

M^k -Type Sharp Estimates and Boundedness on Morrey Space for Toeplitz Type Operators Associated to Fractional Integral and Singular Integral Operator with Non-Smooth Kernel

Dazhao CHEN

School of Science, Shaoyang University, Hunan 422000, P. R. China

Abstract In this paper, we prove the M^k -type sharp maximal function estimates for the Toeplitz type operators associated to the fractional integral and singular integral operator with non-smooth kernel. As an application, we obtain the boundedness of the operators on the Morrey space.

Keywords Toeplitz type operator; singular integral operator; fractional integral operator; sharp maximal function; BMO; Morrey space

MR(2020) Subject Classification 42B20; 42B25

1. Introduction

As the development of singular integral operators [1, 2], their commutators have been well studied. In [3, 4], the authors proved that the commutators generated by the singular integral operators and BMO functions are bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 < p < \infty$. Chanillo [4] proved a similar result when singular integral operators are replaced by the fractional integral operators. In [5, 6], some singular integral operators with non-smooth kernel were introduced, and the boundedness for the operators and their commutators was obtained [7–21]. In [22–24], some Toeplitz type operators related to the singular integral operators and strongly singular integral operators were introduced, and the boundedness for the operators generated by BMO and Lipschitz functions was obtained. In this paper, we will study the Toeplitz type operators generated by the fractional integral and singular integral operators with non-smooth kernel and the BMO functions.

2. Preliminaries

In this paper, we will study some singular integral operators as follows.

Definition 2.1 A family of operators D_t , $t > 0$ is said to be an “approximation to the identity”

Received July 9, 2020; Accepted November 15, 2020

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11901126) and the Scientific Research Funds of Hunan Provincial Education Department (Grant No. 19B509).

E-mail address: chendazhao27@sina.com

if, for every $t > 0$, D_t can be represented by a kernel $a_t(x, y)$ in the following sense:

$$D_t(f)(x) = \int_{R^n} a_t(x, y)f(y)dy$$

for every $f \in L^p(R^n)$ with $p \geq 1$, and $a_t(x, y)$ satisfies:

$$|a_t(x, y)| \leq h_t(x, y) = Ct^{-n/2}\rho(|x - y|^2/t),$$

where ρ is a positive, bounded and decreasing function satisfying

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{n+\epsilon}\rho(r^2) = 0$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$.

Definition 2.2 A linear operator T is called a singular integral operator with non-smooth kernel if T is bounded on $L^2(R^n)$ and associated with a kernel $K(x, y)$ such that

$$T(f)(x) = \int_{R^n} K(x, y)f(y)dy$$

for every continuous function f with compact support, and for almost all x not in the support of f .

(1) There exists an “approximation to the identity” $\{B_t, t > 0\}$ such that TB_t has the associated kernel $k_t(x, y)$ and there exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ so that

$$\int_{|x-y|>c_1t^{1/2}} |K(x, y) - k_t(x, y)|dx \leq c_2 \text{ for all } y \in R^n.$$

(2) There exists an “approximation to the identity” $\{A_t, t > 0\}$ such that A_tT has the associated kernel $K_t(x, y)$ which satisfies

$$|K_t(x, y)| \leq c_4t^{-n/2} \text{ if } |x - y| \leq c_3t^{1/2},$$

and

$$|K(x, y) - K_t(x, y)| \leq c_4t^{\delta/2}|x - y|^{-n-\delta} \text{ if } |x - y| \geq c_3t^{1/2},$$

for some $\delta > 0$, $c_3, c_4 > 0$.

Let b be a locally integrable function on R^n and T be the singular integral operator with non-smooth kernel. The Toeplitz type operators associated to T are defined by

$$T_b = \sum_{k=1}^m T^{k,1}M_bT^{k,2}$$

and

$$S_b = \sum_{k=1}^m (T^{k,3}M_bI_\alpha T^{k,4} + T^{k,5}I_\alpha M_bT^{k,6}),$$

where $T^{k,1}$ and $T^{k,3}$ are the singular integral operator with non-smooth kernel T or $\pm I$ (the identity operator), $T^{k,2}$, $T^{k,4}$ and $T^{k,6}$ are the linear operators, $T^{k,5} = \pm I$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, $M_b(f) = bf$ and I_α is the fractional integral operator ($0 < \alpha < n$).

