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#### Abstract

In this paper, finite $p$-groups $G$ with $G / H^{G}$ being cyclic for every minimal nonnormal subgroup $H$ are classified up to isomorphism, where $H^{G}$ denotes the normal closure of $H$.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, all groups considered are finite groups. Let $G$ be a finite group. Given a nonempty subset $H$ of $G$, the normal closure of $H$ in $G$ is the intersection of all normal subgroups of $G$ which contain $H$, it is denoted by $H^{G}$. Obviously, $H^{G}$ is the smallest normal subgroup containing $H$ and it is easy to show that $H^{G}=\left\langle h^{g} \mid h \in H, g \in G\right\rangle$. If $H$ is a subgroup of $G$, we notice that $H \leq H^{G} \leq G$ and

$$
H=H^{G} \text { if and only if } H \unlhd G
$$

Thus a subgroup may be regarded as "far normal" if it has "large" normal closure or "nearly normal" if it has "small" normal closure. Finite p-groups with "small" normal closure have been investigated in $[1-3]$, respectively. On the other hand, finite $p$-groups with "large" normal closure have also been investigated. For example, Janko [4] classified finite $p$-groups $G$ such that $\left|G: H^{G}\right|=p$ for every nonnormal subgroup $H$ of $G$. Zhao and Guo [5] classified finite $p$-groups $G$ such that $\left|G: H^{G}\right| \leq p^{2}$ for every nonnormal cyclic subgroup $H$ of $G$. As a continuation of Janko, Zhao and Guo's works, we classify finite $p$-groups such that $G / H^{G}$ is cyclic for every nonnormal subgroup $H$ of $G$ in this paper.

For convenience, a finite $p$-group $G$ is called a $\mathcal{C}_{c}$-group if $G / H^{G}$ is cyclic for every minimal nonnormal subgroup $H$.

In Lemma 2.4, we give some equivalent conditions for a finite $p$-group $G$ to be a $\mathcal{C}_{c}$-group. It turns out that $G$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{c^{-}}$-group if and only if every nonnormal subgroup of $G$ is contained in exactly one maximal subgroup of $G$. In [6], Janko gave a classification of such finite $p$-groups.

[^0]Hence $\mathcal{C}_{c}$-groups are classified. This paper will give an independent proof of this classification by using central extension. In Theorem 3.1, $\mathcal{C}_{c}$-groups will be classified up to isomorphism and more detail information of $\mathcal{C}_{c}$-groups will be given.

Throughout this paper, $p$ is always a prime. Let $G$ be a finite $p$-group. The $n$th term of the lower central series of $G$ is denoted by $G_{n}$ and $G^{\prime}=G_{2}$. We use $c(G), \exp (G)$ and $d(G)$ to denote the nilpotency class, the exponent and the minimal number of generators of $G$ respectively. $o(g)$ denotes the order of $g$, and $M \lessdot G$ denotes that $M$ is a maximal subgroup of $G$. We also use $C_{p^{n}}$ and $E_{p^{n}}$ to denote the cyclic group and the elementary abelian group of order $p^{n}$, respectively, where $C_{p^{0}}=E_{p^{0}}=1$.

We also use the following notation.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega_{1}(G)=\left\langle g \in G \mid g^{p}=1\right\rangle \text { and } \mho_{1}(G)=\left\langle g^{p} \mid g \in G\right\rangle . \\
M_{p}(n, m)=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{p^{n}}=b^{p^{m}}=1, a^{b}=a^{1+p^{n-1}}\right\rangle \text {, where } n \geq 2 . \\
M_{p}(n, m, 1)=\left\langle a, b ; c \mid a^{p^{n}}=b^{p^{m}}=c^{p}=1,[a, b]=c,[c, a]=[c, b]=1\right\rangle,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $n \geq m$, and $m+n \geq 3$ if $p=2$.
The other terminology and notations are standard, as in [7].

## 2. Preliminaries

A nonabelian $p$-group is said to be minimal nonabelian if all its proper subgroups are abelian. A $p$-group is said to be Dedekindian if all its subgroups are normal.

Lemma 2.1 ([8]) Let $G$ be a minimal nonabelian $p$-group. Then $G$ is $Q_{8}, M_{p}(m, n)$, or $M_{p}(m, n, 1)$.

Lemma 2.2 ([9, Theorem 1.1]) If $G$ is Dedekindian, then $G$ is either abelian or $G \cong Q_{8} \times E_{2^{n}}$.
Lemma 2.3 Let $G$ be a finite p-group. If $\left|G^{\prime}\right| \geq p^{2}$, then there exists $N \unlhd G$ such that $G / N$ is not a Dedekindian group, where $N \leq G^{\prime} \cap Z(G)$ and $|K|=p$.

