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#### Abstract

In this paper, a necessary and sufficient condition for a unicyclic graph with a perfect matching having signless Laplacian eigenvalue 2 is deduced.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. Its order is $|V(G)|$, denoted by $n$, and its size is $|E(G)|$, denoted by $m$. In this paper, all graphs are simple connected of order $n \geq 2$. For $v \in V(G)$, let $d(v)$ and $N(v)$ be the degree and the set of neighbors of $v$, respectively. For any $e \in E(G)$, we use $G-e$ to denote the graph obtained by deleting $e$ from $G$. Similarly, for any set $W$ of vertices (edges), $G-W$ and $G+W$ are the graphs obtained by deleting the vertices (edges) in $W$ from $G$ and by adding the vertices (edges) in $W$ to $G$, respectively.

Let $A(G)$ and $D(G)$ be the adjacency matrix and the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees of $G$, respectively. The Laplacian and signless Laplacian matrices of $G$ are respectively defined as

$$
L(G)=D(G)-A(G) \text { and } Q(G)=D(G)+A(G)
$$

The eigenvalues of $L(G)$ and $Q(G)$ (also called the Laplacian and signless Laplacian eigenvalues of $G$, respectively) are respectively denoted by

$$
\mu_{1}(G) \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{n}(G)=0 \text { and } q_{1}(G) \geq \cdots \geq q_{n}(G)
$$

Also, the multiplicities of an eigenvalue $\lambda$ for $L(G)$ and $Q(G)$ are denoted by $m_{G}(\lambda)$ and $m_{G}^{+}(\lambda)$, respectively.

Recall that the nullity of a graph $G$, denoted by $\eta(G)$, is the multiplicity of eigenvalue 0 for $A(G)$. Let $X=X(G)$ be the 0,1 vertex-edge incidence matrix of $G$ and $\mathcal{L}(G)$ be the line graph

[^0]of $G$. Note that $X^{T} X=A(\mathcal{L}(G))+2 I$ and $X X^{T}=Q(G)$ have the same nonzero eigenvalues with the same multiplicities. In particular, the multiplicity of eigenvalue 2 for $Q(G)$ is the same as the nullity of $\mathcal{L}(G)$, i.e., $m_{G}^{+}(2)=\eta(\mathcal{L}(G))$. Hence, results obtained for the nullity of the line graph of a graph in [1-3] can be immediately re-stated for the multiplicity of its signless Laplacian eigenvalue 2.

Let $\mathscr{U}_{n}$ be the set of unicyclic graphs of order $n$, and $\mathscr{U}_{n}^{g}$ be the set of unicyclic graphs of order $n$ with girth $g(3 \leq g \leq n)$. Clearly, if $n=g$, then there is only one graph $C_{n}$ in $\mathscr{U}_{n}^{n}$. For each $U \in \mathscr{U}_{n}^{g}, U$ consists of the (unique) cycle (say $C_{g}$ ) of length $g$ and a certain number of trees attached at vertices of $C_{g}$ having (in total) $n-g$ edges. Throughout this paper, we assume that the vertices of $C_{g}$ are $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{g}$ (in a natural order around $C_{g}$, say in the clockwise direction). Then $U$ can be written as $C\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{g}\right)$, which is obtained from a cycle $C_{g}$ on vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{g}$ by identifying $v_{i}$ with the root of a tree $T_{i}$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, g$, where $\sum_{i=1}^{g}\left|V\left(T_{i}\right)\right|=n$. The sun graph of order $2 n$ is a cycle $C_{n}$ with an edge terminating in a pendent vertex attached to each vertex. A broken sun graph is a uncyclic subgraph of a sun graph. Let $n_{i}(G)$ be the number of vertices of degree $i$ in $G$.

Recently, Akbari et al. [4] studied the multiplicity of Laplacian eigenvalue 2 in unicyclic graphs, some of their results are listed as follows:

Theorem 1.1 ([4]) Let $U \in \mathscr{U}_{n}^{g}$ be a broken sun graph containing a perfect matching. Then $n_{2}(U) \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ if and only if $L(U)$ has an eigenvalue 2 .