Note that the commutator $[b, T](f) = bT(f) - T(bf)$ is a particular operator of the Toeplitz type operators T_b and S_b . The Toeplitz type operators are the non-trivial generalizations of the

commutator. It is well-known that commutators are of great interest in harmonic analysis and have been widely studied by many authors. The main purpose of this paper is to prove the sharp maximal inequalities for the Toeplitz type operators T_b and S_b . As the application, we obtain the boundedness on the Morrey space for the Toeplitz type operators T_b and S_b .

Now, let us introduce some notation. Throughout this paper, Q will denote a cube of R^n with sides parallel to the axes. For any locally integrable function f , the sharp maximal function of f is defined by

$$f^\#(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y) - f_Q| dy,$$

where, and in what follows, $f_Q = |Q|^{-1} \int_Q f(x) dx$. It is well-known that [14]

$$f^\#(x) \approx \sup_{Q \ni x} \inf_{c \in C} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y) - c| dy.$$

We say that f belongs to $BMO(R^n)$ if $f^\#$ belongs to $L^\infty(R^n)$ and define $\|f\|_{BMO} = \|f^\#\|_{L^\infty}$. It has been known that [14]

$$\|f - f_{2^k Q}\|_{BMO} \leq Ck \|f\|_{BMO}.$$

For $0 < r < \infty$, we denote $f_r^\#$ by

$$f_r^\#(x) = [(|f|^r)^\#(x)]^{1/r}.$$

Let M be the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator defined by

$$M(f)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy.$$

For $\eta > 0$, let $M_\eta(f) = M(|f|^\eta)^{1/\eta}$. For $k \in N$, we denote by M^k the operator M iterated k times, i.e., $M^1(f) = M(f)$ and

$$M^k(f) = M(M^{k-1}(f)) \text{ when } k \geq 2.$$

For $0 < \eta < n$ and $1 \leq r < \infty$, set

$$M_{\eta,r}(f)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|^{1-r\eta/n}} \int_Q |f(y)|^r dy \right)^{1/r}.$$

The sharp maximal function $M_A(f)$ associated with the ‘‘approximation to the identity’’ $\{A_t, t > 0\}$ is defined by

$$M_A^\#(f)(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y) - A_{t_Q}(f)(y)| dy,$$

where $t_Q = l(Q)^2$ and $l(Q)$ denotes the side length of Q . For $\eta > 0$, let

$$M_{A,\eta}^\#(f) = M_A^\#(|f|^\eta)^{1/\eta}.$$

Let Φ be a Young function and $\tilde{\Phi}$ be the complementary associated to Φ . We denote the Φ -average by, for a function f ,

$$\|f\|_{\Phi,Q} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \Phi\left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda}\right) dy \leq 1 \right\}$$

and the maximal function associated to Φ by

$$M_{\Phi}(f)(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \|f\|_{\Phi, Q}.$$

The Young functions to be used in this paper are $\Phi(t) = t(1 + \log t)$ and $\tilde{\Phi}(t) = \exp(t)$, and the corresponding average and maximal functions are denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{L(\log L), Q}$, $M_{L(\log L)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\exp L, Q}$, $M_{\exp L}$. Following [14], we know the generalized Hölder's inequality and the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)g(y)|dy &\leq \|f\|_{\Phi, Q} \|g\|_{\tilde{\Phi}, Q}, \\ \|f\|_{L(\log L), Q} &\leq M_{L(\log L)}(f) \leq CM^2(f), \\ \|f - f_Q\|_{\exp L, Q} &\leq C\|f\|_{\text{BMO}}. \end{aligned}$$

The A_1 weight is defined by [14]

$$A_1 = \{0 < w \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(R^n) : M(w)(x) \leq Cw(x), \text{ a.e.}\}.$$

The $A_{p,q}$ weight is defined by [14], for $1 < p \leq q < \infty$,

$$A_{p,q} = \left\{0 < w \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(R^n) : \sup_Q \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^q dx\right)^{1/q} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^{-p/(p-1)} dx\right)^{(p-1)/p} < \infty\right\}.$$

