

## Existence of Ground States for Fractional Kirchhoff Equations

Qingjun LOU\*, Zhiqing HAN

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Dalian University of Technology, Liaoning 116024, P. R. China*

**Abstract** In this paper, we study a fractional Kirchhoff problem and establish the existence of nontrivial nonnegative ground states under some suitable conditions.

**Keywords** ground states; fractional Kirchhoff equation; variational methods

**MR(2010) Subject Classification** 35J60; 35R11; 35A15

### 1. Introduction

Consider the fractional Kirchhoff problem

$$\left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{s/2} u|^2 dx\right) (-\Delta)^s u + \lambda V(x)u = f(x, u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $s \in (0, 1)$ ,  $N > 2s$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  is a real parameter,  $a, b$  are positive constants, and  $(-\Delta)^s$  is a fractional Laplacian operator defined by

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = c_{N,s} \text{ P.V. } \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Here P.V. is the principal value and  $c_{N,s}$  is a normalization constant.

Notice that the stationary Kirchhoff variational model in bounded regular domains of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , which takes into account the nonlocal aspect of the tension arising from nonlocal measurements of the fractional length of the string, was first proposed by Fiscella and Valdinoci [1].

When  $\lambda = 0$  and  $s = 1$ , problem (1.1) becomes the Kirchhoff type problem

$$-\left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx\right) \Delta u = f(x, u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

This problem is analogous to the stationary case of equations that arise in the study of string or membrane vibrations, namely,

$$u_{tt} - \left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx\right) \Delta u = f(x, u),$$

where  $u$  denotes the displacement,  $f(x, u)$  denotes the external force,  $b$  denotes the initial tension and  $a$  is a number related to the intrinsic properties of the string. The above problem was first proposed by Kirchhoff in 1883 to describe the transversal oscillations of stretched strings.

---

Received June 8, 2018; Accepted August 12, 2018

\* Corresponding author

E-mail address: louqing.jun@163.com (Qingjun LOU); hanzhiq@dlut.edu.cn (Zhiqing HAN)

When  $\lambda = 0$  and  $a = 1$ ,  $b = 0$ , problem (1.1) becomes the fractional Laplacian problem

$$(-\Delta)^s u = f(x, u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

In recent years, a great attention has been focused on the study of the fractional Laplacian equation [2–5]. In the context of fractional quantum mechanics, nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equation has been proposed by Laskin [6, 7], as an extension of the Feynman path integral. Literatures on fractional and nonlocal operators and on their applications are quite large, we refer the readers to [3, 8] and the references therein. For the basic properties of fractional Sobolev spaces we refer to [9].

Nowadays, fractional Sobolev spaces and corresponding nonlocal equations were widely studied in various contexts, such as optimization, soft thin films, anomalous diffusion, ultra-relativistic limits of quantum mechanics, flame propagation, materials science and water waves, multiple scattering, molecular dynamics, turbulence models, minimal surfaces, anomalous diffusion, conservation laws, quasi-geostrophic flows, crystal dislocation, semipermeable membranes, finance, stratified materials and the thin obstacle problem [10–14].

In most papers on fractional Kirchhoff equations [15–20], to ensure the boundedness of Palais-Smale or Cerami sequences and the mountain pass geometry of the associated Euler-Lagrange functional, the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition or other similar conditions are often assumed:

$$\text{There exists } \mu > 4 \text{ such that } 0 < \mu F(x, k) \leq f(x, k)k, (x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (\text{A.R.})$$

Recently, without considering the (A.R.) condition, Ref. [21] investigated the existence of radial solutions for a fractional Kirchhoff-type problem by variational methods combined with a cut-off function technique.

Inspired by [21], in this paper, by using Nehari manifold, we obtain the existence of ground states for the fractional Kirchhoff equation (1.1) without the (A.R.) condition. Throughout this work, we set  $F(x, k) = \int_0^k f(x, t) dt$  and assume that  $V(x)$  and  $f(x, k)$  satisfy the following conditions:

(V)  $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $V(x) \geq 0$  and there exists  $v_0 > 0$  such that the Lebesgue measure of the set  $\mathcal{V}_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(x) < v_0\}$  is finite.

(f<sub>1</sub>)  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $f(x, k) \equiv 0$  for all  $k \leq 0$ , and  $f(x, k) = o(k)$  uniformly for  $x$  as  $k \rightarrow 0$ .

(f<sub>2</sub>)  $f(x, k)/k^3$  is strictly increasing for  $k > 0$ .

(f<sub>3</sub>) There exist  $a_1 > 0$ ,  $\tau > \max\{1, N/2s\}$  such that  $|f(x, k)|^\tau \leq a_1 \mathcal{F}(x, k)k^\tau$  for all  $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $k$  large enough, where  $\mathcal{F}(x, k) = \frac{1}{4}f(x, k)k - F(x, k)$ .