Proof Since $\left|G^{\prime}\right| \geq p^{2}, G$ is not Dedekindian. By [9, Lemma 2.1], there exists $K \unlhd G$ such that $\left|G^{\prime}: K\right|=p$ and $G / K$ is not Dedekindian. Thus there exists $N \leq K \cap Z(G) \leq G^{\prime} \cap Z(G)$ such that $|N|=p$. Since $G / K \cong(G / N) /(K / N), G / N$ is not Dedekindian.

A minimal nonnormal subgroup of a finite group $G$ is a nonnormal subgroup whose proper subgroups are normal in $G$. We have the following

Lemma 2.4 Let $G$ be a finite p-group which is not Dedekindian. Then the following statements are equivalent.
(1) The factor group $G / H^{G}$ is cyclic for every minimal nonnormal subgroup $H$ of $G$.
(2) The factor group $G / H^{G}$ is cyclic for every nonnormal subgroup $H$ of $G$.
(3) Every subgroup of $\Phi(G)$ is normal in $G$ and $d(G)=2$.
(4) Every nonnormal subgroup is contained in exactly one maximal subgroup of $G$.

Proof $(1) \Rightarrow(2)$. Let $H$ be a nonnormal subgroup of $G$. Then there exists $L \leq H$ such that $L$ is a minimal nonnormal subgroup of $G$. Thus $G / L^{G}$ is cyclic. It follows that $G / H^{G}$ is cyclic.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$. Let $H \leq \Phi(G)$. Then $H^{G} \leq \Phi(G)$. Since $G / \Phi(G)$ is not cyclic, $G / H^{G}$ is not cyclic. It follows that $H \unlhd G$. Thus every subgroup of $\Phi(G)$ is normal in $G$.

Let $H$ be a minimal nonnormal subgroup of $G$. By [9, Lemma 1.4], $H$ is cyclic. Let $H=\langle a\rangle$. Since $H^{G} \leq H G^{\prime}$ and $G / H^{G}$ is cyclic, $G / H G^{\prime}$ is cyclic. Let $G / H G^{\prime}=\langle\bar{b}\rangle$. Then $G=\left\langle a, b, G^{\prime}\right\rangle=\langle a, b\rangle$. Thus $d(G)=2$.
$(3) \Rightarrow(4)$. Since $d(G)=2, M_{1} \cap M_{2}=\Phi(G)$ for any two distinct maximal subgroups $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ of $G$. If $H \leq M_{1} \cap M_{2}=\Phi(G)$, then $H \unlhd G$. It follows that (4) holds.
$(4) \Rightarrow(1)$. Let $H$ be a minimal nonnormal subgroup of $G$. Then $H$ is contained in exactly one maximal subgroup of $G$. It follows that $H \Phi(G)$ is contained in exactly one maximal subgroup of $G$. Hence $G / H \Phi(G)$ is of order $p$ by correspondence theorem. Let $G / H \Phi(G)=\langle\bar{a}\rangle$. Then $G=\langle a, H, \Phi(G)\rangle=\langle a\rangle H^{G}$. Thus $G / H^{G}=\langle\bar{a}\rangle$. (1) holds.

Lemma 2.5 Let $G$ be a $\mathcal{C}_{c}$-group. Then the following statements hold.
(1) If $N \unlhd G$, then $G / N$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{c}$-group.
(2) The derived subgroup $G^{\prime}$ is cyclic.
(3) If $H \leq \Phi(G)$ and $H \cap G^{\prime}=1$, then $H \leq Z(G)$.

Proof (1) Let $\bar{G}=G / N$ and $\bar{H}=H / N \nsubseteq \bar{G}$. Then $H \npreceq G$. Notice that $\bar{G} /\left(\bar{H}^{\bar{G}}\right)=\bar{G} / \overline{H^{G}} \cong$ $G / H^{G}$. Since $G$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{c}$-group, $\bar{G}$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{c}$-group.
(2) Since $G$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{c^{-}}$-group, $d(G)=2$ by Lemma 2.4(3). Let $G=\langle a, b\rangle$. Then $G^{\prime}=\left\langle[a, b]^{g}\right|$ $g \in G\rangle$. Since $\langle[a, b]\rangle \leq \Phi(G),\langle[a, b]\rangle \unlhd G$ by Lemma 2.4(3). It follows that $G^{\prime}=\langle[a, b]\rangle$.
(3) If $H \leq \Phi(G)$, then $H \unlhd G$ by Lemma 2.4(3). It follows that [ $H, G] \leq H \cap G^{\prime}=1$. Thus $H \leq Z(G)$.