Given a non-negative weight function w and $1 \leq p < \infty$, the weighted Lebesgue space $L^p(R^n, w)$ is the space of functions f such that

$$\|f\|_{L^p(w)} = \left(\int_{R^n} |f(x)|^p w(x) dx\right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Throughout this paper, φ will denote a positive, increasing function on R^+ and there exists a constant $D > 0$ such that

$$\varphi(2t) \leq D\varphi(t) \text{ for } t \geq 0.$$

Let f be a locally integrable function on R^n . Set, for $0 \leq \eta < n$ and $1 \leq p < n/\eta$,

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,\eta,\varphi}} = \sup_{x \in R^n, d > 0} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi(d)^{1-p\eta/n}} \int_{Q(x,d)} |f(y)|^p dy\right)^{1/p},$$

where $Q(x, d) = \{y \in R^n : |x - y| < d\}$. The generalized fractional Morrey space is defined by

$$L^{p,\eta,\varphi}(R^n) = \{f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(R^n) : \|f\|_{L^{p,\eta,\varphi}} < \infty\}.$$

We write $L^{p,\eta,\varphi}(R^n) = L^{p,\varphi}(R^n)$ if $\eta = 0$, which is the generalized Morrey space. If $\varphi(d) = d^\delta$, $\delta > 0$, then $L^{p,\varphi}(R^n) = L^{p,\delta}(R^n)$, which is the classical Morrey space. If $\varphi(d) = 1$, then $L^{p,\varphi}(R^n) = L^p(R^n)$, which is the Lebesgue space [14].

As the Morrey space may be considered as an extension of the Lebesgue space, it is natural and important to study the boundedness of the operator on the Morrey spaces [14].

3. Theorems and Lemmas

We shall prove the following theorems.

Theorem 3.1 Let T be the singular integral operator as Definition 2.2, $0 < r < 1$, $1 < s < \infty$ and $b \in \text{BMO}(R^n)$. If $T_1(g) = 0$ for any $g \in L^u(R^n)$ ($1 < u < \infty$), then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for any $f \in C_0^\infty(R^n)$ and $\tilde{x} \in R^n$,

$$M_{A,r}^\#(T_b(f))(\tilde{x}) \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{k=1}^m (M^2(T^{k,2}(f))(\tilde{x}) + M_s(T^{k,2}(f))(\tilde{x})).$$

Theorem 3.2 Let T be the singular integral operator as Definition 2.2, $0 < r < 1$, $1 < s < \infty$ and $b \in \text{BMO}(R^n)$. If $S_1(g) = 0$ for any $g \in L^u(R^n)$ ($1 < u < \infty$), then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for any $f \in C_0^\infty(R^n)$ and $\tilde{x} \in R^n$,

$$M_{A,r}^\#(S_b(f))(\tilde{x}) \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{k=1}^m (M^2(I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f))(\tilde{x}) + M_s(I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f))(\tilde{x}) + M_{\alpha,s}(T^{k,6}(f))(\tilde{x})).$$

Theorem 3.3 Let T be the singular integral operator as Definition 2.2, $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < D < 2^n$ and $b \in \text{BMO}(R^n)$. If $T_1(g) = 0$ for any $g \in L^u(R^n)$ ($1 < u < \infty$) and $T^{k,2}$ are the bounded operators on $L^{p,\varphi}(R^n)$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, then T_b is bounded on $L^{p,\varphi}(R^n)$.

Theorem 3.4 Let T be the singular integral operator as Definition 2.2, $0 < D < 2^n$, $1 < p < n/\alpha$, $1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$ and $b \in \text{BMO}(R^n)$. If $S_1(g) = 0$ for any $g \in L^u(R^n)$ ($1 < u < \infty$) and $T^{k,4}$ and $T^{k,6}$ are the bounded operators on $L^{p,\alpha,\varphi}(R^n)$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, then S_b is bounded from $L^{p,\alpha,\varphi}(R^n)$ to $L^{q,\varphi}(R^n)$.

Corollary 3.5 Let $[b, T](f) = bT(f) - T(bf)$ be the commutator generated by the singular integral operator T with non-smooth kernel and b . Then Theorems 3.1–3.4 hold for $[b, T]$.

