

## Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions to a Logistic Chemotaxis System with Singular Sensitivity

Wanjuan DU<sup>1,2</sup>

1. Institute for Advanced Studies in the History of Science, Northwest University, Shaanxi 710217, P. R. China;
2. College of Mathematics Education, China West Normal University, Sichuan 637009, P. R. China

**Abstract** In this paper, we study the asymptotic behavior of solutions to the parabolic-elliptic chemotaxis system with singular sensitivity and logistic source

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \chi \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{u}{v} \nabla v \right) + ru - \mu u^k, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ 0 = \Delta v - v + u, & x \in \Omega, t > 0 \end{cases}$$

in a smooth bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n > 2$ ) with the non-flux boundary, where  $\chi, r, \mu > 0$ ,  $k \geq 2$ . It is proved that the global bounded classical solution will exponentially converge to  $\left( \left( \frac{r}{\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}, \left( \frac{r}{\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \right)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  if  $r$  is suitably large.

**Keywords** asymptotic behavior; chemotaxis; singular sensitivity; logistic source

**MR(2020) Subject Classification** 35B40; 35K55; 92C17

### 1. Introduction

In recent years, many papers are concerned with the variant of the classical Keller-Segel chemotaxis system

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u \chi_0(v) \nabla v) + f(u), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ \tau v_t = \Delta v - v + u, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0, & x \in \partial \Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \tau v(x, 0) = \tau v_0(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

which describes the cells move towards the high concentration of a chemical signal produced by the cells themselves, where  $\Omega$  is a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) with smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$  and  $\nu$  represents the outward normal vector of  $\partial \Omega$ ,  $u(x, t)$  and  $v(x, t)$  stand for cell density, the concentration of a chemical signal produced by the cells themselves, respectively. The nonlinear cross-diffusive term  $-\nabla \cdot (u \chi_0(v) \nabla v)$  with the sensitivity function  $\chi_0(v)$  reflects the chemotactic movement, while the inhomogeneity  $f(u)$  represents the cell kinetic mechanism. Since the pioneering work of Keller and Segel, many efforts have been devoted to the properties of solutions to the system (1.1). We refer the reader to [1–13] and references therein.

Received September 7, 2020; Accepted January 3, 2021

Supported by Innovation Team of China West Normal University (Grant No. CXTD2020-5) and the Meritocracy Research Funds of China West Normal University (Grant No. 17YC372).

E-mail address: duwanjuan28@163.com

The sensitivity function  $\chi_0(v) = \frac{\chi}{v}$  with  $\chi > 0$  was first proposed in [14] based on the Weber-Fechner law of stimulus perception. When  $\tau = 0$  and  $f(u) = 0$ , it was shown in [15] that all radial solutions are globally bounded if  $n = 2$  with  $\chi > 0$ , or  $n \geq 3$  with  $\chi < \frac{2}{n-2}$ , and there exist radial blow-up solutions if  $\chi > \frac{2N}{N-2}, N \geq 3$ . It has been proved that the system (1.1) has a unique global bounded classical solution if  $n \geq 2$  with  $\chi < \frac{2}{n}$  (see [5]). When  $\tau = 1$  and  $f(u) = 0$ , it was shown that the system (1.1) has global bounded classical solutions for  $n \geq 2$  and  $0 < \chi < \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}$  (see [2]), while  $0 < \chi < \sqrt{\frac{n+2}{3n-4}}$  with  $n \geq 2$ , then there exists a global weak solution to (1.1) (see [8,16]). The stabilization in the logarithmic Keller-Segel system was considered in paper [9]. More results with singular sensitivity for chemotaxis systems have been obtained [3, 6, 7].

Now we focus on the chemotaxis system (1.1) with sensitivity function  $\chi_0(v) = \frac{\chi}{v}, \tau = 1$  and  $f(u) = ru - \mu u^k$  as the cell kinetic term. The logistic source  $f(u)$  exerts a certain growth-inhibiting influence. If  $n, k = 2$ , there exists a unique global bounded classical solution [10], whenever

$$r > \begin{cases} \frac{\chi^2}{4}, & \chi \leq 2, \\ \chi - 1, & \chi > 2. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

In addition, the authors have proved for  $n \geq 2, k > \frac{3(n+2)}{n+4}$  that the system (1.1) possesses a global bounded solution provided  $\chi$  is suitably small relative to  $r$  and  $k$  (see [11]). Meanwhile, the global bounded solution exponentially converges to the steady state [13].