(f<sub>4</sub>) There exist  $\mu > 4$  and a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$F(x, k) \geq Ck^\mu, \forall (x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^+.$$

**Remark 1.1** Under our assumptions the following conclusions hold:

(i) By (f<sub>2</sub>),  $\mathcal{F}(x, k) = \frac{1}{4}f(x, k)k - F(x, k)$  is strictly increasing for  $k > 0$ . Thus,

$$\mathcal{F}(x, k) > \mathcal{F}(x, 0) = 0 \text{ for } k > 0.$$

(ii) If  $f$  satisfies  $(f_1)$ ,  $(f_3)$  and  $(f_4)$ , then  $|f(x, k)|^{\tau-1} \leq \frac{1}{4}a_1k^{\tau+1}$  for  $k > 0$  large enough. Hence there exists  $a_2 > 0$ , such that the following growth restriction condition holds:

$$|f(x, k)| \leq a_2(k + k^{p-1}), \tag{1.2}$$

where  $p = 2\tau/(\tau - 1) \in (2, 2_s^*)$  ( $2_s^* = 2N/(N - 2s)$ ).

(iii) Under (1.2) and the (A.R.) condition,  $(f_3)$  holds for  $\tau \in (N/2s, p/(p - 2))$ ,  $\tau > 1$  (See [22, Lemma 2.2]).

We state our result as follows:

**Theorem 1.2** *Assume (V) and  $(f_1)$ – $(f_4)$  hold. There exists  $\Lambda > 0$  such that Eq. (1.1) has at least one nontrivial nonnegative ground state  $u_\lambda$  for  $\lambda \geq \Lambda$ .*

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some notations and preliminaries are presented. In Section 3, using Nehari manifold and Ekeland variational principle, we obtain the existence of ground states for problem (1.1).

## 2. Preliminaries

Consider the Sobolev space  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : [u]_s < \infty\}$ , where  $[u]_s$  denotes the so-called Gagliardo semi-norm

$$[u]_s := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{1/2}.$$

In light of [9, Proposition 3.6], the following characterization holds

$$[u]_s^2 = 2C(N, s)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{s/2} u(x)|^2 dx,$$

where  $2C(N, s)^{-1}$  is a normalization constant. For the sake of simplicity, throughout the paper we omit the normalization constant.

Denote the best Sobolev embedding constant as

$$\bar{S} := \inf_{|u|_{L^{2_s^*}}=1} [u]_s^2.$$

Let us denote the inner product and norm of  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as follows:

$$(u, v)_s = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} ((-\Delta)^{s/2} u \cdot (-\Delta)^{s/2} v + uv) dx.$$

$$\|u\|_s = (u, u)_s^{1/2}.$$

Set

$$E = \left\{ u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)u^2 dx < \infty \right\}$$

with inner product

$$(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a(-\Delta)^{s/2} u \cdot (-\Delta)^{s/2} v + V(x)uv) dx$$

and the associated norm

$$\|u\| = (u, u)^{1/2}.$$

For  $\lambda > 0$ , we denote the associated inner product and norm as follows:

$$(u, v)_\lambda = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a(-\Delta)^{s/2}u \cdot (-\Delta)^{s/2}v + \lambda V(x)uv) dx,$$

$$\|u\|_\lambda = (u, u)_\lambda^{1/2}.$$

Set  $E_\lambda = (E, \|\cdot\|_\lambda)$ . By (V), the definition of  $\bar{S}$  and the Hölder inequality, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_s^2 &= [u]_s^2 + \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(x) < v_0\}} u^2 dx + \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(x) \geq v_0\}} u^2 dx \\ &\leq [u]_s^2 + \left( \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(x) < v_0\}} u^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{2/2_s^*} |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(x) < v_0\}|^{(2_s^*-2)/2_s^*} + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{\lambda v_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lambda V(x) u^2 dx \\ &\leq [u]_s^2 + \bar{S}^{-1} |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(x) < v_0\}|^{(2_s^*-2)/2_s^*} [u]_s^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda v_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lambda V(x) u^2 dx \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{a} (1 + \bar{S}^{-1} |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(x) < v_0\}|^{(2_s^*-2)/2_s^*}), \frac{1}{\lambda v_0} \right\} \times \\ &\quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a|(-\Delta)^{s/2}u(x)|^2 + \lambda V(x)u^2) dx, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the embedding  $E_\lambda \hookrightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is continuous. By [9, Theorem 6.7],  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$  continuously embeds into  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for  $q \in [2, 2_s^*]$  and compactly embeds into  $L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for  $q \in [2, 2_s^*)$ . Thus, there exists  $c_q > 0$  (independent of  $\lambda \geq 1$ ) such that

$$|u|_q \leq c_q \|u\|_\lambda, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $|\cdot|_q$  with  $q \in [2, 2_s^*]$  denotes the usual norm in  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

The energy functional associated with Eq. (1.1) is defined by

$$I_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_\lambda^2 + \frac{b}{4} [u]_s^4 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u) dx.$$

It is easy to show that  $I_\lambda \in C^1$ .

**Definition 2.1** (i) We say any sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset E_\lambda$  is a  $(PS)_c$  sequence for  $I_\lambda$  if  $I_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow c$  and  $I'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $E_\lambda^{-1}$ .