Remark 3.6 In Theorems 3.3 and 3.4, the condition $0 < D < 2^n$ is a natural requirement because of Morrey space, which is a doubling condition about φ .

To prove the theorems, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.7 ([14]) Let $0 < p < q < \infty$ and for any function $f \geq 0$. We define that, for $1/r = 1/p - 1/q$

$$\|f\|_{WL^q} = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \{x \in R^n : f(x) > \lambda\}^{1/q}, \quad N_{p,q}(f) = \sup_E \|f\chi_E\|_{L^p} / \|\chi_E\|_{L^r},$$

where the sup is taken for all measurable sets E with $0 < |E| < \infty$. Then

$$\|f\|_{WL^q} \leq N_{p,q}(f) \leq (q/(q-p))^{1/p} \|f\|_{WL^q}.$$

Lemma 3.8 ([14]) We have

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x)g(x)| dx \leq \|f\|_{\text{exp } L, Q} \|g\|_{L(\log L), Q}.$$

Lemma 3.9 ([14]) Let T be the singular integral operator with non-smooth kernel as Definition 2.2. Then T is bounded on $L^p(R^n)$ for $1 < p < \infty$ and weak (L^1, L^1) bounded.

Lemma 3.10 ([14, 15]) Let $\{A_t, t > 0\}$ be an ‘‘approximation to the identity’’. For any $\gamma > 0$,

there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of γ such that

$$|\{x \in R^n : M(f)(x) > D\lambda, M_A^\#(f)(x) \leq \gamma\lambda\}| \leq C\gamma|\{x \in R^n : M(f)(x) > \lambda\}|$$

for $\lambda > 0$, where D is a fixed constant which only depends on n . Thus, for $f \in L^p(R^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < \eta < \infty$ and $w \in A_1$,

$$\|M_\eta(f)\|_{L^p(w)} \leq C\|M_{A,\eta}^\#(f)\|_{L^p(w)}.$$

Lemma 3.11 ([14]) Let $\{A_t, t > 0\}$ be an ‘‘approximation to the identity’’ and $\tilde{K}_{\alpha,t}(x, y)$ be the kernel of difference operator $I_\alpha - A_t I_\alpha$. Then

$$|\tilde{K}_{\alpha,t}(x, y)| \leq C \frac{t}{|x - y|^{n+2-\alpha}}.$$

Lemma 3.12 ([15]) Assume the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) The holomorphic semigroup e^{-zL} , $0 \leq |\arg(z)| < \pi/2 - \theta$ is represented by the kernels $a_z(x, y)$ which satisfy, for all $\nu > \theta$, an upper bound

$$|a_z(x, y)| \leq c_\nu h_{|z|}(x, y)$$

for $x, y \in R^n$, and $0 \leq |\arg(z)| < \pi/2 - \theta$, where $h_t(x, y) = Ct^{-n/2}s(|x - y|^2/t)$ and s is a positive, bounded and decreasing function satisfying

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{n+\epsilon} s(r^2) = 0.$$

(ii) The operator L has a bounded holomorphic functional calculus in $L^2(R^n)$, that is, for all $\nu > \theta$ and $g \in H_\infty(S_\mu^0)$, the operator $g(L)$ satisfies

$$\|g(L)(f)\|_{L^2} \leq c_\nu \|g\|_{L^\infty} \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

Then the operator L has a bounded functional calculus in $L^p(R^n)$ for $1 < p < \infty$.

Lemma 3.13 Let w be a non-negative weight function. Then

- (I) $\|M_s(f)\|_{L^p(w)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(w)}$ for $w \in A_1$ and $1 \leq s < p < \infty$;
- (II) $\|M_{\alpha,s}(f)\|_{L^q(w^q)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(w^p)}$ for $0 < \alpha < n$, $1 \leq s < p < n/\alpha$, $1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$ and $w \in A_{p,q}$;
- (III) $\|I_\alpha(f)\|_{L^q(w^q)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(w^p)}$ for $0 < \alpha < n$, $1 < p < n/\alpha$, $1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$ and $w \in A_{p,q}$.