When  $\chi_0(v) = \frac{\chi}{v}, \tau = 0$  and  $f(u) = ru - \mu u^k$ , the system (1.1) becomes the following parabolic-elliptic chemotaxis system

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \chi \nabla \cdot (\frac{u}{v} \nabla v) + ru - \mu u^k, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ 0 = \Delta v - v + u, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0, & x \in \partial \Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

where  $\chi, r, \mu > 0$  and  $k \geq 2$ . Recently, the authors [4, 12] have studied global existence and boundedness of classical solutions to the system (1.3) with  $n \geq 2, k \geq \frac{3n-2}{n}$  and  $r, \chi > 0$  satisfying (1.2). Under  $n = 2$  and  $k = 2$ , Cao et al. [17] obtained that the global bounded solution exponentially converges to the steady state when initial data  $u_0$  and  $r$  are suitably large relative to  $\chi$  and  $\Omega$ .

In the present work, we will study the large time behavior of solutions to the system (1.3). The main result of this paper reads as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n (n > 2)$  be a bounded domain with smooth boundary,  $k \geq \frac{3n-2}{n}, r, \chi > 0$  and satisfy (1.2). Suppose that  $r$  is suitably large and the initial data satisfy*

$$u_0(x) \in C^0(\bar{\Omega}), u_0(x) \geq 0 \text{ and } u_0 \not\equiv 0, x \in \bar{\Omega},$$

*then for the global bounded classical solution  $(u, v)$  of (1.3), there exist constants  $C, \lambda > 0$  such that*

$$\| u(\cdot, t) - (\frac{r}{\mu})^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \| v(\cdot, t) - (\frac{r}{\mu})^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C e^{-\lambda t} \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty. \tag{1.4}$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we mainly give preliminary estimates for the parabolic-elliptic chemotaxis system (1.3). Then we prove the main results of this paper in Section 3.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we first recall the global boundedness of classical solutions to (1.3) (see [4,12]).

**Lemma 2.1** *Under the assumptions in Theorem 1.1, the system (1.3) has a global bounded classical solution satisfying*

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C \quad \text{for all } t > 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $C$  is a constant independent of  $t$ .

Next we give the uniform-in-time lower bound of  $v$  in  $\Omega$  with the conditions of Lemma 2.1, which can be obtained in the proof in Lemma 2.4 of [12].

**Lemma 2.2** *Let the conditions in Lemma 1.1 hold. There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that*

$$v(x, t) \geq \delta := \rho \left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}$$

with some constant  $\rho > 0$  for all  $(x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty)$ .

Now we give the regularity of  $u$  and  $v$  (see [17,18]).

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $(u, v)$  be a global bounded classical solution of (1.3). Then there exist  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|u\|_{C^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\bar{\Omega} \times [t, t+1])} + \|v\|_{C^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\bar{\Omega} \times [t, t+1])} \leq C \quad \text{for all } t \geq 1.$$

We utilize the well-known Neumann heat semigroup  $\{e^{t\Delta}\}_{t \geq 0}$  estimates in  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  to show the  $W^{1,\infty}$ -estimates of  $u$ .

**Lemma 2.4** *Let  $(u, v)$  be a global bounded classical solution of (1.3). Then there exists  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $t > 1$ .