Theorem $1.2([4])$ Let $U=C\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{g}\right)$ be a unicyclic graph containing a perfect matching and let $s$ be the number of $T_{i}$ of odd orders. Then
(i) $s \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ if and only if $L(U)$ has an eigenvalue 2 ;
(ii) $m_{U}(2)=2$ if and only if $s=g$ and $g \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$.

Theorem 1.3 ([4]) Let $U \in \mathscr{U}_{n}^{g}$ be a broken sun graph. Then $m_{U}(2)=2$ if and only if $U \cong C_{n}$ and $n \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$.

Theorem 1.4 ([4]) Let $U \in \mathscr{U}_{n}^{g}$ be a broken sun graph of order $n$ without perfect matching. Then $g \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and there are odd number of vertices of degree 2 between any pair of consecutive vertices of degree 3, if and only if $L(U)$ has an eigenvalue 2 .

In this paper, we further study the multiplicity of signless Laplacian eigenvalue 2 in a unicyclic graph. A necessary and sufficient condition for a unicyclic graph with a perfect matching having signless Laplacian eigenvalue 2 is deduced. Moreover, a necessary and sufficient condition for a unicyclic graph $U$ with a perfect matching having $m_{U}^{+}(2)=2$ is also deduced. Some of those results are similar to that in the Laplacian matrix, but some of them are somewhat different.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some lemmas which will be used in the subsequent sections.
Lemma 2.1 ([5]) If $G$ is a bipartite graph, then the matrices $L(G)$ and $Q(G)$ are similar, i.e., the Laplacian and signless Laplacian eigenvalues of $G$ are the same.

Lemma 2.2 ([5]) Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ and $e \in E(G)$. Then the following holds

$$
q_{1}(G) \geq q_{1}(G-e) \geq q_{2}(G) \geq q_{2}(G-e) \geq \cdots \geq q_{n}(G) \geq q_{n}(G-e) .
$$

Lemma 2.3 ([6]) Let $T$ be a tree of order $n$. If $\lambda>1$ is an integral eigenvalue of $L(T)$ with corresponding eigenvector $\boldsymbol{u}$, then
(i) $\lambda \mid n$ (i.e., $\lambda$ exactly divides $n$ ),
(ii) The multiplicity of $\lambda$ is equal to 1 ,
(iii) No coordinate of $\boldsymbol{u}$ is zero.

Note that $\mathcal{L}\left(C_{n}\right) \cong C_{n}, \eta\left(\mathcal{L}\left(C_{n}\right)\right)=m_{C_{n}}^{+}(2)$ and $\eta\left(C_{n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}2 & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 4) ; \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{array}\right.$ (see [7]). Hence we have

Lemma 2.4 For the cycle $C_{n}$ of length $n, m_{C_{n}}^{+}(2)= \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 4) ; \\ 0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}$
Recall that for a connected graph $G$ with pendent vertex $v$ and $N(v)=\{u\}$, we have $\eta(G)=\eta(G-\{u, v\})$ (see [7]). This implies that $\eta(\mathcal{L}(G))=\eta\left(\mathcal{L}\left(G \# K_{2}\right)\right)$, where $G \# K_{2}$ is a connected sum of $G$ and $K_{2}$, which is obtained by joining some vertex of $V(G)$ to one of vertices of $K_{2}$ with a single edge. Hence we have

Lemma 2.5 For any graph $G, m_{G}^{+}(2)=m_{G \# K_{2}}^{+}(2)$.

## 3. Main results

In this section, we deduce a necessary and sufficient condition for a unicyclic graph with a perfect matching having signless Laplacian eigenvalue 2.

Theorem 3.1 For $U \in \mathscr{U}_{n}$, if $\lambda>1$ is an integral eigenvalue of $Q(U)$, then $m_{U}^{+}(\lambda) \leq 2$.
Proof Suppose for a contradiction that $m_{U}^{+}(\lambda) \geq 3$. Note that there exists at least one edge $e \in E(U)$ such that $U-e$ is a tree since $U \in \mathscr{U}_{n}$. Then Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 imply that $m_{U-e}^{+}(\lambda)=m_{U-e}(\lambda) \geq 2$. This contradicts Lemma 2.3. Hence $m_{U}^{+}(\lambda) \leq 2$.

