}z}{z - a} \right|$ is the Green's function in Δ and $d\sigma$ is an area measure on Δ normalized such that $\sigma(\Delta) = 1$.

Definition 1.2 ([2]) We say that a function $f \in A$ belongs to the classical Dirichlet space D if and only if,

$$\iint_{\Delta} |f'(z)|^2 d\sigma(z) < \infty.$$

Definition 1.3 ([3]) We say that a function $f \in A$ belongs to the Hardy space H^p ($0 < p < \infty$) if and only if

$$\sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\varphi})|^p d\varphi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

For $p = \infty$, it is natural to say that $f \in H^\infty$ if and only if

$$\sup_{z \in \Delta} |f(z)| < \infty.$$

Received October 1, 2013; Accepted April 16, 2014

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11301232; 11171119), the Youth Science Foundation of Education Bureau of Jiangxi Province (Grant No. GJJ12207) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (Grant No. 20132BAB211009).

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: 15180475689@126.com (Mingxing LI); lipeng_xiao08@yahoo.com (Lipeng XIAO)

For $0 \leq q < \infty$, functions $f \in A$ in the corresponding weighted Hardy spaces H_q^p and H_q^∞ satisfy

$$\sup_{0 \leq r < 1} (1 - r^2)^q \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\varphi})|^p d\varphi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$$

and

$$\sup_{z \in \Delta} (1 - |z|^2)^q |f(z)| < \infty.$$

For a basic reference to Hardy spaces, see [3].

Definition 1.4 ([4]) Let $\beta \in (0, \infty)$ be a constant. Then $f \in A$ is in space ε^β if and only if

$$|f(z)| \leq \exp \left(\frac{\alpha}{(1 - |z|)^\beta} \right)$$

for some constant $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$.

In 2000, Heittokangas investigated the properties of solutions of the equation

$$f'' + A(z)f = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

in Δ in his Doctoral thesis [2] and obtained the following result.

Theorem 1.5 ([2]) Let $A(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n z^n$, $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ be the analytic coefficient of (1.1) in Δ with $|a_n| \leq 1$ for all n . Then all solutions f of (1.1) belong to $\bigcap_{0 < p < \infty} Q_p$.

In 2011, Li and Wulan improved Theorem 1.5 and obtained the following result.

Theorem 1.6 ([5]) Let $A(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n z^n$, $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ be the analytic coefficient of (1.1) in Δ with $|a_n| \leq 1$ for all n . Then all solutions f of (1.1) belong to Dirichlet space D .

Remark 1.7 In fact, the inclusion $D \subset \bigcap_{0 < p < \infty} Q_p$ is strict, see [1].

Our first result contains Theorem 1.6 as a special case.

Theorem 1.8 Let $A(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n z^n$, $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ be the analytic coefficient of equation

$$f^{(k)} + A(z)f = 0, \quad k \geq 2 \quad (1.2)$$

in Δ with $|a_n| \leq n^{k-2}$ for all n . Then all solutions f of (1.2) belong to D .

In 2000, Heittokangas also investigated the properties of solutions of (1.2) in [2] and obtained the following result.

Theorem 1.9 ([2]) Let $A(z)$ be analytic coefficient of (1.2) in Δ satisfying

$$|A(z)| \leq \frac{\alpha}{(1 - |z|)^\beta}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$ are finite constants. Then

- (1) $f \in H^\infty$, if $0 \leq \beta < k$;
- (2) $f \in H_{\frac{\alpha}{(k-1)!}}^\infty$, if $\beta = k$;
- (3) $f \in \varepsilon^{\beta-k}$, if $k < \beta < \infty$.

In Theorem 1.9, Heittokangas investigated the properties of solutions of the higher-order linear differential equation (1.2). In this paper, we will investigate the properties of solutions of

the higher-order non-homogeneous linear differential equation

$$f^{(k)} + A(z)f = F(z), \quad (1.4)$$

and obtain a similar result to Theorem 1.9.