(ii) We say that a  $C^1$  functional  $I_\lambda$  satisfies the  $(PS)_c$  condition if any  $(PS)_c$  sequence for  $I_\lambda$  has a convergent subsequence.

We assume that the conditions (V) and (f<sub>1</sub>)–(f<sub>4</sub>) are satisfied from now on.

**Lemma 2.2** Every  $(PS)_c$  sequence of  $I_\lambda$  is bounded in  $E_\lambda$ .

**Proof** Let  $\{u_n\} \subset E_\lambda$  be a  $(PS)_c$  sequence of  $I_\lambda$ , that is,

$$I_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow c, \quad I'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } E_\lambda^{-1}.$$

By Remark 1.1 (i), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} c + 1 + \|u_n\|_\lambda &\geq I_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{4} \langle I'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \|u_n\|_\lambda^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{4} f(x, u_n) u_n - F(x, u_n)\right) dx \\ &> \frac{1}{4} \|u_n\|_\lambda^2 \end{aligned}$$

for  $n$  large enough. Thus,  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $E_\lambda$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $\{u_n\} \subset E_\lambda$  be a  $(PS)_c$  sequence of  $I_\lambda$ . There exists a  $u \in E_\lambda$  such that  $I'_\lambda(u) = 0$ ; if  $u \neq 0$ , then*

$$[u_n]_s^2 \rightarrow [u]_s^2. \tag{2.2}$$

**Proof** By Lemma 2.2,  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $E_\lambda$ . Thus, up to a subsequence  $\{u_n\}$ , we may assume that there exists a  $u \in E_\lambda$  such that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  and there is a constant  $A \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $[u_n]_s^2 \rightarrow A^2$ . If  $u \equiv 0$ , the conclusion holds. If  $u \neq 0$ , we claim that  $[u]_s^2 = A^2$ . In fact, by the weakly lower semi-continuity of a norm, we get

$$[u]_s^2 \leq A^2.$$

Suppose  $[u]_s^2 < A^2$ . Since  $I'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ , we have for any  $\varphi \in E_\lambda$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a(-\Delta)^{s/2} u \cdot (-\Delta)^{s/2} \varphi + \lambda V(x) u \varphi) dx + bA^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{s/2} u \cdot (-\Delta)^{s/2} \varphi dx - \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u) \varphi dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If we choose  $\varphi = u$ , then we get  $\langle I'_\lambda(u), u \rangle < 0$ . By  $(f_1)$  and (1.2),  $\langle I'_\lambda(tu), tu \rangle > 0$  for small  $t > 0$ . Therefore, there exists  $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ , such that  $\langle I'_\lambda(t_0u), t_0u \rangle = 0$  and  $I_\lambda(t_0u) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I_\lambda(tu)$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}(x, u)$  is strictly increasing for  $u > 0$ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} c &\leq I_\lambda(t_0u) - \frac{1}{4} \langle I'_\lambda(t_0u), t_0u \rangle = \frac{t_0^2}{4} \|u\|_\lambda^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{F}(x, t_0u) dx \\ &< \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_\lambda^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{F}(x, u) dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( I_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{4} \langle I'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle \right) \\ &= c, \end{aligned}$$

this is a contradiction. Then,  $[u]_s^2 = A^2$  and  $I'_\lambda(u) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4** *Let  $\beta \in [2, 2_s^*)$ . There exists a subsequence  $\{u_{n_j}\}$  such that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $r_\varepsilon > 0$  satisfying*

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_j \setminus B_r} |u_{n_j}|^\beta dx \leq \varepsilon$$

for all  $r \geq r_\varepsilon$ , where  $B_j = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| \leq j\}$ .

**Proof** Refer to [23, 24].  $\square$

Let  $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a smooth function satisfying  $\eta(t) = 1$  if  $t \leq 1$ ;  $\eta(t) = 0$  if  $t \geq 2$ . Define

$$\hat{u}_j(x) = \eta(2|x|/j) u(x).$$

It is clear that

$$\|u - \hat{u}_j\|_\lambda \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2.3}$$

**Lemma 2.5** *Let  $\{u_{n_j}\}$  and  $\{\hat{u}_j\}$  be defined as above. Then*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(x, u_{n_j}) - f(x, u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j) - f(x, \hat{u}_j)) \psi dx = 0$$

uniformly for  $\psi \in E$  with  $\|\psi\| \leq 1$ .

**Proof** ([23, 24]) Together with the results of Lemma 2.4 for both  $\beta = 2$  and  $\beta = p$ , Remark 1.1 (ii), (2.1) and local compactness of Sobolev embedding imply that for any  $r > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_r} (f(x, u_{n_j}) - f(x, u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j) - f(x, \hat{u}_j)) w dx = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

uniformly for  $w \in E$  with  $\|w\| \leq 1$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $r_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r} |u|^\beta dx < \varepsilon \tag{2.5}$$

for any  $r \geq r_\varepsilon$ . Then by (2.5), we have

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_j \setminus B_r} |\hat{u}_j|^\beta dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r} |u|^\beta dx < \varepsilon$$