Remark 3.2 For $U \in \mathscr{U}_{n}$ and integer $\lambda>1$, a similar result as that in Theorem 3.1 has been presented for $m_{U}(\lambda)$ in [4]. Moreover, in fact, Theorem 3.1 also gives a characterization on the multiplicity of signless Laplacian eigenvalue 2 for uncyclic graphs, that is, $m_{U}^{+}(2) \leq 2$ for any $U \in \mathscr{U}_{n}$.

Theorem 3.3 Let $U \in \mathscr{U}_{n}$. If $n$ is odd, then $m_{U}^{+}(2) \leq 1$.
Proof Suppose for a contradiction that $m_{U}^{+}(\lambda) \geq 2$. Since $U \in \mathscr{U}_{n}$, there exists at least one edge $e \in E(U)$ such that $U-e$ is a tree. Then Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 imply that $m_{U-e}^{+}(\lambda)=$ $m_{U-e}(\lambda) \geq 1$. But Lemma 2.3 implies that $2 \mid n$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $m_{U}^{+}(2) \leq 1$.

Remark 3.4 If $U \in \mathscr{U}_{n}$ with odd $n$, then $\eta(\mathcal{L}(U)) \leq 1$.

Let $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{T}$ be an eigenvector of $Q(G)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue 2 , and $x_{i}$ denote the entry of $\boldsymbol{x}$ corresponding to the vertex $v_{i}$ of $G$. For any vertex $u \in V(G)$, if $d(u)=1$ and $u u^{\prime} \in E(G)$, then $x_{u}+x_{u^{\prime}}=2 x_{u}$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{u^{\prime}}=x_{u} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $d(u)=2$, then $2 x_{u}+\sum_{u^{\prime} \in N(u)} x_{u^{\prime}}=2 x_{u}$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u^{\prime} \in N(u)} x_{u^{\prime}}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, if $d(u)=3$, then $3 x_{u}+\sum_{u^{\prime} \in N(u)} x_{u^{\prime}}=2 x_{u}$, which yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u^{\prime} \in N(u)} x_{u^{\prime}}=-x_{u} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.5 Let $U \in \mathscr{U}_{n}^{g}$ be a broken sun graph containing a perfect matching.
(i) If $g$ is even, then $n_{2}(U) \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ if and only if $Q(U)$ has an eigenvalue 2 ;
(ii) If $g$ is odd, then $n_{2}(U) \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ if and only if $Q(U)$ has an eigenvalue 2.

Proof The case when $g$ is even follows from Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 1.1. In what follows, we assume that $g$ is odd. Note that $U$ is a broken graph contains a perfect matching. Then $g-n_{3}(U)=n_{2}(U)$ is even.

Firstly, suppose that $n_{2}(U) \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. Suppose $n_{2}(U)=2$. Without loss of generality, let $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ be vertices of degree 2 . Then $v_{1} v_{2} \in E(U)$ since $U$ has a perfect matching. We label the vertices of $U$ in the form as shown in Figure 1. Assign 1 to the vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{4}, v_{6}, \ldots, v_{2 k}, \ldots, v_{g-1},-1$ to the vertices $v_{3}, v_{5}, \ldots, v_{2 k+1}, \ldots, v_{g}$, also assign to each pendent vertex the same value of its neighbor. Then we obtain an eigenvector of $Q(U)$ for eigenvalue 2 .


Figure 1 The graph $U$ with $n_{2}(U)=2$
Suppose $n_{2}(U) \geq 6$. By induction on $g$, we shall show that $Q(U)$ has an eigenvalue 2 . For $g=3,5$, the result follows from Appendix A. Now, we assume that $g \geq 7$. Note that there exists even number of vertices of degree 2 between any pair of consecutive vertices of degree 3 since $U$ has a perfect matching and $n_{2}(U) \geq 6$. We now consider the following two cases:

Case $1 U$ has at least four consecutive vertices of degree 2.
Without loss of generality, we assume that $d\left(v_{k}\right)=d\left(v_{k+1}\right)=d\left(v_{k+2}\right)=d\left(v_{k+3}\right)=2$. Let $U^{\prime}=U-\left\{v_{k}, v_{k+1}, v_{k+2}, v_{k+3}\right\}+v_{k-1} v_{k+4}$. Obviously, $U^{\prime}$ is a broken sun graph with a perfect matching whose girth is $g-4$ and $n_{2}\left(U^{\prime}\right) \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. Thus, by induction hypothesis,
$Q\left(U^{\prime}\right)$ has an eigenvalue 2 with eigenvector $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+4}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-4}$. Let $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a vector as

$$
y_{i}= \begin{cases}x_{i}, & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \\ x_{k+4}, & \text { if } i=k \\ -x_{k-1}, & \text { if } i=k+1 \\ -x_{k+4}, & \text { if } i=k+2 \\ x_{k-1}, & \text { if } i=k+3 \\ x_{i}, & \text { if } k+4 \leq i \leq n\end{cases}
$$