Lemma 2.6 Let $G$ be a finite p-group. Then $G$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{c}$-group if $G$ is one of following groups.
(1) $G$ is a 2-group of maximal class.
(2) $G$ is a minimal nonabelian $p$-group.

Proof (1) Let $H$ be a nonnormal subgroup of $G$. Since $G$ is a 2-group of maximal class, $G_{i}$ is the unique normal subgroup of order $2^{n-i}$. It follows that $H^{G}=G_{i}$ or a maximal subgroup of $G$. If $H^{G}=G_{i}$, which is cyclic, then $H$ char $G_{i} \triangleleft G$. It follows that $H \triangleleft G$, a contradiction. Thus $H^{G}$ is a maximal subgroup of $G$ and so $G / H^{G}$ is cyclic. It follows that $G$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{c}$-group.
(2) Let $H$ be a nonnormal subgroup of $G$. Notice that $G$ is a minimal nonabelian $p$-group, we get $d(G)=2,\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p$ and $Z(G)=\Phi(G)$. It follows that $H \not 又 \Phi(G)$ and $H \Phi(G)$ is of index at most $p$. Since $H$ is not normal in $G, H<H^{G} \leq H G^{\prime}$ and $\left|H G^{\prime}: H\right|=p$. Thus $H^{G}=H G^{\prime}$. Let $\bar{G}=G / H^{G}$. Then

$$
|\bar{G} / \Phi(\bar{G})|=|\bar{G} / \overline{\Phi(G)}|=|G / \Phi(G) H|=p
$$

It follows that $G / H^{G}$ is cyclic. So $G$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{c^{c}}$-group.

## 3. The main results and their proofs

If all subgroups of $G$ are normal, then $G$ is a Dedekindian group. The groups have been classified. Thus we consider the $\mathcal{C}_{c}$-groups with nonnormal subgroups in this section.

Theorem 3.1 Let $G$ be a finite p-group with nonnormal subgroups. Then $G$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{c}$-group if and only if one of the following occurs.
I. $M_{p}(n, m)$ or $M_{p}(n, m, 1)$.
II. 2-groups of maximal class of order $\geq 2^{4}$.
III. Nonmetacyclic 2-groups of order $2^{n+2}$, where $n \geq 3$.
(1) $\left\langle a, b, c \mid a^{2^{n}}=b^{2}=1,[a, b]=c, c^{2}=a^{-4},[c, a]=1,[c, b]=c^{-2}\right\rangle$.
(2) $\left\langle a, b, c \mid a^{2^{n}}=1, b^{2}=a^{2^{n-1}},[a, b]=c, c^{2}=a^{-4},[c, a]=1,[c, b]=c^{-2}\right\rangle$.

In groups (1) and (2) of III, $G^{\prime}=\langle c\rangle \cong C_{2^{n-1}}, \Phi(G)=\left\langle a^{2}\right\rangle \times\left\langle a^{2} c\right\rangle \cong C_{2^{n-1}} \times C_{2}, Z(G)=$ $\left\langle a^{2^{n-1}}\right\rangle \times\left\langle a^{2} c\right\rangle \cong C_{2}^{2}$.
(3) $\left\langle a, b, c \mid a^{2^{n}}=b^{4}=1,[a, b]=c, c^{2}=a^{-4},[c, a]=1,[c, b]=c^{-2}\right\rangle$, where $G^{\prime}=\langle c\rangle \cong$ $C_{2^{n-1}}, \Phi(G)=\left\langle a^{2}\right\rangle \times\left\langle a^{2} c\right\rangle \times\left\langle b^{2}\right\rangle \cong C_{2^{n-1}} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}, Z(G)=\left\langle a^{2^{n-1}}\right\rangle \times\left\langle a^{2} c\right\rangle \times\left\langle b^{2}\right\rangle \cong C_{2}^{3}$.
IV. Metacyclic 2-groups of order $2^{n+2}$, where $n \geq 3$.
(1) $\left\langle a, b \mid a^{2^{n}}=b^{4}=1,[a, b]=a^{-2}\right\rangle$.
(2) $\left\langle a, b \mid a^{2^{n}}=b^{4}=1,[a, b]=a^{-2+2^{n-1}}\right\rangle$.

In groups (1) and (2) of IV, $G^{\prime}=\left\langle a^{2}\right\rangle \cong C_{2^{n-1}}, \Phi(G)=\left\langle a^{2}\right\rangle \times\left\langle b^{2}\right\rangle \cong C_{2^{n-1}} \times C_{2}, Z(G)=$ $\left\langle a^{2^{n-1}}\right\rangle \times\left\langle b^{2}\right\rangle \cong C_{2}^{2}$.