**Proof** In order to prove that there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C$  for all  $t > 1$ . First, for all  $T > 2$ , let

$$M(T) := \sup_{t \in (2, T)} \|\nabla u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}.$$

Because  $\nabla u$  is continuous on  $\Omega \times [0, T]$ , we infer that  $M(T)$  is finite. According to (2.1), we only need to prove that there exists a positive constant  $c_1$  such that  $M(T) \leq c_1$  for all  $T > 2$ . Due to the variation-of-constants formula, for all  $t \in (2, T)$ , we can conclude that

$$\|\nabla u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \|\nabla e^{\Delta} u(\cdot, t-1)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \chi \int_{t-1}^t \|\nabla e^{(t-s)\Delta} \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{u}{v} \nabla v\right)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} ds +$$

$$\int_{t-1}^t \|\nabla e^{(t-s)\Delta}(ru - \mu u^k)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} ds := I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \tag{2.3}$$

With semigroup estimates [19, 20] and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$I_1 = \|\nabla e^\Delta u(\cdot, t - 1)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq c_1 \|u(\cdot, t - 1)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq c_2 \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \int_{t-1}^t \|\nabla e^{(t-s)\Delta}(ru - \mu u^k)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} ds \\ &\leq c_3 \int_{t-1}^t [1 + (t - s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}] e^{-\lambda_1(t-s)} ds \\ &= c_3 \int_0^1 (1 + \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}}) e^{-\lambda_1 \tau} d\tau \leq c_4 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \chi \int_{t-1}^t \|\nabla e^{(t-s)\Delta} \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{u}{v} \nabla v\right)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} ds \\ &\leq c_5 \int_{t-1}^t [1 + (t - s)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{p}}] e^{-\lambda_1(t-s)} \|\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{u}{v} \nabla v\right)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} ds \\ &= c_5 \int_{t-1}^t [1 + (t - s)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{p}}] e^{-\lambda_1(t-s)} \left\| \frac{\nabla u \cdot \nabla v}{v} - \frac{u|\nabla v|^2}{v^2} + \frac{u}{v} \Delta v \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} ds \\ &\leq c_5 \int_{t-1}^t [1 + (t - s)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{p}}] e^{-\lambda_1(t-s)} \left\| \frac{\nabla u \cdot \nabla v}{v} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} ds + \\ &\quad c_5 \int_{t-1}^t [1 + (t - s)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{p}}] e^{-\lambda_1(t-s)} \left\| \frac{u|\nabla v|^2}{v^2} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} ds + \\ &\quad c_5 \int_{t-1}^t [1 + (t - s)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{p}}] e^{-\lambda_1(t-s)} \left\| \frac{u}{v} \Delta v \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} ds, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_i$  ( $i = 2, 3, \dots, 5$ ) and  $\lambda_1$  are positive constants. According to Lemma 2.1, we know  $\|v(\cdot, t)\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \leq c_6$  with  $c_6 > 0$ . Hence, through the second equation in (1.3), we can gain

$$\|\Delta v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq c_7 \text{ for all } t > 1. \tag{2.6}$$

By choosing  $p > n$ , we ensure the finiteness of the integral

$$\int_{t-1}^t [1 + (t - s)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{p}}] e^{-\lambda_1(t-s)} ds.$$

Then we know from Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and (2.6) that

$$I_2 \leq c_8 \int_{t-1}^t [1 + (t - s)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{p}}] e^{-\lambda_1(t-s)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} ds + c_9. \tag{2.7}$$

It follows from (2.3)–(2.5), (2.7) that

$$\|\nabla u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq c_8 \int_{t-1}^t [1 + (t - s)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{p}}] e^{-\lambda_1(t-s)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} ds + c_{10}, \tag{2.8}$$

where  $c_{10} = c_2 + c_4 + c_9$ . Applying the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality

$$\|D^l \phi\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_{GN} \|D^k \phi\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^\theta \|\phi\|_{L^r(\Omega)}^{1-\theta} + \|\phi\|_{L^r(\Omega)}^\theta$$

with  $0 < p < \infty, 1 \leq q, r \leq +\infty$  and

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{l}{n} = \theta\left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{k}{n}\right) + (1 - \theta)\frac{1}{r},$$