Case $2 U$ has at most two consecutive vertices of degree 2 between any pair of consecutive vertices of degree 3 .

Recall that $U$ has a perfect matching and $g \geq 7$ is odd. Then $n_{3}(U)$ is also odd and there exists odd number of vertices of degree 3 between at least one pair of consecutive vertices of degree 2 , say $v_{k}$ and $v_{k+l+1}$, where $l$ is odd, $d\left(v_{k-1}\right)=d\left(v_{k+l+2}\right)=2, d\left(v_{k-2}\right)=d\left(v_{k+l+3}\right)=3$ and $d\left(v_{k+1}\right)=\cdots=d\left(v_{k+l}\right)=3$ (shown in Figure 2). Let $U^{\prime}=U-\left\{v_{k-1}, v_{k}, v_{k+l+1}, v_{k+l+2}\right\}+$ $v_{k-2} v_{k+1}+v_{k+l} v_{k+l+3}$. Obviously, $U^{\prime}$ is a broken sun graph with a perfect matching whose girth is $g-4$ and $n_{2}\left(U^{\prime}\right) \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. Thus, by induction hypothesis, $Q\left(U^{\prime}\right)$ has an eigenvalue 2 with eigenvector $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k-2}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{k+l}, x_{k+l+3}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-4}$. Let $\boldsymbol{y}=$ $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a vector as

$$
y_{i}= \begin{cases}x_{i}, & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq k-2 \\ x_{k+1}, & \text { if } i=k-1 \\ -x_{k-2}, & \text { if } i=k \\ -x_{i}, & \text { if } k+1 \leq i \leq k+l \\ -x_{k+l+3}, & \text { if } i=k+l+1 \\ x_{k+l}, & \text { if } i=k+l+2 \\ x_{i}, & \text { if } k+l+3 \leq i \leq g\end{cases}
$$

and assign to each pendent vertex the same value of its neighbor.


Figure 2 The graph $U$ in Case 2
In both cases, one may check that the vector $\boldsymbol{y}$ satisfies Eqs. (1), (2) and (3). Hence $\boldsymbol{y}$ is an eigenvector of $Q(U)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue 2 .

Conversely, assume that $Q(U)$ has an eigenvalue 2. Using induction on $g$, we shall show that $n_{2}(U) \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. By Appendix A, one may check that the result holds for $g=3$, 5 . Now we assume that $g \geq 7$ and $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{T}$ is an eigenvector of $Q(U)$ corresponding to the
eigenvalue 2. Suppose for a contradiction that $n_{2}(U) \not \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. Then $n_{2}(U) \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ since $U$ has a perfect matching. If $n_{2}(U)=0$, then $U$ is a sun graph (shown in Figure 3).


Figure 3 The sun graph $U$
If $x_{1}=a$ and $x_{2}=b$, then by Eqs. (1) and (3), we have $x_{3}=-b-(a+b), x_{4}=b+2(a+$ b), $\ldots, x_{g-1}=b+(g-3)(a+b), x_{g}=-b-(g-2)(a+b)$. Similarly, on the other hand, we have $x_{g}=-a-(a+b), x_{g-1}=a+2(a+b), \ldots, x_{4}=a+(g-3)(a+b), x_{3}=-a-(g-2)(a+b)$. Those imply that

$$
-b-(a+b)=-a-(g-2)(a+b) \text { and }-a-(a+b)=-b-(g-2)(a+b)
$$

i.e.,

$$
a-b=-(g-3)(a+b) \text { and } b-a=-(g-3)(a+b)
$$

That is $a=b=-a$. Hence $x_{i}=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. This is a contradiction. Thus $n_{2}(U) \geq 4$. Note that there exists even number of vertices of degree 2 between any pair of consecutive vertices of degree 3 since $U$ has a perfect matching.