for any  $r \geq r_\varepsilon$ . Using Lemma 2.4, for  $\beta = 2$  and  $\beta = p$ , Remark 1.1 (ii) and (2.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(x, u_{n_j}) - f(x, u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j) - f(x, \hat{u}_j)) w dx \right| \\ &= \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{B_j \setminus B_r} (f(x, u_{n_j}) - f(x, u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j) - f(x, \hat{u}_j)) w dx \right| \\ &\leq C_1 \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_j \setminus B_r} (|u_{n_j}| + |\hat{u}_j|) |w| dx + \\ &\quad C_2 \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_j \setminus B_r} (|u_{n_j}|^{p-1} + |\hat{u}_j|^{p-1}) |w| dx \\ &\leq C_1 \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} ( \|u_{n_j}\|_{L^2(B_j \setminus B_r)} + \|\hat{u}_j\|_{L^2(B_j \setminus B_r)} ) \|w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \\ &\quad C_2 \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} ( \|u_{n_j}\|_{L^p(B_j \setminus B_r)}^{p-1} + \|\hat{u}_j\|_{L^p(B_j \setminus B_r)}^{p-1} ) \|w\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\ &\leq C_3 \varepsilon^{1/2} + C_4 \varepsilon^{(p-1)/p}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

thus we obtain the conclusion.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6** *Let  $\{u_{n_j}\}$  and  $\{\hat{u}_j\}$  be defined as above. Then we have the following conclusions:*

- (i)  $I_\lambda(u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j) \rightarrow c - I_\lambda(u)$ ;
- (ii)  $I'_\lambda(u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j) \rightarrow 0$ .

**Proof** By (2.2) and (2.3), we get that

$$[u_{n_j}]_s^2 - [\hat{u}_j]_s^2 \rightarrow 0. \tag{2.7}$$

Thus, by (2.7), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_\lambda(u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j\|_\lambda^2 + \frac{b}{4} [u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j]_s^4 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j) dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \|u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j\|_\lambda^2 + \frac{b}{4} ([u_{n_j}]_s^2 - [\hat{u}_j]_s^2)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j) dx + o(1) \\
 &= I_\lambda(u_{n_j}) - I_\lambda(\hat{u}_j) + \frac{b}{2} [\hat{u}_j]_s^2 ([\hat{u}_j]_s^2 - [u_{n_j}]_s^2) + \\
 &\quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (F(x, u_{n_j}) - F(x, u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j) - F(x, \hat{u}_j)) dx + o(1) \\
 &= I_\lambda(u_{n_j}) - I_\lambda(\hat{u}_j) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (F(x, u_{n_j}) - F(x, u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j) - F(x, \hat{u}_j)) dx + o(1).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

By (2.3) and the Brézis-Lieb lemma [25], we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (F(x, u_{n_j}) - F(x, u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j) - F(x, \hat{u}_j)) dx \rightarrow 0. \tag{2.9}$$

By  $I_\lambda(u_{n_j}) \rightarrow c$ ,  $I_\lambda(\hat{u}_j) \rightarrow I_\lambda(u)$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , (2.8) and (2.9), we obtain that

$$I_\lambda(u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j) \rightarrow c - I_\lambda(u).$$

Now we prove  $I'_\lambda(u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j) \rightarrow 0$ . Indeed, by  $\hat{u}_j \rightarrow u$  and  $u_{n_j} \rightarrow u$  in  $E_\lambda$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle I'_\lambda(u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j), w \rangle &= \langle I'_\lambda(u_{n_j}), w \rangle - \langle I'_\lambda(\hat{u}_j), w \rangle + \\
 &\quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(x, u_{n_j}) - f(x, u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j) - f(x, \hat{u}_j)) w dx + o(1) \|w\|
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

for any  $w \in E$  with  $\|w\| \leq 1$ . By (2.6), we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(x, u_{n_j}) - f(x, u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j) - f(x, \hat{u}_j)) w dx \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.11}$$

uniformly for  $w \in E$  with  $\|w\| \leq 1$ . By  $I'_\lambda(u_{n_j}) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $I'_\lambda(\hat{u}_j) \rightarrow I'_\lambda(u) = 0$ , (2.10) and (2.11), we conclude that  $I'_\lambda(u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j) \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7** *There exists  $\Lambda > 0$  such that for  $\lambda \geq \Lambda$ ,  $I_\lambda$  satisfies the  $(PS)_c$  condition.*

**Proof** Let  $\{u_{n_j}\}$  be defined as above. By Lemma 2.2,  $\{u_{n_j}\}$  is bounded in  $E_\lambda$ . Thus, up to a subsequence  $\{u_{n_j}\}$ , such that  $u_{n_j} \rightharpoonup u$  in  $E_\lambda$ . By (2.3),  $\hat{u}_j \rightarrow u$  in  $E_\lambda$ . Then,  $w_j := u_{n_j} - \hat{u}_j = (u_{n_j} - u) + (u - \hat{u}_j) \rightarrow 0$  in  $E_\lambda$ . By (V),  $w_j \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^2(\mathcal{V}_0)$ . Thus,

$$|w_j|_2^2 = \int_{\{V(x) \geq v_0\}} w_j^2 dx + \int_{\{V(x) < v_0\}} w_j^2 dx \leq \frac{\|w_j\|_\lambda^2}{\lambda v_0} + o(1). \tag{2.12}$$

Moreover, for  $2 < s_0 < p < 2_s^*$ , by (2.12), the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev inequality, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |w_j|_{s_0}^{s_0} &\leq |w_j|_2^{2(p-s_0)/(p-2)} |w_j|_p^{p(s_0-2)/(p-2)} \\
 &\leq c_p^{p(s_0-2)/(p-2)} (\lambda v_0)^{-(p-s_0)/(p-2)} \|w_j\|_\lambda^{s_0} + o(1).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

By  $(f_1)$ , for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $|u| \leq \delta$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , we have  $f(x, u) \leq \varepsilon|u|$ .