Theorem 1.10 Let $A(z)$, $F(z)$ be analytic coefficients of (1.3) in Δ satisfying

$$|A(z)| \leq \frac{\alpha}{(1-|z|)^\beta}, \quad F(z) \in H^p,$$

where $\alpha > 0$, $\beta \geq 0$ are finite constants, $\frac{1}{k} \leq p \leq +\infty$. Then every solution f of (1.4) satisfies:

- (1) $f \in H^\infty$, if $0 \leq \beta < k$;
- (2) $f \in H_{\frac{\alpha}{(k-1)!}}^\infty$, if $\beta = k$;
- (3) $f \in \varepsilon^{\beta-k}$, if $k < \beta < \infty$.

2. Lemmas for the proof of Theorems

Lemma 2.1 ([6]) Let $u(x), v(x) \geq 0$, c be a positive constant and $u \leq c + \int_0^t u v dt_1$. Then $u \leq c \exp(\int_0^t v dt_1)$.

Lemma 2.2 ([3]) If $f' \in H^p$ ($p < 1$), then $f \in H^q$, $q = \frac{p}{1-p}$.

Lemma 2.3 ([3]) If $f' \in H^1$, then $f \in H^\infty$.

Lemma 2.4 ([3]) If $f \in H^p$ ($0 < p < \infty$), then

$$|f(z)| \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_p (1-r)^{-\frac{1}{p}}, \quad r = |z|,$$

where $\|f\|_p = \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} (\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\varphi})|^p d\varphi)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

Lemma 2.5 If $f^{(k)}(z) \in H^p$ ($\frac{1}{k} \leq p \leq \infty, k \geq 2$), then $f(z) \in H^\infty$.

Proof We divide our proof into four cases.

Case 1 $1 < p < \infty$. Since $f^{(k)}(z) \in H^p$, by Definition 1.3 and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{(k)}(z)| &\leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f^{(k)}(z)\|_p (1-r)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} (1-r)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f^{(k)}(re^{i\varphi})|^p d\varphi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq M_1 (1-r)^{-\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $M_1(>0)$ is a constant. After integrating $f^{(k)}(z)$ from z_0 to z , we get

$$f^{(k-1)}(z) - f^{(k-1)}(z_0).$$

We choose $z_0 = 0$ and the path of integration to be the line segment $[0, z]$. Denoting $z = re^{i\varphi}$ and $\zeta = te^{i\varphi}$, $0 \leq t \leq r < 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{(k-1)}(z)| - |f^{(k-1)}(0)| &\leq |f^{(k-1)}(z) - f^{(k-1)}(0)| \\ &\leq \int_0^r |f^{(k)}(te^{i\varphi})| dt \leq \int_0^r M_1 (1-t)^{-\frac{1}{p}} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\sup_{z \in \Delta} |f^{(k-1)}(z)| < \infty$.

Now integrating $f^{(k-1)}(z)$ from z_0 to z , we get

$$f^{(k-2)}(z) - f^{(k-2)}(z_0).$$

We choose $z_0 = 0$ and the path of integration to be the line segment $[0, z]$. Denoting $z = re^{i\varphi}$ and $\zeta = te^{i\varphi}$, $0 \leq t \leq r < 1$, we obtain

$$|f^{(k-2)}(z)| - |f^{(k-2)}(0)| \leq |f^{(k-2)}(z) - f^{(k-2)}(0)| \leq \int_0^r |f^{(k-1)}(te^{i\varphi})| dt,$$

which means $\sup_{z \in \Delta} |f^{(k-2)}(z)| < \infty$.

Repeating the above process k times, we can obtain

$$|f(z)| - |f(0)| \leq |f(z) - f(0)| \leq \int_0^r |f'(te^{i\varphi})| dt,$$

which means $\sup_{z \in \Delta} |f(z)| < \infty$. Consequently $f(z) \in H^\infty$.

Case 2 $p = 1$. By Lemma 2.3, we have $f^{(k-1)}(z) \in H^\infty$ from the fact $f^{(k)}(z) \in H^1$. Using a similar discussion to case 1, we can get $f(z) \in H^\infty$.