Proof Let $G$ be a $\mathcal{C}_{c}$-group with nonnormal subgroups. By Lemma 2.4(3), every subgroup of $\Phi(G)$ is normal in $G$ and $d(G)=2$. If $p>2$, then $\Phi(G) \leq Z(G)$ by [7, $\S 4$, Exercise 8]. It follows that $G$ is a minimal nonabelian $p$-group. Since $G$ has nonnormal subgroups, $G$ is not Dedekindian. By Lemma 2.1, we get $G$ is isomorphic to $M_{p}(n, m)$ or $M_{p}(n, m, 1)$.

Next, we complete the proof by induction on $\left|G^{\prime}\right|$ for $p=2$. Assume $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=2$. By Lemma $2.4(3)$, we get $d(G)=2$. It follows that $G$ is a minimal nonabelian $p$-group. By Lemma 2.1, group $G$ is $M_{p}(n, m)$ or $M_{p}(n, m, 1)$. Assume $\left|G^{\prime}\right| \geq 2^{2}$. Then there exists a normal subgroup $N$ of order 2 of $G$ such that $N \leq G^{\prime} \cap Z(G)$. Let $\bar{G}=G / N$. Then $\bar{G}$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{c^{\prime}}$-group by Lemma 2.5(1), $\bar{G}$ has nonnormal subgroups by Lemma 2.3 and $\left|\bar{G}^{\prime}\right|<\left|G^{\prime}\right|$. By induction, $\bar{G}$ is one of groups of Theorem.

Case $1 \bar{G}$ is a 2-group of maximal class or $\bar{G} \cong M_{p}(n, 1)$, where $n \geq 2$.
Let $\bar{G}=\langle\bar{a}, \bar{b}\rangle$ and $N=\langle x\rangle$. Then $G$ is metacyclic by [10, Theorem 1]. Thus $N=\left\langle a^{2^{n}}\right\rangle$ and $G=\langle a, b\rangle$. It follows that $\langle a\rangle$ is a cyclic maximal subgroup of $G$. Since $\left|G^{\prime}\right| \geq p^{2}, G$ is a 2 -group of maximal class by [7, Theorem 1.2]. Thus $G$ is one of groups of type II.

Case $2 \bar{G} \cong M_{p}(n, m)$, where $n, m \geq 2$.
Let $\bar{G}=\left\langle\bar{a}, \bar{b} \mid \bar{a}^{2^{n}}=\bar{b}^{2^{m}}=\overline{1},[\bar{a}, \bar{b}]=\bar{a}^{2^{n-1}}\right\rangle$ and $N=\langle x\rangle$. Then $G$ is metacyclic by [10, Theorem 1]. Thus $N=\left\langle a^{2^{n}}\right\rangle$ and $G=\langle a, b\rangle$ with relations

$$
a^{2^{n+1}}=1, b^{2^{m}}=a^{j 2^{n}},[a, b]=a^{2^{n-1}+k 2^{n}},
$$

where $j, k \in\{0,1\}$.
We can assume that $j=0$. In fact, if $j=1$ and $m \geq n$, then $\left(b^{-2^{m-n+1}} \cdot a^{2}\right)^{2^{n-1}}=$ $b^{-2^{m}} \cdot a^{2^{n}}=1$. It follows that $\left\langle b^{-2^{m-n+1}} \cdot a^{2}\right\rangle \cap G^{\prime}=1$. Notice that $a^{2} \notin Z(G)$, then $b^{-2^{m-n+1}} \cdot a^{2} \notin$ $Z(G)$. Hence $\left\langle b^{-2^{m-n+1}} \cdot a^{2}\right\rangle \notin G$. This contradicts Lemma 2.4(3). It follows that $m<n$ if $j=1$. Since $m \geq 2, n \geq 3$. Let $b_{1}=b a^{-2^{n-m}}$. Then $b_{1}^{2^{m}}=1$. So we can assume that $j=0$.

Since $\left\langle b^{2}\right\rangle \leq \Phi(G)$, by Lemma 2.4(3), we get $\left\langle b^{2}\right\rangle \unlhd G$. Notice that $\left\langle b^{2}\right\rangle \cap G^{\prime}=1$, then $\left[a, b^{2}\right]=1$. Thus

$$
1=\left[a, b^{2}\right]=[a, b]^{2}[a, b, b]=a^{2^{n}}\left[a^{2^{n-1}}, b\right]=a^{2^{n}}[a, b]^{2^{n-1}}=a^{2^{n}} a^{2^{2 n-2}} .
$$

It follows that $n-2 \equiv 0(\bmod n+1)$, which implies that $n=2$.
If $m \geq 3$, then $\left(a^{2} b^{2^{m-2}}\right)^{2^{2}}=\left(a^{2^{2}} b^{2^{m-1}}\right)^{2}=a^{2^{3}} b^{2^{m}}=1$. It follows that $\left\langle a^{2} b^{2^{m-2}}\right\rangle \cap G^{\prime}=1$. Notice that $a^{2} \notin Z(G)$, then $a^{2} b^{2^{m-2}} \notin Z(G)$. Hence $\left\langle a^{2} b^{2^{m-2}}\right\rangle \notin G$. This contradicts Lemma 2.4(3). It follows that $m=2$.