Suppose $U$ has at least four consecutive vertices of degree 2 , say $v_{k}, v_{k+1}, v_{k+2}$ and $v_{k+3}$. Let $U^{\prime}=U-\left\{v_{k}, v_{k+1}, v_{k+2}, v_{k+3}\right\}+v_{k-1} v_{k+4}$ and $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{k-1}, y_{k+4}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-4}$ be a vector such that

$$
y_{i}= \begin{cases}x_{i}, & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \\ x_{i}, & \text { if } k+4 \leq i \leq n\end{cases}
$$

Suppose $U$ has at most two consecutive vertices of degree 2 between any pair of consecutive vertices of degree 3. Recall that $U$ has a perfect matching and $g \geq 7$ is odd. Then $n_{3}(U)$ is also odd and there exists odd number of vertices of degree 3 between at least one pair of consecutive vertices of degree 2 , say $v_{k}$ and $v_{k+l+1}$, where $l$ is odd, $d\left(v_{k-1}\right)=d\left(v_{k+l+2}\right)=2$, $d\left(v_{k-2}\right)=d\left(v_{k+l+3}\right)=3$ and $d\left(v_{k+1}\right)=\cdots=d\left(v_{k+l}\right)=3$ (shown in Figure 2). Let $U^{\prime}=$ $\left\{v_{k-1}, v_{k}, v_{k+l+1}, v_{k+l+2}\right\}+v_{k-2} v_{k+1}+v_{k+l} v_{k+l+3}$. We define the vector $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{k-1}\right.$, $\left.y_{k+4}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-4}$ as below:

$$
y_{i}= \begin{cases}x_{i}, & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq k-2 \\ -x_{i}, & \text { if } k+1 \leq i \leq k+l \\ x_{i}, & \text { if } k+l+3 \leq i \leq g\end{cases}
$$

also assign to each pendent vertex the same value of its neighbor.
In both cases, one may check that the vector $\boldsymbol{y}$ satisfies Eqs. (1), (2) and (3), and so $Q\left(U^{\prime}\right)$ has an eigenvalue 2. Obviously, $U^{\prime}$ is a broken sun graph with a perfect matching whose girth is $g-4$ and $n_{2}\left(U^{\prime}\right)=n_{2}(U)-4 \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. Repeating those process, we may obtain a sun
graph $U^{*}$ with a perfect matching such that $Q\left(U^{*}\right)$ has an eigenvalue 2. This is a contradiction. Hence, the proof is completed.

Theorem 3.6 Let $U=C\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{g}\right)$ be a unicyclic graph containing a perfect matching and let $s$ be the number of $T_{i}$ of odd orders.
(i) If $g$ is even, then $s \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ if and only if $Q(G)$ has an eigenvalue 2 ;
(ii) If $g$ is odd, then $s \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ if and only if $Q(G)$ has an eigenvalue 2 .

Proof The case when $g$ is even follows from Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 1.2. We now consider the case when $g$ is odd.

Firstly, assume that $s \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. If $U$ is a broken sun graph, then Theorem 3.5 implies that $Q(U)$ has an eigenvalue 2. Otherwise, there is an $i$, such that $\left|V\left(T_{i}\right)\right| \geq 3$. Let $u \in V\left(T_{i}\right)$ such that $d\left(u, v_{i}\right)=\max _{v \in V\left(T_{i}\right)} d\left(v, v_{i}\right)$, where $v_{i}$ is the root of $T_{i}$. Since $U$ has a perfect matching, $u$ is a pendent vertex and its neighbor, say $w$, has degree 2 . Thus, $U=(U-\{u, w\}) \# S_{2}$. Clearly, $U$ and $U-\{u, w\}$ have the same value $s$ and $U-\{u, w\}$ also has a perfect matching. Repeating this process, we may obtain a new graph $U^{*}$ such that $U^{*}$ and $U$ have the same value $s$ and $U^{*}$ is a broken sun graph with a perfect matching. Then Theorem 3.5 implies that $Q\left(U^{*}\right)$ has an eigenvalue 2. Hence $Q(U)$ has an eigenvalue 2 since Lemma 2.5 implies that $m_{U}^{+}(2)=m_{U-\{u, w\}}^{+}(2)=\cdots=m_{U^{*}}^{+}(2)$.