there are some positive constants  $c_{11}, c_{12}$  and  $c_{13}$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u(\cdot, s)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} &\leq c_{11}\|\nabla u(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^\theta \|u(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{1-\theta} + c_{12}\|u(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \\ &\leq c_{13}(M^\theta(T) + 1) \quad \text{for all } s \in (1, T), \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where  $\theta = \frac{p-n}{p} \in (0, 1)$  due to  $p > n$ . Finally, since  $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{2p} < 1$ , substituting (2.9) into (2.8), we can get some positive constants  $c_{14}$  and  $c_{15}$  such that

$$M(T) \leq c_{14}M^\theta(T) + c_{15} \quad \text{for all } T > 2,$$

which implies

$$M(T) \leq \max\left\{\left(\frac{c_{15}}{c_{14}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}, (2c_{14})^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}\right\} \quad \text{for all } T > 2.$$

Hence, we get an estimate of (2.2). And the proof of Lemma 2.4 is completed.  $\square$

Finally, we give the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.5** *Assume that  $f : (1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a uniformly continuous nonnegative function such that  $\int_1^\infty f(t)dt < \infty$ . Then we have  $f(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Proof** A detailed proof can be found in [21]. Thus we omit the details.  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

This section is devoted to the proof of main results. In order to simplify notation, let  $\kappa := \left(\frac{\tau}{\mu}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}$  in this section. As a preparation, we first have the following simple estimate.

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $(u, v)$  be a global bounded classical solution of (1.3). Then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{v^2} dx \leq \frac{1}{4\kappa\delta} \int_{\Omega} (u(x, t) - \kappa)^2 dx \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \tag{3.1}$$

**Proof** Multiply the second equation in (1.3) by  $\frac{v-\kappa}{v}$  and integrate by parts to obtain

$$\kappa \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{v^2} dx = - \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{v} (v(x, t) - \kappa)^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{v} (u(x, t) - \kappa)(v(x, t) - \kappa) dx.$$

By Lemma 2.2, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $v(x, t) \geq \delta$  for all  $(x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty)$ . Then it is obtained that by Cauchy inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{v^2} dx &\leq - \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{v} (v(x, t) - \kappa)^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{v} (v(x, t) - \kappa)^2 dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{v} (u(x, t) - \kappa)^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4\delta} \int_{\Omega} (u(x, t) - \kappa)^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1** Define

$$E(t) := \int_{\Omega} F(u(x,t))dx, \quad t > 0$$

with

$$F(s) := s - \kappa - \kappa \ln\left(\frac{s}{\kappa}\right), \quad s > 0.$$

Then we shall prove the nonnegativity of  $E(t)$ . Fixing  $(x, t)$  and using two-order Taylor's formula, we can derive that there exists  $\tau \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} F(u(x,t)) - F(\kappa) &= F'(\kappa)(u(x,t) - \kappa) + \frac{1}{2}F''(\tau u(x,t) + (1 - \tau)\kappa) \cdot (u(x,t) - \kappa)^2 \\ &= \frac{\kappa}{2(\tau u(x,t) + (1 - \tau)\kappa)^2} (u(x,t) - \kappa)^2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in \Omega$  and  $t > 0$ . Thus  $E(t) \geq 0$  for  $t > 0$ . Differentiate  $E(t)$  to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} E'(t) &= \int_{\Omega} \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{u}\right)u_t dx \\ &= -\kappa \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} dx + \chi\kappa \int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla u \cdot \nabla v}{uv} dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} (u - \kappa)\left(u^{k-1} - \frac{r}{\mu}\right) dx \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa\chi^2}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{v^2} dx - (\mu r^{k-2})^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \int_{\Omega} (u(x,t) - \kappa)^2 dx \quad \text{for all } t > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the Cauchy inequality is used. According to (3.1), we obtain that

$$E'(t) \leq -D \int_{\Omega} (u(x,t) - \kappa)^2 dx \quad \text{for all } t > 0, \tag{3.2}$$

where  $D = (\mu r^{k-2})^{\frac{1}{k-1}} - \frac{\chi^2}{16\delta} > 0$  if we choose  $r$  large enough to satisfy