Now $G$ is one of groups of $\operatorname{IV}(1)$ or $\operatorname{IV}(2)$.
Case $3 \bar{G} \cong M_{2}(n, m, 1)$, where $n \geq m$ and $m+n \geq 3$.
Let $\bar{G}=\left\langle\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c} \mid \bar{a}^{2^{n}}=\bar{b}^{2^{m}}=\bar{c}^{2}=\overline{1},[\bar{a}, \bar{b}]=\bar{c},[\bar{a}, \bar{c}]=[\bar{b}, \bar{c}]=\overline{1}\right\rangle$. By Lemma 2.5(2), we get $G^{\prime}$ is cyclic. It follows that $N=\left\langle c^{2}\right\rangle$. Thus

$$
G=\left\langle a, b, c \mid a^{2^{n}}=c^{i 2}, b^{2^{m}}=c^{j^{2}}, c^{2^{2}}=1,[a, b]=c^{1+k 2},[a, c]=c^{s 2},[b, c]=c^{t 2}\right\rangle,
$$

where $i, j, k, s, t \in\{0,1\}$.
We can assume $k=0$ by letting $c_{1}=c^{1+k 2}$.
We may assume $s=0$, that is, $[a, c]=1$. If $s t=0$, without loss of generality, we can let $s=0$. If $s t=1$, letting $a_{1}=a b$, then $\left[a_{1}, c\right]=[a, c][b, c]=c^{4}=1$. Thus we can assume that $s=0$.

Since $\left[a^{2}, b\right]=[a, b]^{2}=c^{2} \neq 1, a^{2} \notin Z(G)$. It follows that $n \geq 2$. Notice that $\left\langle a^{2}\right\rangle \leq \Phi(G)$, by Lemma 2.4(3), $\left\langle a^{2}\right\rangle \unlhd G$. Thus $\left[a^{2}, b\right]=c^{2} \in\left\langle a^{2}\right\rangle$. It follows that $i=1$.

If $t=0$, that is $[b, c]=1$, then $\left[a, b^{2}\right]=[a, b]^{2}=c^{2}$. Thus $b^{2} \notin Z(G)$. It follows that $m \geq 2$. Since $\left\langle b^{2}\right\rangle \leq \Phi(G)$, by Lemma $2.5(3)$, we can get $j=1$. If $n \geq m$, then $\left(b^{2} a^{2^{n-m+1}}\right)^{2^{m-1}}=$ $b^{2^{m}} a^{2^{n}}=1$. It follows that $\left\langle b^{2} a^{2^{n-m+1}}\right\rangle \cap G^{\prime}=1$. Notice that $\left\langle b^{2} a^{2^{n-m+1}}\right\rangle \leq \Phi(G)$, then $\left\langle b^{2} a^{2^{n-m+1}}\right\rangle \leq Z(G)$, which contradicts that $\left[b^{2} a^{2^{n-m+1}}, a\right]=\left[b^{2}, a\right]=c^{2} \neq 1$. If $m \geq n$, consider $\left\langle a^{2} b^{2^{m-n+1}}\right\rangle$, we can get a contradiction too. Thus $t=1$.

Since $\left(a^{2^{n-1}} c\right)^{2}=a^{2^{n}} c^{2}=c^{4}=1,\left\langle a^{2^{n-1}} c\right\rangle \cap G^{\prime}=1$. Notice that $\left\langle a^{2^{n-1}} c\right\rangle \leq \Phi(G)$, then $\left\langle a^{2^{n-1}} c\right\rangle \leq Z(G)$. It follows that

$$
1=\left[a^{2^{n-1}} c, b\right]=[a, b]^{2^{n-1}}[c, b]=c^{2^{n-1}} c^{2}=c^{2\left(1+2^{n-2}\right)} .
$$

We obtain that $t+2^{n-2} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. Thus $n=2$.
We assert that $m \leq 2$. Otherwise, if $m \geq 3$, then $a^{2} b^{2^{m-1}}, a^{2} b^{2^{m-2}} \in \Phi(G)-Z(G)$. By Lemma 2.5(3), we can get $\left\langle a^{2} b^{2^{m-1}}\right\rangle \cap G^{\prime} \neq 1$ and $\left\langle a^{2} b^{2^{m-2}}\right\rangle \cap G^{\prime} \neq 1$. If $j=1$, then $\left(a^{2} b^{2^{m-1}}\right)^{2}=1$. It follows that $\left\langle a^{2} b^{2^{m-1}}\right\rangle \cap G^{\prime}=1$, a contradiction. If $j=0$, then $\left(a^{2} b^{2^{m-2}}\right)^{2^{2}}=$ $\left(a^{2^{2}} b^{2^{m-1}}\right)^{2}=1$. It follows that $\left\langle a^{2} b^{2^{m-2}}\right\rangle \cap G^{\prime}=1$, a contradiction too. Thus $m \leq 2$.