By (2.12), we have that

$$\int_{|w_j| \leq \delta} f(x, w_j)w_j dx \leq \varepsilon \int_{|w_j| \leq \delta} w_j^2 dx \leq \varepsilon |w_j|_2^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda v_0} \|w_j\|_\lambda^2 + o(1). \tag{2.14}$$

By Lemma 2.6, we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{F}(x, w_j) dx + \frac{1}{4} \|w_j\|_\lambda^2 = I_\lambda(w_j) - \frac{1}{4} \langle I'_\lambda(w_j), w_j \rangle \rightarrow c - I_\lambda(u).$$

Then,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{F}(x, w_j) dx \leq c - I_\lambda(u). \tag{2.15}$$

By Remark 1.1 (i) and Lemma 2.3, we obtain that

$$I_\lambda(u) = I_\lambda(u) - \frac{1}{4} \langle I'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_\lambda^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{4} f(x, u)u - F(x, u) \right) dx > 0. \tag{2.16}$$

Thus, by (2.15) and (2.16), we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{F}(x, w_j) dx < c. \tag{2.17}$$

By (f<sub>3</sub>), (2.13) with  $s_0 = 2\tau/(\tau - 1)$ , (2.17) and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|w_j| > \delta} f(x, w_j)w_j dx &\leq a_1^{1/\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathcal{F}(x, w_j))^{1/\tau} w_j^2 dx \\ &\leq a_1^{1/\tau} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{F}(x, w_j) dx \right)^{1/\tau} |w_j|_{s_0}^2 \\ &\leq a_1^{1/\tau} c^{1/\tau} |w_j|_{s_0}^2 \\ &\leq a_1^{1/\tau} c^{1/\tau} c_p^{2p(s_0-2)/s_0(p-2)} (\lambda v_0)^{-2(p-s_0)/s_0(p-2)} \|w_j\|_\lambda^2 + o(1), \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

where  $2(p - s_0)/s_0(p - 2) > 0$ . By (2.14), (2.18) and  $\langle I'_\lambda(w_j), w_j \rangle \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \langle I'_\lambda(w_j), w_j \rangle = \|w_j\|_\lambda^2 + b[w_j]_s^4 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, w_j)w_j dx \\ &\geq \|w_j\|_\lambda^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, w_j)w_j dx \\ &\geq \left( 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda v_0} - \frac{a_1^{1/\tau} c_p^{2p(s_0-2)/s_0(p-2)} c^{1/\tau}}{(\lambda v_0)^{2(p-s_0)/s_0(p-2)}} \right) \|w_j\|_\lambda^2 + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\Lambda = \Lambda(\varepsilon, v_0, s_0, a_1, \tau, p, c_p, c) > 0$  large enough, when  $\lambda \geq \Lambda$ , we have  $w_j \rightarrow 0$  in  $E_\lambda$ , i.e.,  $u_{n_j} \rightarrow \hat{u}_j$  in  $E_\lambda$ . By (2.3),  $u_{n_j} \rightarrow u$  in  $E_\lambda$ .  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Define  $N_\lambda = \{u \in E_\lambda \setminus \{0\} : \langle I'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = 0\}$ ,  $c_\lambda = \inf_{N_\lambda} I_\lambda(u)$ . The following lemma implies  $N_\lambda \neq \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 3.1** *Assume (V) and (f<sub>1</sub>)–(f<sub>4</sub>) hold. For any  $u \in E_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$ , there exists a unique  $t(u) > 0$  such that  $t(u)u \in N_\lambda$ .*

**Proof** Clearly,  $I_\lambda(0) = 0$ . For  $u \in E_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$ , by (f<sub>1</sub>) and (1.2), for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $c_\varepsilon > 0$

such that

$$F(x, u) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}u^2 + \frac{c_\varepsilon}{p}u^p \text{ for all } (x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^+.$$

By (2.1), we get that

$$I_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_\lambda^2 + \frac{b}{4}[u]_s^4 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u)dx \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_\lambda^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}c_2^2\|u\|_\lambda^2 - \frac{c_\varepsilon}{p}c_p^p\|u\|_\lambda^p.$$