Case 3 $\frac{1}{k} \leq p < 1$. We divide our discussion into two subcases.

Subcase 3.1 When $k = 2$, then $\frac{1}{2} \leq p < 1$. If $p = \frac{1}{2}$, since $f''(z) \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}$, by Lemma 2.2, we have $f'(z) \in H^1$. Then, by Lemma 2.3, we can get $f(z) \in H^\infty$. If $\frac{1}{2} < p < 1$, by Lemma 2.2, we have $f'(z) \in H^{\frac{p}{1-p}}$ and $\frac{p}{1-p} > 1$. Using a similar discussion to case 1, we conclude that $f(z) \in H^\infty$.

Subcase 3.2 When $k > 2$, we have $\frac{1}{k} < \frac{1}{2}$.

If $p = \frac{1}{2}$, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $f^{(k-1)}(z) \in H^1$. Then, by Lemma 2.3, we get $f^{(k-2)}(z) \in H^\infty$. Using a similar discussion to case 1 again, we obtain $f(z) \in H^\infty$.

If $p = \frac{1}{k}$, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $f^{(k-1)}(z) \in H^{\frac{1}{k-1}}$ and then $f^{(k-2)}(z) \in H^{\frac{1}{k-2}}$. By the induction, we can get $f'(z) \in H^1$. Thus, by Lemma 2.3, we conclude that $f(z) \in H^\infty$.

If $\frac{1}{2} < p < 1$, by Lemma 2.2, we have $f^{(k-1)}(z) \in H^{\frac{p}{1-p}}$ and $\frac{p}{1-p} > 1$. Consequently, using a similar discussion to case 1, we can get $f(z) \in H^\infty$.

If $\frac{1}{k} < p < \frac{1}{2}$, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $f^{(k-1)}(z) \in H^{\frac{p}{1-p}}$ and then $f^{(k-2)}(z) \in H^{\frac{p}{1-2p}}$. By the induction, we know $f^{(k-n)}(z) \in H^{\frac{p}{1-np}}$ ($1 \leq n < k$). When $\frac{1}{p}$ is an integer, we know $1 < \frac{1}{p} - 1 < k - 1$, and then $f^{(k-(\frac{1}{p}-1))}(z) \in H^{\frac{p}{1-(\frac{1}{p}-1)p}} = H^1$. By Lemma 2.3, we have $f^{(k-\frac{1}{p})}(z) \in H^\infty$, and then using a similar discussion to case 1 again, we can get $f(z) \in H^\infty$. When $\frac{1}{p}$ is not an integer, we know $1 < \frac{1}{p} - 1 < [\frac{1}{p}] < \frac{1}{p} < k$, where $[\frac{1}{p}]$ denotes integer part of $\frac{1}{p}$. Then $f^{(k-[\frac{1}{p}])}(z) \in H^{\frac{p}{1-[\frac{1}{p}]p}}$, where $\frac{p}{1-[\frac{1}{p}]p} > 1$. Using a similar discussion to case 1, we can get $f(z) \in H^\infty$.

Case 4 $p = \infty$. Using a similar discussion to case 1, we can conclude that $f(z) \in H^\infty$ from the fact $f^{(k)}(z) \in H^\infty$.

Finally, we complete the proof. \square

3. Proof of Theorems 1.8 and 1.10

Theorem 1.8 can be verified by following the proof of Theorem 2.4 in [5] with suitable modifications.