If $m=1$, then $G$ is one of groups $\operatorname{III}(1)$ or (2).
If $m=2$, we can get $j=0$. If $j=1$, then $\left\langle b^{2} c\right\rangle \leq \Phi(G)$ and $\left\langle b^{2} c\right\rangle \not \approx Z(G)$. By Lemma 2.5(3), we can get $\left\langle b^{2} c\right\rangle \cap G^{\prime} \neq 1$. Since $\left(b^{2} c\right)^{2}=b^{4} c^{2}=1,\left\langle b^{2} c\right\rangle \cap G^{\prime}=1$, a contradiction. Thus $j=0$ if $m=2$, and $G$ is one of groups $\operatorname{III}(3)$.

Case $4 \bar{G}$ is one of groups III(1) of Theorem.
Let $\bar{G}=\left\langle\bar{a}, \bar{b} \mid \bar{a}^{2^{n}}=1, \bar{b}^{2}=1,[\bar{a}, \bar{b}]=\bar{c}, \bar{c}^{2}=\bar{a}^{-4},[\bar{c}, \bar{a}]=1,[\bar{c}, \bar{b}]=\bar{c}^{-2}\right\rangle$ and $N=\langle x\rangle$.
Then

$$
G=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{2^{n}}=x^{i}, b^{2}=x^{j},[a, b]=c x^{k}, c^{2}=a^{-4} x^{l},[c, a]=x^{s},[c, b]=c^{-2} x^{t}\right\rangle
$$

where $i, j, k, l, s, t \in\{0,1\}$.
By Lemma 2.5(2), $G^{\prime}$ is cyclic. It follows that $G^{\prime}=\langle c\rangle$ and $N=\left\langle c^{2^{n-1}}\right\rangle$. If $a^{2^{n}}=1$, notice that $n \geq 3$, then $1=\left(a^{-4} x^{l}\right)^{2^{n-2}}=\left(c^{2}\right)^{2^{n-2}}=c^{2^{n-1}}$, a contradiction. Thus $i=1$. Since $b^{2} \in N \leq Z(G)$, by computation, we get $1=\left[a, b^{2}\right]=[a, b]^{2}[a, b, b]=c^{2} c^{-2} x^{t}=x^{t}$. Thus $t=0$. We can assume $k=0$ by letting $c_{1}=c x^{k}$. It follows that

$$
G=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{2^{n+1}}=1, b^{2}=c^{j 2^{n-1}},[a, b]=c, c^{2}=a^{-4} c^{l 2^{n-1}},[c, a]=c^{s 2^{n-1}},[c, b]=c^{-2}\right\rangle
$$

where $j, l, s \in\{0,1\}$.
If $s=1$, then

$$
\left(c^{1+l 2^{n-2}} a^{2}\right)^{2}=c^{2} c^{l 2^{n-1}} a^{4}=a^{-4} c^{l 2^{n-1}} c^{l 2^{n-1}} a^{4}=1
$$

It follow that $\left\langle c^{1+l 2^{n-2}} a\right\rangle \cap G^{\prime}=1$. Notice that $\left\langle c^{1+l 2^{n-2}} a\right\rangle \leq \Phi(G)$, by Lemma 2.5(3), we get $\left\langle c^{1+l 2^{n-2}} a\right\rangle \leq Z(G)$. Since $n \geq 3$ and $[c, a] \in Z(G)$, by computation, we get $\left[c^{1+l 2^{n-2}} a^{2}, a\right]=$ $\left[c^{1+l 2^{n-2}}, a\right]=[c, a]=c^{s 2^{n-1}} \neq 1$, a contradiction. Thus $s=0$.

If $l=1$, then $\left(c a^{2-2^{n-1}}\right)^{2}=c^{2} a^{2^{2}-2^{n}}=1$. It follows that $\left\langle c a^{2-2^{n-1}}\right\rangle \cap G^{\prime}=1$. Notice that $\left\langle c a^{2-2^{n-1}}\right\rangle \leq \Phi(G)$, by Lemma $2.5(3),\left\langle c a^{2-2^{n-1}}\right\rangle \leq Z(G)$. Since $n \geq 3$ and $[c, a]=1$, by computation, we get
$\left[c a^{2-2^{n-1}}, b\right]=[c, b]\left[a^{2-2^{n-1}}, b\right]=c^{-2}\left[a^{2}, b\right]\left[a^{-2^{n-1}}, b\right]=c^{-2}[a, b]^{2}[a, b, a][a, b]^{-2^{n-1}}=c^{-2^{n-1}} \neq 1$, a contradiction. Thus $l=0$.