Pick  $\varepsilon c_2^2 = 1/2$ , we obtain that

$$I_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{1}{4}\|u\|_\lambda^2 - C\|u\|_\lambda^p,$$

where  $C$  is a constant independent of  $\lambda$ . Thus, there exist  $\rho > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , independent of  $\lambda$ , such that

$$\inf_{\|u\|_\lambda=\rho} I_\lambda(u) \geq \alpha > 0.$$

Define the function  $g(t) := I_\lambda(tu)$ ,  $t \in [0, +\infty)$ . By (f<sub>4</sub>), we have

$$g(t) \leq \frac{t^2}{2}\|u\|_\lambda^2 + \frac{bt^4}{4}[u]_s^4 - Ct^\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^\mu dx \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} g'(t) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow tu \in N_\lambda \Leftrightarrow t^2\|u\|_\lambda^2 + bt^4[u]_s^4 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, tu)tudx \\ &\Leftrightarrow \frac{\|u\|_\lambda^2}{t^2} + b[u]_s^4 = \frac{1}{t^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, tu)udx. \end{aligned}$$

By (f<sub>2</sub>), the right hand side is an increasing function of  $t$ , the left hand side is a decreasing function of  $t$ .

By the above discussion, we get that there exists a unique  $t = t(u) > 0$  such that  $t(u)u \in N_\lambda$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2** Assume (V) and (f<sub>1</sub>)–(f<sub>4</sub>) hold.  $I_\lambda$  is coercive and bounded below on  $N_\lambda$ .

**Proof** For  $u \in N_\lambda$ , by Remark 1.1 (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda(u) &= I_\lambda(u) - \frac{1}{4} \langle I'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = \frac{1}{4}\|u\|_\lambda^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{4}f(x, u)u - F(x, u)\right)dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4}\|u\|_\lambda^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $I_\lambda$  is coercive and bounded below on  $N_\lambda$ .  $\square$

Define  $c_\lambda = \inf_{u \in N_\lambda} I_\lambda(u)$ . By Lemma 3.2, there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that  $c_\lambda > \delta$ .

**Lemma 3.3** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2. For each  $u \in N_\lambda$ , there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  and a differentiable function  $\xi : B(0, \epsilon) \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\xi(0) = 1$ , the function  $\xi(v)(u - v) \in N_\lambda$  and

$$\langle \xi'(0), v \rangle = \frac{2(u, v)_\lambda + 4b[u]_s^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{s/2}u(-\Delta)^{s/2}v dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u)v dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f'(x, u)uv dx}{\langle \Psi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle}$$

for all  $v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , where  $\Psi_\lambda(u) = \langle I'_\lambda(u), u \rangle$ .

**Proof** The following argument is similar to [26, Lemma 3.1]. For  $u \in N_\lambda$ , define a function  $F : \mathbb{R} \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$F(\xi, w) = \langle I'_\lambda(\xi(u-w)), \xi(u-w) \rangle \\ = \xi^2 \|u-w\|_\lambda^2 + b\xi^4 [u-w]_s^4 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, \xi(u-w)) \xi(u-w) dx.$$

Then  $F(1, 0) = \langle I'_\lambda(u), (u) \rangle = 0$  and

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} F(1, 0) = 2 \|u\|_\lambda^2 + 4b[u]_s^4 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u) u dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f'(x, u) u^2 dx \neq 0 \\ \left\langle \frac{d}{dw} F(1, 0), v \right\rangle = -2(u, v)_\lambda - 4[u]_s^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{s/2} u \cdot (-\Delta)^{s/2} v dx + \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u) v dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f'(x, u) u v dx$$

for all  $v \in B(0, \epsilon)$ . Therefore, according to the implicit function theorem, there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  and a differentiable function  $\xi : B(0, \epsilon) \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\xi(0) = 1$ ,

$$\langle \xi'(0), v \rangle = \frac{2(u, v)_\lambda + 4[u]_s^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{s/2} u \cdot (-\Delta)^{s/2} v dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u) v dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f'(x, u) u v dx}{2 \|u\|_\lambda^2 + 4b[u]_s^4 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u) u dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f'(x, u) u^2 dx},$$

and  $F(\xi(v), v) = 0$  for every  $v \in B(0, \epsilon)$ . It is equivalent to

$$\langle I'_\lambda(\xi(v)(u-v)), \xi(v)(u-v) \rangle = 0$$

for every  $v \in B(0, \epsilon)$ , i.e.,  $\xi(v)(u-v) \in N_\lambda$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2. There exists a minimizing sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset N_\lambda$  such that*

$$I_\lambda(u_n) = c_\lambda + o(1), \quad I'_\lambda(u_n) = o(1) \text{ in } E_\lambda^{-1}.$$

**Proof** By Lemma 3.2 and Ekeland variational principle [27], there exists a minimizing sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset N_\lambda$  such that

$$I_\lambda(u_n) \leq c_\lambda + \frac{1}{n} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$I_\lambda(u_n) < I_\lambda(u_0) + \frac{1}{n} \|u_n - u_0\|_\lambda \tag{3.2}$$

for each  $u_0 \in N_\lambda$ . By taking  $n$  large enough, we get that

$$I_\lambda(u_n) = \frac{1}{4} \|u_n\|_\lambda^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (F(x, u_n) - \frac{1}{4} f(x, u_n) u_n) = c_\lambda + \frac{1}{n} < 2c_\lambda. \tag{3.3}$$