Nextly, assume that $Q(U)$ has an eigenvalue 2 . If $U$ is a broken sun graph, then the result follows since Theorem 3.5 implies that $s \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. Otherwise, there is an $i$, such that $\left|V\left(T_{i}\right)\right| \geq 3$. In the same way as previous part, one may obtained a broken sun graph $U^{*}$ with a perfect matching such that $U^{*}$ and $U$ have the same value $s$. Then Lemma 2.5 implies that $Q\left(U^{*}\right)$ has an eigenvalue 2 . Moreover, by Theorem 3.5 , we have $s \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. This completes the proof.

Remark 3.7 In fact, Theorem 3.6 also gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a unicyclic graph $U$ with a perfect matching having $\eta(\mathcal{L}(U))>0$.

The proof of the following theorem is similar to the one given in [4, Theorem 10] or Laplacian matrix. For completeness, we now rewrite it for signless Laplacian matrix.

Theorem 3.8 Let $U \in \mathscr{U}_{n}^{g}$ be a broken sun graph without perfect matching. Then $g \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 4)$ and there are odd number of vertices of degree 2 between any pair of consecutive vertices of degree 3, if and only if $Q(U)$ has an eigenvalue 2 .

Proof Firstly, assume that $g \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and there are odd number of vertices of degree 2 between any pair of consecutive vertices of degree 3 . Then $U$ is a bipartite graph. Therefore Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 1.4 imply that $Q(U)$ has an eigenvalue 2.

Nextly, we assume that $Q(U)$ has an eigenvalue 2 . We apply induction on $g$. If $3 \leq g \leq 6$, the result follows from Appendix A. In what follows, we assume that $g \geq 7$ and $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is an eigenvector of $Q(U)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue 2 . If $U$ has at least four consecutive vertices of degree 2 , say $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$, and $v_{4}$, then let $U^{\prime}=U-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}+v_{5} v_{g}$ and $\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}$ be a vector obtained from $\boldsymbol{x}$ by deleting the components corresponding to $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}$. By checking

Eqs. (1), (2), and (3), we have that $\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}$ is an eigenvector of $Q\left(U^{\prime}\right)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue 2. Thus, the assertion follows by induction hypothesis.

We now assume that there are at most three vertices of degree 2 between any pair of consecutive vertices of degree 3. Let $\left|x_{k}\right|=\max _{v_{i} \in V(G)}\left|x_{i}\right|$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $x_{k}=b>0$ otherwise we consider $-\boldsymbol{x}$. Then we have the following claim.

Claim $d\left(v_{k}\right)=2$.
Proof of Claim Suppose for a contradiction that $d\left(v_{k}\right)=3$. Regarding Figure 3, let $N\left(v_{k}\right)=$ $\left\{v_{k-1}, v_{k+1}, v_{j}\right\}$, where $d\left(v_{j}\right)=1$. If $x_{k-1}=a$. By Eqs. (1) and (3), we have that $x_{j}=b$ and $x_{k+1}=-2 b-a$. Then $a \leq b$ and $|-2 b-a| \leq b$ implies that $a=-b$.


Figure 3 Values of the vertex $v_{k}$ and its neighbors
Moreover, recall that $U$ is not a sun graph and there exists odd number of vertices of degree 2 between at least one pair of consecutive vertices of degree 3 since $U$ has no perfect matching. Without loss of generality, we assume that $d\left(v_{k+1}\right)=2$. Then one of the following cases holds:
(i)

(ii)


Figure 4 Two possible cases for the graph $U$ when $d\left(v_{k}\right)=3$
For each case, we find that $b=|-3 b|=3 b$, i.e., $b=0$, which contradicts $\boldsymbol{x} \neq 0$. Thus, the claim is proved.

If $x_{k-1}=a$, then by Claim and Eqs. (1), (2) and (3), one of the following cases occurs:

(i)

(iii)

(ii)

(iv)

Figure 5 The possible cases for the graph $U$

The first two cases do not occur since the value of the component corresponding to a vertex of degree 3 is $-b$. For the graph Part (iii), we have $c=-2 a-b$ and $d=2 a-b$. Then $\max \{|-2 a-b|,|2 a-b|\} \leq b$ implies that $a=0$. Also, the similar result holds for the graph Part (iv).