If $j=0$, then $G$ is one of groups III(1). If $j=1$, then $G$ is one of groups III(2).
Case $5 \bar{G}$ is one of groups $\operatorname{III}(2)$ of Theorem.
Let $\bar{G}=\left\langle\bar{a}, \bar{b} \mid \bar{a}^{2^{n}}=1, \bar{b}^{2}=\bar{a}^{2^{n-1}},[\bar{a}, \bar{b}]=\bar{c}, \bar{c}^{2}=\bar{a}^{-4},[\bar{c}, \bar{a}]=1,[\bar{c}, \bar{b}]=(\bar{c})^{-2}\right\rangle$ and $N=\langle x\rangle$. Then

$$
G=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{2^{n}}=x^{i}, b^{2}=a^{2^{n-1}} x^{j},[a, b]=c x^{k}, c^{2}=a^{-4} x^{l},[c, a]=x^{s},[c, b]=c^{-2} x^{t}\right\rangle,
$$

where $i, j, k, l, s, t \in\{0,1\}$.
By a similar argument as in case 4, we can get $G^{\prime}=\langle c\rangle$ and $x=c^{2^{n-1}}=a^{2^{n}}$. Since $\left[a^{2}, b\right]=[a, b]^{2}[a, b, a]$ and $\left[a^{2}, b, a^{2}\right]=\left[c, a^{2}\right]\left[x^{s}, a^{2}\right]=[c, a]^{2}=1$, noticing that $n \geq 3$, we can get

$$
1=\left[b^{2}, b\right]=\left[a^{2^{n-1}} x, b\right]=\left[\left(a^{2}\right)^{2^{n-2}}, b\right]=\left[a^{2}, b\right]^{2^{n-2}}=[a, b]^{2^{n-1}}=c^{2^{n-1}}
$$

a contradiction. Thus $G / N$ is not one of groups III(2).
Case $6 \bar{G}$ is one of groups $\operatorname{III}(3)$ of Theorem.
Let $\bar{G}=\left\langle\bar{a}, \bar{b} \mid \bar{a}^{2^{n}}=1, \bar{b}^{4}=1,[\bar{a}, \bar{b}]=\bar{c}, \bar{c}^{2}=\bar{a}^{-4},[\bar{c}, \bar{a}]=1,[\bar{c}, \bar{b}]=(\bar{c})^{-2}\right\rangle$ and $N=\langle x\rangle$.
Then

$$
G=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{2^{n}}=x^{i}, b^{4}=x^{j},[a, b]=c x^{k}, c^{2}=a^{-4} x^{l},[c, a]=x^{s},[c, b]=c^{-2} x^{t}\right\rangle
$$

where $i, j, k, l, s, t \in\{0,1\}$.
We can assume $k=0$ by letting $c_{1}=c x^{k}$. By a similar argument as in Case 4, we can get $G^{\prime}=\langle c\rangle$, and $x=c^{2^{n-1}}=a^{2^{n}}$ and $s=0$.

If $j=1$, that is $b^{4}=x$, then $\left(b^{2} c^{2^{n-2}}\right)^{2}=b^{4} c^{2^{n-1}}\left[b^{2}, c^{2^{n-2}}\right]=x^{2}=1$. It follows that $\left\langle b^{2} c^{2^{n-2}}\right\rangle \cap G^{\prime}=1$. Notice that $\left\langle b^{2} c^{2^{n-2}}\right\rangle \leq \Phi(G)$, by Lemma 2.5(3), we get $\left\langle b^{2} c^{2^{n-2}}\right\rangle \leq Z(G)$. By computation,

$$
1=\left[b^{2} c^{2^{n-2}}, b\right]=[c, b]^{2^{n-2}}=c^{-2^{n-1}}
$$

a contradiction. Thus $j=0$, that is $b^{4}=1$.
Since $\left\langle b^{2}\right\rangle \leq \Phi(G)$ and $\left\langle b^{2}\right\rangle \cap G^{\prime}=1$, by Lemma 2.5(3), we get $\left\langle b^{2}\right\rangle \leq Z(G)$. Thus $1=\left[a, b^{2}\right]=[a, b]^{2}[a, b, b]=c^{2}[c, b]=c^{2} c^{-2} x^{t}=x^{t}$. It follows that $t=0$.