$$r^{k-2} > \left(\frac{\chi^2}{16\rho}\right)^{k-1},$$

which implies

$$\mu > r^{2-k} \left(\frac{\chi^2}{16\delta}\right)^{k-1}$$

due to Lemma 2.2. Integrating (3.2) over  $(1, t)$ , we get

$$E(t) - E(1) \leq -D \int_1^t \int_{\Omega} (u(x,s) - \kappa)^2 dx ds$$

and thus

$$\int_1^t \int_{\Omega} (u(x,s) - \kappa)^2 dx ds \leq \frac{E(1)}{D} < \infty.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.3 that  $(u, v)$  is Hölder continuous uniformly in regard to  $t > 1$  in  $\bar{\Omega} \times [t, t + 1]$ , so we derive that  $\int_{\Omega} (u(x,t) - \kappa)^2 dx$  is uniformly continuous in  $(1, \infty)$ . Then from Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (u(x,t) - \kappa)^2 dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.3}$$

Next, we further indicate that the  $L^2$ -normal of  $u - \kappa$  decays exponentially. More precisely, there exists  $t_0 > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} (u(x,t) - \kappa)^2 dx \leq c_0 e^{-Lt}, \quad t > t_0. \tag{3.4}$$

Application of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality together with Lemma 2.4 provides us with some positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot, t) - \kappa\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} &\leq C_1 \|u(\cdot, t) - \kappa\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)}^{\frac{n}{n+2}} \|u(\cdot, t) - \kappa\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{2}{n+2}} \\ &\leq C_2 \|u(\cdot, t) - \kappa\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{2}{n+2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

In conjunction with (3.3), this entails that

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - \kappa\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using L'Hôpital's rule, one can derive

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \kappa} \frac{F(s) - F(\kappa)}{(s - \kappa)^2} = \frac{1}{2\kappa}.$$

Because  $\|u(\cdot, t) - \kappa\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we can obtain that there exists  $t_0 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (u(x, t) - \kappa - \kappa \ln(\frac{u(x, t)}{\kappa})) dx &= \int_{\Omega} (F(u(x, t)) - F(\kappa)) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{\Omega} (u(x, t) - \kappa)^2 dx \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (u(x, t) - \kappa - \kappa \ln(\frac{u(x, t)}{\kappa})) dx &= \int_{\Omega} (F(u(x, t)) - F(\kappa)) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4\kappa} \int_{\Omega} (u(x, t) - \kappa)^2 dx \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

for all  $t > t_0$ . We can show that (3.6) implies  $c_1 E(t) \leq \int_{\Omega} (u(x, t) - \kappa)^2 dx$  with  $c_1 > 0$  for all  $t > t_0$ . According to (3.2), we can see

$$E'(t) \leq -D \int_{\Omega} (u(x, t) - \kappa)^2 dx \leq -c_1 D E(t) \text{ for all } t > t_0,$$

which on integration shows that there exist  $c_2 > 0$  and  $L > 0$  such that

$$E(t) \leq c_2 e^{-Lt} \text{ for all } t > t_0. \tag{3.8}$$

Hence the combination of (3.7) and (3.8) gives

$$\int_{\Omega} (u(x, t) - \kappa)^2 dx \leq c_3 E(t) \leq c_2 c_3 e^{-Lt}$$

with  $c_3 > 0$  for all  $t > t_0$ . Now according to (3.4) and (3.5), we obtain

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - \kappa\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_2 c_0^{\frac{1}{n+2}} e^{-\frac{L}{n+2}t} \text{ for all } t > t_0. \tag{3.9}$$

Set  $w = v - \kappa$ . Then we have

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w + w = u - \kappa, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0. \end{cases}$$

It follows by the maximum principle that

$$\|v(\cdot, t) - \kappa\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = \|w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \|u(\cdot, t) - \kappa\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_2 c_0^{\frac{1}{n+2}} e^{-\frac{L}{n+2}t} \text{ for all } t > t_0. \tag{3.10}$$