Accordingly, for the graph Parts (iii) and (iv), using the same argument as that in [4, Theorem 10], one may prove that the result holds. Hence, the proof is completed.

Remark 3.9 In fact, combining Theorems 1.4 and 3.8, and Lemma 2.1, we conclude that if $U \in \mathscr{U}_{n}^{g}$ is a non-bipartite broken sun graph without perfect matching, then $m_{U}^{+}(2)=0$.

Corollary 3.10 Let $U \in \mathscr{U}_{n}^{g}$ be a broken sun graph without perfect matching. Then $m_{U}^{+}(2) \leq 1$.
Proof If $U \cong C_{n}$, then $n$ is odd since $U$ has no perfect matching. Therefore, the result follows from Lemma 2.4. In what follows, we assume that $U \neq C_{n}$ and $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is an eigenvector of $Q(U)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue 2 . Without loss of generality, assume that $d\left(v_{1}\right)=3, x_{1}=a$ and $x_{2}=b$. First, Theorem 3.8 implies that $g \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and there are odd number of vertices of degree 2 between any pair of consecutive vertices of degree 3 . Those together with Eqs. (2) and (3) imply that for $1 \leq i \leq g$,

$$
x_{i}= \begin{cases}a, & \text { if } i=4 k+1 ; \\ -2\left(c_{i}-1\right) a+b, & \text { if } i=4 k+2 ; \\ -a, & \text { if } i=4 k+3 ; \\ 2\left(c_{i}-1\right) a-b, & \text { if } i=4 k,\end{cases}
$$

where $c_{i}=\left|\left\{v_{j} \in V(G): d\left(v_{j}\right)=3,1 \leq j \leq i\right\}\right|$. That is $x_{g}=2\left(c_{g}-1\right) a-b$. On the other hand, Eq. (3) implies that $x_{g}=-2 a-b$. Thus $a=0$. Moreover, from Eq. (1), each pendent vertex has the same value of its neighbor. Hence $m_{U}^{+}(2) \leq 1$.

Theorem 3.11 Let $U \in \mathscr{U}_{n}^{g}$ be a broken sun graph. Then $m_{U}^{+}(2)=2$ if and only if $g=n \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 4)$, i.e., $U \cong C_{n}$ and $n \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$.

Proof By Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 1.3, to verify the statement, it suffices to show the following claim:

Claim For odd $g$, let $U \in \mathscr{U}_{n}^{g}$ be a broken sun graph. Then $m_{U}^{+}(2) \leq 1$.
Proof of Claim If $U$ has no perfect matching, then the claim follows from Corollary 3.10. We now consider $U$ has a perfect matching. Suppose for a contradiction that $m_{U}^{+}(2) \geq 2$. Then Theorem 3.5 implies that $n_{2}(U) \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. Hence $U$ contains at least one vertex of degree 3 . Without loss of generality, assume that $d\left(v_{1}\right)=3, d\left(v_{n}\right)=1$ and $v_{1} v_{n} \in E(U)$. Then Lemma 2.2 implies $Q\left(U-v_{n}\right)$ has eigenvalue 2 since $m_{U}^{+}(2) \geq 2$. This contradicts Theorem 3.5 since $Q\left(U-v_{n}\right)$ has no perfect matching and $g$ is odd. Hence $m_{U}^{+}(2) \leq 1$, which completes the proof of claim.

Theorem 3.12 Let $U=C\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{g}\right)$ be a unicyclic graph containing a perfect matching and let $s$ be the number of $T_{i}$ of odd orders. Then $m_{U}^{+}(2)=2$ if and only if $s=g$ and $g \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$.