Proof of Theorem 1.8 We can find a positive integer $N_0(> k)$ sufficiently large such that

$$\frac{(n-k-1)^{k-2}}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}(n-1)\cdots(n+2-k)} \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{(n-k)^{k-2} + (n-k+1)^{k-2}}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}(n-1)\cdots(n+2-k)} \leq 1 \quad (3.1)$$

is true for $n > N_0$. Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ be a formal solution of (1.2). Then

$$f^{(k)} + A(z)f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [(n+k)(n+k-1)\cdots(n+1)b_{n+k} + c_n]z^n = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where $c_n = a_0 b_n + a_1 b_{n-1} + \cdots + a_n b_0$. Hence (3.2) holds if and only if

$$b_n = -\frac{c_{n-k}}{n(n-1)\cdots(n+1-k)}, \quad (3.3)$$

for all $n = k, k+1, \dots$. Choose a finite constant $M > 0$ such that $|b_0| \leq M$, $|b_1| \leq M$ and $|b_i| \leq \frac{M}{i(i-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ for all $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ ($n > N_0$). Then it follows from (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{n+1}| &= \frac{1}{(n+1)n\cdots(n+2-k)} |c_{n-k+1}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(n+1)n\cdots(n+2-k)} (|a_0 b_{n-k+1}| + \cdots + |a_{n-k+1} b_0|) \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (n+2-k+i)} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-k-1} \frac{i^{k-2}}{(n-k+1-i)(n-k-i)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + (n-k)^{k-2} + (n-k+1)^{k-2} \right\} \\ &= \frac{M}{(n+1)n^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{k-3} (n+2-k+i)} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k-1} \frac{i^{k-2}}{(n-k+1-i)(n-k-i)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{(n-k)^{k-2} + (n-k+1)^{k-2}}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{k-3} (n+2-k+i)} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{M}{(n+1)n^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left\{ \frac{(n-k-1)^{k-2}}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{k-3} (n+2-k+i)} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k-1} \frac{1}{(n-k+1-i)(n-k-i)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{(n-k)^{k-2} + (n-k+1)^{k-2}}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{k-3} (n+2-k+i)} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{M}{(n+1)n^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left\{ \frac{(n-k-1)^{k-2}}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{k-3} (n+2-k+i)} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k-1} \frac{1}{(n-k-i)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{(n-k)^{k-2} + (n-k+1)^{k-2}}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{k-3} (n+2-k+i)} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{M}{(n+1)n^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left\{ \frac{(n-k-1)^{k-2}}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{k-3} (n+2-k+i)} \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{(n-k)^{k-2} + (n-k+1)^{k-2}}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{k-3} (n+2-k+i)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.1) and $n > N_0$, gives

$$|b_{n+1}| \leq \frac{M}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}(n+1)}.$$

Therefore, $|b_n| \leq \frac{M}{(n-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}n}$ holds for all $n = 2, 3, \dots$ and so $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ is absolutely convergent

on Δ . Consequently f is analytic in Δ and by the definition of Dirichlet space, the assertion follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.10 The method of proof is the same as in the proof of [2, Theorem 4.2] that has been also applied in [7]. After integrating $f^{(k)}(z)$ from z_0 to z k times, we get

$$f(z) - \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{f^{(n)}(z_0)}{n!} (z - z_0)^n. \quad (3.4)$$

On the other hand,

$$A(z)f(z) = \frac{d^k}{dz^k} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_{z_0}^z (z - \zeta)^{k-1} A(\zeta) f(\zeta) d\zeta,$$

$$F(z) = \frac{d^k}{dz^k} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_{z_0}^z (z - \zeta)^{k-1} F(\zeta) d\zeta.$$

After respectively integrating $A(z)f(z)$, $F(z)$ from z_0 to z k times, we get

$$\frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_{z_0}^z (z - \zeta)^{k-1} A(\zeta) f(\zeta) d\zeta, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_{z_0}^z (z - \zeta)^{k-1} F(\zeta) d\zeta. \quad (3.6)$$

So by (1.3), (3.4)–(3.6), we have

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{f^{(n)}(z_0)}{n!} (z - z_0)^n + \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_{z_0}^z (z - \zeta)^{k-1} F(\zeta) d\zeta -$$

$$\frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_{z_0}^z (z - \zeta)^{k-1} A(\zeta) f(\zeta) d\zeta. \quad (3.7)$$