By (3.3) and Remark 1.1 (i), we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{4} \|u_n\|_\lambda^2 < 2c_\lambda. \tag{3.4}$$

Thus,

$$\|u_n\|_\lambda < (8c_\lambda)^{1/2}. \tag{3.5}$$

Next we will show that

$$\|I'_\lambda(u_n)\|_{E_\lambda^{-1}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Indeed, by Lemma 3.3, for  $u_n \in N_\lambda$ , there exists the function  $\xi_n : B(0, \epsilon_n) \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  for  $\epsilon_n > 0$ , such that  $\xi_n(0) = 1$  and  $\xi_n(v)(u_n - v) \in N_\lambda$  for all  $v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , choose  $0 < \rho < \epsilon_n$ . Let  $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with  $u \neq 0$  and  $u_\rho = \frac{\rho u}{\|u\|_\lambda}$ . Set

$$P_\rho := \xi_n(u_\rho)(u_n - u_\rho).$$

Since  $P_\rho \in N_\lambda$ , we conclude from (3.2) that

$$I_\lambda(P_\rho) - I_\lambda(u_n) \geq -\frac{1}{n} \|u_n - P_\rho\|_\lambda.$$

By the mean value theorem, we get that

$$\langle I'_\lambda(u_n), P_\rho - u_n \rangle + o(\|u_n - P_\rho\|_\lambda) \geq -\frac{1}{n} \|u_n - P_\rho\|_\lambda.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & -\langle I'_\lambda(u_n), u_\rho \rangle + (\xi_n(u_\rho) - 1) \langle I'_\lambda(u_n), (u_n - u_\rho) \rangle \\ & \geq -\frac{1}{n} \|u_n - P_\rho\|_\lambda + o(\|u_n - P_\rho\|_\lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

It follows from  $P_\rho \in N_\lambda$  and (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\rho \langle I'_\lambda(u_n), \frac{u}{\|u\|_\lambda} \rangle + (\xi_n(u_\rho) - 1) \langle I'_\lambda(u_n) - I'_\lambda(P_\rho), u_n - u_\rho \rangle \\ & \geq -\frac{1}{n} \|u_n - P_\rho\|_\lambda + o(\|u_n - P_\rho\|_\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I'_\lambda(u_n), \frac{u}{\|u\|_\lambda} \rangle & \leq \frac{\|u_n - P_\rho\|_\lambda}{n\rho} + \frac{o(\|u_n - P_\rho\|_\lambda)}{\rho} + \\ & \quad \frac{\xi_n(u_\rho) - 1}{\rho} \langle I'_\lambda(u_n) - I'_\lambda(P_\rho), u_n - u_\rho \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Clearly, we have

$$\|u_n - P_\rho\|_\lambda \leq \rho |\xi_n(u_\rho)| + |\xi_n(u_\rho) - 1| \|u_n\|_\lambda$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\xi_n(u_\rho) - 1|}{\rho} \leq \|\xi'_n(0)\|.$$

Let  $\rho \rightarrow 0$  in (3.7). Then by (3.5), we could find a constant  $C > 0$ , independent of  $\rho$ , such that

$$\langle I'_\lambda(u_n), \frac{u}{\|u\|_\lambda} \rangle \leq \frac{C}{n} (1 + \|\xi'_n(0)\|). \tag{3.8}$$

In the following we show that  $\|\xi'_n(0)\|$  is uniformly bounded in  $n$ . In fact, by Lemma 3.3, (3.5) and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\langle \xi'_n(0), v \rangle \leq \frac{d\|v\|_\lambda}{|\langle \Psi'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle|} \text{ for some } d > 0.$$

In the following we only need to prove that

$$|\langle \Psi'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle| > C$$

for some  $C > 0$  and  $n$  large enough. If not, assume that there exists a subsequence  $\{u_n\}$ , such that

$$\langle \Psi'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle = o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.9)$$

Together with (3.9), the fact that  $u_n \in N_\lambda$  yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f'(x, u_n) u_n - 3f(x, u_n)) dx = -2\|u_n\|_\lambda^2 + o(1) < 0.$$

However, by (f<sub>2</sub>),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f'(x, u_n) u_n - 3f(x, u_n)) dx > 0.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus, by (3.8), we get

$$\langle I'_\lambda(u_n), \frac{u}{\|u\|_\lambda} \rangle \leq \frac{C}{n}.$$

Therefore, we complete the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.2** By Lemma 3.2 and Ekeland variational principle [27], there exists a minimizing sequence  $\{u_n\}$  for  $I_\lambda$  on  $N_\lambda$  such that

$$I_\lambda(u_n) = c_\lambda + o(1), \quad I'_\lambda(u_n) = o(1) \text{ in } E_\lambda^{-1}.$$

By Lemma 2.7, for  $\lambda \geq \Lambda$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{u_n\}$  and  $u_\lambda \in E_\lambda$  such that

$$u_n \rightarrow u_\lambda \text{ in } E_\lambda.$$

Thus,  $u_\lambda \in N_\lambda$  and  $I_\lambda(u_\lambda) = c_\lambda$ . By (f<sub>1</sub>),  $u_\lambda$  is a nontrivial nonnegative ground state for Eq. (1.1).  $\square$