Noting  $\kappa = \left(\frac{r}{\mu}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}$ , by (3.9) and (3.10), we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 by taking  $\lambda = -\frac{L}{n+2}$  and  $C = C_2 c_0^{\frac{1}{n+2}}$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] Mengyao DING, Wei WANG, Shulin ZHOU. *Global existence of solutions to a fully parabolic chemotaxis system with singular sensitivity and logistic source*. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.*, 2019, **49**: 286–311.
- [2] K. FUJIE. *Boundedness in a fully parabolic chemotaxis system with singular sensitivity*. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2015, **424**(1): 675–684.
- [3] K. FUJIE, T. SENBA. *Global existence and boundedness of radial solutions to a two dimensional fully parabolic chemotaxis system with general sensitivity*. *Nonlinearity*, 2016, **29**(8): 2417–2450.
- [4] K. FUJIE, M. WINKLER, T. YOKOTA. *Blow-up prevention by logistic sources in a parabolic-elliptic Keller-Segel system with singular sensitivity*. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 2014, **109**: 56–71.
- [5] K. FUJIE, M. WINKLER, T. YOKOTA. *Boundedness of solutions to parabolic-elliptic Keller-Segel systems with signal-dependent sensitivity*. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 2015, **38**(6): 1212–1224.
- [6] J. LANKEIT, M. WINKLER. *A generalized solution concept for the Keller-Segel system with logarithmic sensitivity: global solvability for large nonradial data*. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, 2017, **24**(4): Paper No. 49, 33 pp.
- [7] J. LANKEIT. *A new approach toward boundedness in a two-dimensional parabolic chemotaxis system with singular sensitivity*. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 2016, **39**(3): 394–404.
- [8] M. WINKLER. *Global solutions in a fully parabolic chemotaxis system with singular sensitivity*. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 2011, **34**(2): 176–190.
- [9] M. WINKLER, T. YOKOTA. *Stabilization in the logarithmic Keller-Segel system*. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 2018, **170**: 123–141.
- [10] Xiangdong ZHAO, Sining ZHENG. *Global boundedness to a chemotaxis system with singular sensitivity and logistic source*. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, 2017, **68**(2): 1–13.
- [11] Xiangdong ZHAO, Sining ZHENG. *Global existence and boundedness of solutions to a chemotaxis system with singular sensitivity and logistic-type source*. *J. Differential Equations*, 2019, **267**(2): 826–865.
- [12] Xiangdong ZHAO. *Boundedness to a logistic chemotaxis system with singular sensitivity*. arXiv: 2003.03016 v1.
- [13] Pan ZHENG, Chunlai MU, R. WILLIE, et al. *Global asymptotic stability of steady states in a chemotaxis-growth system with singular sensitivity*. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 2018, **75**(5): 1667–1675.
- [14] E. F. KELLER, L. A. SEGEL. *Taveling bands of chemotactic bacteria: a theoretical analysis*. *J. Theoret. Biol.*, 1971, **30**: 235–248.
- [15] T. NAGAI, T. SENBA. *Global existence and blow-up of radial solutions to a parabolic-elliptic system of chemotaxis*. *Adv. Math. Sci. Appl.*, 1998, **8**(1): 145–156.
- [16] C. STINNER, M. WINKLER. *Global weak solutions in a chemotaxis system with large singular sensitivity*. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.*, 2011, **12**(6): 3727–3740.
- [17] Junhong CAO, Wei WANG, Hao YU. *Asymptotic behavior of solutions to two-dimensional chemotaxis system with logistic source and singular sensitivity*. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2016, **436**(1): 382–392.
- [18] Youshan TAO, M. WINKLER. *Large time behavior in a multidimensional chemotaxis-haptotaxis model with slow signal diffusion*. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 2015, **47**(6): 4229–4250.
- [19] Xinru CAO. *Global bounded solutions of the higher-dimensional Keller-Segel system under smallness conditions in optimal spaces*. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 2015, **35**(5): 1891–1904.
- [20] M. WINKLER. *Aggregation vs. global diffusive behavior in the higher-dimensional Keller-Segel model*. *J. Differential Equations*, 2010, **248**(12): 2889–2905.
- [21] Xueli BAI, M. WINKLER. *Equilibration in a fully parabolic two-species chemotaxis system with competitive kinetics*. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 2016, **65**(2): 553–583.