Since $F(z) \in H^p$ and $\frac{1}{k} \leq p \leq +\infty$, it follows that $\frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_{z_0}^z (z - \zeta)^{k-1} F(\zeta) d\zeta \in H^\infty$ because of Lemma 2.5. That is to say, there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$, such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_{z_0}^z (z - \zeta)^{k-1} F(\zeta) d\zeta \right| < C_1, \quad z \in \Delta. \quad (3.8)$$

We choose $z_0 = 0$ and the path of integration to be the line segment $[0, z]$ in (3.7). Denoting $z = re^{i\varphi}$ and $\zeta = te^{i\varphi}$, $0 \leq t \leq r < 1$ and combining with (3.8), we obtain

$$|f(z)| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{|f^{(n)}(0)|}{n!} r^n + C_1 + \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^r (1-t)^{k-1} |A(te^{i\varphi})| |f(te^{i\varphi})| dt.$$

Hence

$$|f(z)| \leq C + \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^r (1-t)^{k-1} |A(te^{i\varphi})| |f(te^{i\varphi})| dt, \quad (3.9)$$

where $C = \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{|f^{(n)}(0)|}{n!} r^n + C_1$.

By Lemma 1.1 and (3.9), we have

$$|f(z)| \leq C \exp \left(\frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^r (1-t)^{k-1} |A(te^{i\varphi})| dt \right).$$

It follows from (1.3) that

$$|f(z)| \leq C \exp \left(\frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^r \alpha(1-t)^{k-\beta-1} dt \right). \quad (3.10)$$

(1) If $0 \leq \beta < k$, it follows from (3.10) that

$$\sup_{z \in \Delta} |f(z)| \leq \sup_{z \in \Delta} \left\{ C \exp \left(\frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^r \alpha(1-t)^{k-\beta-1} dt \right) \right\} < \infty,$$

which means $f \in H^\infty$.

(2) If $\beta = k$, it follows from (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{(k-1)!}} |f(re^{i\varphi})| &\leq (1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{(k-1)!}} C \exp \left(\frac{\alpha}{(k-1)!} \int_0^r (1-t)^{-1} dt \right) \\ &\leq C(1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{(k-1)!}} \left(\frac{1}{1-r} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{(k-1)!}} \leq C 2^{\frac{\alpha}{(k-1)!}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $f \in H_{(k-1)!}^\infty$.

(3) If $k < \beta < \infty$, it follows from (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq C \exp \left(\frac{\alpha}{(k-1)!} \int_0^r (1-t)^{k-\beta-1} dt \right) \\ &= C \exp \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{(k-1)!} \left(\frac{(1-r)^{k-\beta}}{\beta-k} - \frac{1}{\beta-k} \right) \right\} \\ &= C \exp \left(\frac{-\alpha}{(k-1)!(\beta-k)} \right) \exp \left(\frac{1}{(k-1)!(\beta-k)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{(1-r)^{\beta-k}} \right) \right) \\ &< \exp \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{(1-r)^{\beta-k}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where α_1 is some positive constant, so $f \in \varepsilon^{\beta-k}$. Now we complete the proof of Theorem 1.10.

□

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the referees for helpful suggestions to improve this paper.

References

- [1] R. AULASKARI, Jie XIAO, Ruhan ZHAO. *On subspaces and subsets of BMOA and UBC*. Analysis, 1995, **15**(2): 101–121.
- [2] J. HEITTOKANGAS. *On complex differential equations in the unit disc*. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss., 2000, **122**: 1–54.
- [3] P. DUREN. *Theory of H^p Spaces*. Academic Press, New York-London, 1970.
- [4] H. S. SHAPIRO, A. L. SHIELDS. *On the zeros of functions with finite Dirichlet integral and some related function spaces*. Math. Z., 1962, **80**: 217–229.
- [5] Hao LI, Hasi WULAN. *Linear differential equations with solutions in the Q_k spaces*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2011, **375**(2): 478–489.
- [6] I. LAINE. *Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Differential Equations*. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1993.
- [7] J. HEITTOKANGAS, R. KORHONEN, J. RÄTTYÄ. *Growth estimates for solutions of nonhomogeneous linear differential equations*. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., 2009, **34**(1): 145–156.