If $l=1$, that is $c^{2}=a^{-4} a^{2^{n}}$, then $\left(c a^{2^{n-1}+2}\right)^{2}=c^{2} a^{2^{n}+2^{2}}=1$. It follows that $\left\langle c a^{2^{n-1}+2}\right\rangle \cap$ $G^{\prime}=1$. Notice that $\left\langle c a^{2^{n-1}+2}\right\rangle \leq \Phi(G)$, by Lemma 2.5(3), we get $\left\langle c a^{2^{n-1}+2}\right\rangle \leq Z(G)$. Thus $\left[c a^{2^{n-1}+2}, a\right]=\left[c a^{2^{n-1}+2}, b\right]=1$. By computation, we get

$$
1=\left[c a^{2^{n-1}+2}, a\right]=[c, a]
$$

and so

$$
1=\left[c a^{2^{n-1}+2}, b\right]=[c, b]\left[a^{2}, b\right]^{2^{n-2}+1}=c^{-2} x^{t}\left(c^{2}\right)^{2^{n-2}+1}=x^{t} c^{2^{n-1}}
$$

This implies that $t=1$, a contradiction. Thus $l=0$ and $G$ is one of groups $\operatorname{III}(3)$.
Case $7 \bar{G}$ is one of groups $\operatorname{IV}(1)$ of Theorem.
Let $\bar{G}=\left\langle\bar{a}, \bar{b} \mid \bar{a}^{2^{n}}=1, \bar{b}^{4}=1,[\bar{a}, \bar{b}]=\bar{a}^{-2}\right\rangle$ and $N=\langle x\rangle$. Then

$$
G=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{2^{n}}=x^{i}, b^{4}=x^{j},[a, b]=a^{-2} x^{k}\right\rangle, \quad \text { where } i, j, k \in\{0,1\}
$$

By Lemma 2.5(2), we get $G^{\prime}$ is cyclic. Notice that $\bar{G}^{\prime}=\langle\bar{a}\rangle$, then $G^{\prime}=\langle a, x\rangle=\langle a\rangle$. It follows that $i=1$, that is $x=a^{2^{n}}$.

If $j=1$, then $\left(b^{2} a^{-2^{n-1}}\right)^{2}=b^{4} a^{-2^{n}}=1$. It follows that $\left\langle b^{2} a^{-2^{n-1}}\right\rangle \cap G^{\prime}=1$. Notice that $\left\langle b^{2} a^{-2^{n-1}}\right\rangle \leq \Phi(G)$, by Lemma 2.5(3), we get $\left\langle b^{2} a^{-2^{n-1}}\right\rangle \leq Z(G)$. So $1=\left[b^{2} a^{-2^{n-1}}, b\right]=$ $\left[a^{-2^{n-1}}, b\right]=[a, b]^{-2^{n-1}}=a^{2^{n}} \neq 1$, a contradiction. Thus $j=0$.

If $k=0$, then $G$ is one of groups $\operatorname{IV}(1)$. If $k=1$, then $G$ is one of groups $\operatorname{IV}(2)$.
Case $8 \bar{G}$ is one of groups $\operatorname{IV}(2)$ of Theorem.
Let $\bar{G}=\left\langle\bar{a}, \bar{b} \mid \bar{a}^{2^{n}}=1, \bar{b}^{4}=1,[\bar{a}, \bar{b}]=\bar{a}^{-2+2^{n-1}}\right\rangle$ and $N=\langle x\rangle$. Then

$$
G=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{2^{n}}=x^{i}, b^{4}=x^{j},[a, b]=a^{-2+2^{n-1}} x^{k}\right\rangle, \text { where } i, j, k \in\{0,1\} .
$$

By a similar argument as in Case 7, we can get $x=a^{2^{n}}$ and $b^{4}=1$. It follows that $\left\langle b^{2}\right\rangle \cap G^{\prime}=1$. Notice that $\left\langle b^{2}\right\rangle \leq \Phi(G)$, by Lemma 2.5(3), we get $\left\langle b^{2}\right\rangle \leq Z(G)$. Since $n \geq 3$, by computation, we get

$$
\left[a, b^{2}\right]=[a, b]^{2}[a, b, b]=[a, b]^{2}\left[a^{-2+2^{n-1}}, b\right]=[a, b]^{2}[a, b]^{-2+2^{n-1}}=[a, b]^{2^{n-1}}=a^{2^{n}} \neq 1,
$$

a contradiction too. Thus $G / N$ is not one of groups $\operatorname{IV}(2)$.
Conversely, if $G$ is one of the groups in the Theorem, we can get easily $G$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{c}$-group by Lemmas 2.6 and 2.4(3).

The proof is completed.
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