## References

- [1] A. FISCELLA, E. VALDINOCI. *A critical Kirchhoff type problem involving a nonlocal operator*. Nonlinear Anal., 2014, **94**: 156–170.
- [2] L. ACETO, P. NOVATI. *Rational approximation to the fractional Laplacian operator in reaction-diffusion problems*. SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 2017, **39**(1): A214–A228.
- [3] G. AUTUORI, P. PUCCI. *Elliptic problems involving the fractional Laplacian in  $\mathbb{R}^N$* . J. Differential Equations, 2013, **255**: 2340–2362.
- [4] V. TARASOV. *Exact discretization of fractional Laplacian*. Comput. Math. Appl., 2017, **73**(5): 855–863.
- [5] Hui ZHOU, Yang LIU, P. AGARWAL. *Solvability for fractional  $p$ -Laplacian differential equations with multipoint boundary conditions at resonance on infinite interval*. J. Appl. Math. Comput., 2017, **53**(1-2): 51–76.
- [6] N. LASKIN. *Fractional quantum mechanics and Lévy path integrals*. Phys. Lett. A, 2000, **268**(4-6): 298–305.
- [7] N. LASKIN. *Fractional Schrödinger equation*. Phys. Rev. E (3), 2002, **66**(5): 1–7.
- [8] Xiaojun CHANG, Zhiqiang WANG. *Nodal and multiple solutions of nonlinear problems involving the fractional Laplacian*. J. Differential Equations, 2014, **256**(8): 2965–2992.
- [9] E. DI NEZZA, G. PALATUCCI, E. VALDINOCI. *Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*. Bull. Sci. Math., 2012, **136**(5): 521–573.
- [10] D. APPLEBAUM. *Lévy processes—from probability to finance and quantum groups*. Notices Amer. Math. Soc., 2004, **51**: 1336–1347.

- [11] L. CAFFARELLI, L. SILVESTER. *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 2007, **32**(7-9): 1245–1260.
- [12] G. MOLICA BISCI. *Fractional equations with bounded primitive*. Appl. Math. Lett., 2014, **27**: 53–58.
- [13] P. PUCCI, Mingqi XIANG, Binlin ZHANG. *Existence and multiplicity of entire solutions for fractional  $p$ -Kirchhoff equations*. Adv. Nonlinear Anal., 2016, **5**(1): 27–55.
- [14] Mingqi XIANG, Binlin ZHANG, V. T. D. RADULESCU. *Existence of solutions for perturbed fractional  $p$ -Laplacian equation*. J. Differential Equations, 2016, **260**(2): 1392–1413.
- [15] Wenjing CHEN. *Multiplicity of solutions for a fractional Kirchhoff type problem*. Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 2015, **14**(5): 2009–2020.
- [16] Hua JIN, Wenbin LIU. *Fractional Kirchhoff equation with a general critical nonlinearity*. Appl. Math. Lett., 2017, **74**: 140–146.
- [17] Mingqi XIANG, G. MOLICA BISCI, Guohua TIAN, et al. *Infinitely many solutions for the stationary Kirchhoff problems involving the fractional  $p$ -Laplacian*. Nonlinearity, 2016, **29**(2): 357–374.
- [18] Mingqi XIANG, Binlin ZHANG, Xiuying GUO. *Infinitely many solutions for a fractional Kirchhoff type problem via fountain theorem*. Nonlinear Anal., 2015, **120**: 299–313.
- [19] Mingqi XIANG, Binlin ZHANG, V. T. D. RADULESCU. *Existence of solutions for a binonlocal fractional  $p$ -Kirchhoff type problem*. Comput. Math. Appl., 2016, **71**: 255–266.
- [20] Xia ZHANG, Chao ZHANG. *Existence of solutions for critical fractional Kirchhoff problems*. Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 2017, **40**(5): 1649–1665.
- [21] Mingqi XIANG, Binlin ZHANG, Miaomiao YANG. *A fractional Kirchhoff-type problem in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  without the (AR) condition*. Complex Var. Elliptic Equ., 2016, **61**(11): 1481–1493.
- [22] Yanheng DING, A. SZUKIN. *Bound states for semilinear Schrödinger equations with sign-changing potential*. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 2007, **29**(3): 397–419.
- [23] Yanheng DING, Fanghua LIN. *Solutions of perturbed Schrödinger equations with critical nonlinearity*. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 2007, **30**(2): 231–249.
- [24] Qilin XIE, Shiwang MA. *Existence and concentration of positive solutions for Kirchhoff-type problems with a steep well potential*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2015, **431**(2): 1210–1223.
- [25] H. BRÉZIS, E. LIEB. *A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1983, **88**(3): 486–490.
- [26] T. F. WU. *Multiplicity results for a semi-linear elliptic equation involving sign-changing weight function*. Rocky Mountain J. Math., 2009, **39**(3): 995–1011.
- [27] I. EKELAND. *On the variational principle*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1974, **47**: 324–353.