Proof For even $g$, the statement follows from Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 1.2. Hence, to complete the proof, it suffices to show the following claim:

Claim For odd $g$, if $U=C\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{g}\right)$ has a perfect matching, then $m_{U}^{+}(2) \leq 1$.
Proof of Claim If $\left|V\left(T_{i}\right)\right| \leq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq g$, i.e., $U$ is a broken sun graph, then the result follows from Theorems 3.1 and 3.11 since $g$ is odd. If there is an $i$ such that $\left|V\left(T_{i}\right)\right| \geq 3$, then there exists an edge $u v \in E\left(T_{i}\right)$ such that $U=(U-\{u, v\}) \# S_{2}$. Clearly, $U-\{u, v\}$ also has a perfect matching. Hence by Lemma 2.5, we have $m_{U}^{+}(2)=m_{U-\{u, v\}}^{+}(2)$ and the $\left|V\left(T_{i}\right)\right|$ in $U-\{u, v\}$ decreases by 2 . One may repeat such process if some $\left|V\left(T_{i}\right)\right| \geq 3$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, g$, to obtain the resulting graph, denoted by $U^{*}$. Clearly, $U^{*}$ is a broken sun graph with a perfect matching and $m_{U}^{+}(2)=m_{U-\{u, v\}}^{+}(2)=\cdots=m_{U^{*}}^{+}(2)$. Hence the result follows from Theorems 3.1 and 3.11 since $g$ is odd. This completes the proof of claim.

Remark 3.13 As mentioned before, for any graph $G, m_{G}^{+}(2)=\eta(\mathcal{L}(G))$. Hence, Theorem 3.12 also gives a characterization on $\eta(\mathcal{L}(U))=2$ for any unicyclic graph $U$ with a perfect matching.

## Appendix A

The signless Laplacian spectra of broken sun graphs with girth up to 6 are listed as follows, where the graphs with black vertices have a perfect matching and the others have no perfect matching.


Figure 6 Broken sun graphs with girth 3

1) $4.56,2.00,1.00,0.44$,
2) $5.24,2.62,2.62,0.76,0.38,0.38$,
3) $4.00,1.00,1.00$,
4) $4.94,2.62,1.54,0.53,0.38$.


Figure 7 Broken sun graphs with girth 4

1) $4.00,2.00,2.00,0$,
2) $4.81,3.00,2.53,1.00,0.66,0$,
$3) 5.24,3.41,3.41,2.00,0.76,0.59,0.59,0$,
3) $4.48,2.69,2.00,0.83,0$,
4) $4.73,3.41,2.00,1.27,0.59,0$,
$6) 5.03,3.41,2.87,1.42,0.68,0.59,0$.


Figure 8 Broken sun graphs with girth 5

1) $4.44,3.14,2.62,1.18,0.38,0.24$,
2) $4.95,3.73,3.16,2.00,1.00,0.71,0.27,0.18$,
$3) 5.24,3.96,3.96,2.21,2.21,0.76,0.66,0.66,0.17,0.17$,
3) $4.00,2.62,2.62,0.38,0.38$,
4) $4.76,3.25,3.10,1.55,0.81, ~ 0.34, ~ 0.20$,
$6) 4.64,3.73,2.72,1.41,1.00, ~ 0.27, ~ 0.22$,
5) $4.87,3.86,3.25,1.55,1.36,0.67,0.23,0.20$,
6) $5.08,3.96,3.53,2.21,1.44,0.72,0.66,0.21,0.17$.


Figure 9 Broken sun graphs with girth 6

1) $4.00,3.00,3.00,1.00,1.00,0$,
2) $4.73,3.41,3.41,2.00,1.27,0.59,0.59,0$,
3) $4.56,4.00,3.00,2.00,1.00,1.00,0.44,0$,
4) $5.03,4.17,3.63,2.31,2.20,1.00,0.74,0.52,0.41,0$,
$5) 5.24,4.30,4.30,2.62,2.62,2.00,0.76,0.70,0.70,0.38,0.38,0$,
5) $4.41,3.41,3.00,1.59,1.00,0.59,0$,
6) $4.60,3.88,3.18,1.65,1.49,0.74,0.47,0$,
7) $4.91,3.88,3.41,2.27,1.65,0.80,0.59,0.47,0$,
$9) 4.81,4.08,3.41,2.21,1.49,1.00,0.59,0.42,0$,
8) $4.73,3.88,3.88,1.65,1.65,1.27,0.47,0.47,0$,
9) $4.97,4.09,3.88,2.48,1.65,1.33,0.72,0.47,0.42,0$,
10) $4.94,4.30,3.62,2.62,1.54,1.38,0.70,0.53,0.38,0$,
11) $5.12,4.30,3.96,2.62,2.30,1.44,0.74,0.70,0.44,0.38,0$.
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