Proof (I) By $w \in A_1$ and [15], we have

$$M_s(f)(x) \leq M_{s,w}(f)(x),$$

where

$$M_{s,w}(f)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \left(\frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_Q |f(y)|^s w(y) dy \right)^{1/s},$$

thus, (I) follows from the $L^p(w)$ -boundedness of $M_{s,w}$ and interpolation theorem [14]. (II) and (III) see [15]. \square

Lemma 3.14 Let $\{A_t, t > 0\}$ be an ‘‘approximation to the identity’’ and $0 < D < 2^n$. Then

- (a) $\|M_\eta(f)\|_{L^{p,\varphi}} \leq C\|M_{A,\eta}^\#(f)\|_{L^{p,\varphi}}$ for $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < \eta < \infty$;
- (b) $\|I_\alpha(f)\|_{L^{q,\varphi}} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p,\alpha,\varphi}}$ for $0 < \alpha < n$, $1 < p < n/\alpha$ and $1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$;
- (c) $\|M_{\alpha,s}(f)\|_{L^{q,\varphi}} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p,\alpha,\varphi}}$ for $0 \leq \alpha < n$, $1 \leq s < p < n/\alpha$ and $1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$.

Proof (a) For any cube $Q = Q(x_0, d)$ in R^n , we know $(M(\chi_Q))^\delta \in A_1$ for any cube $Q = Q(x, d)$ and $0 < \delta < 1$. Write $w = M(\chi_Q)$, $w^\delta = (M(\chi_Q))^\delta \in A_1$, w^δ satisfies the reverse of Hölder's inequality:

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B (w(x)^\delta)^r dx\right)^{1/r} \leq \frac{C}{|B|} \int_B w(x)^\delta dx$$

for all cube B and some $1 < r < \infty$ (see [14]), thus, taking $\delta = 1/r$, we get

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w(x) dx \leq C \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w^\delta(x) dx\right)^{1/\delta}$$

and

$$M(w) \leq C(M(w^\delta))^{1/\delta} \leq C(w^\delta)^{1/\delta} = Cw,$$

that is $w = M(\chi_Q) \in A_1$. Now, noticing that

$$M(\chi_Q) \leq 1 \text{ and } M(\chi_Q)(x) \leq d^n / (|x - x_0| - d)^n$$

if $x \in Q^c$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q M_\eta(f)(y)^p dy &= \int_{R^n} M_\eta(f)(y)^p \chi_Q(y) dy \\ &\leq \int_{R^n} M_\eta(f)(y)^p M(\chi_Q)(y) dy \leq C \int_{R^n} M_{A,\eta}^\#(f)(y)^p M(\chi_Q)(y) dy \\ &= C \left(\int_Q M_{A,\eta}^\#(f)(y)^p M(\chi_Q)(y) dy + \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_{2^{k+1}Q \setminus 2^kQ} M_{A,\eta}^\#(f)(y)^p M(\chi_Q)(y) dy \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\int_Q M_{A,\eta}^\#(f)(y)^p dy + \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_{2^{k+1}Q \setminus 2^kQ} M_{A,\eta}^\#(f)(y)^p \frac{|Q|}{|2^{k+1}Q|} dy \right) \\ &\leq C \|M_{A,\eta}^\#(f)\|_{L^{p,\varphi}}^p \sum_{k=0}^\infty 2^{-nk} \varphi(2^{k+1}d) \\ &\leq C \|M_{A,\eta}^\#(f)\|_{L^{p,\varphi}}^p \sum_{k=0}^\infty (2^{-n}D)^k \varphi(d) \\ &\leq C \|M_{A,\eta}^\#(f)\|_{L^{p,\varphi}}^p \varphi(d), \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\|M_\eta(f)\|_{L^{p,\varphi}} \leq C \|M_{A,\eta}^\#(f)\|_{L^{p,\varphi}}.$$

This completes the proof of (a).

For (b) and (c), let $T_\alpha = I_\alpha$ or $M_{\alpha,s}$. We know $c_1 \leq M(\chi_Q) \leq 1$ for some $0 < c_1 \leq 1$, thus

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q M(\chi_Q)(x)^q dx\right)^{1/q} \leq 1$$

and

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q M(\chi_Q)(x)^{-p/(p-1)} dx\right)^{(p-1)/p} \leq C,$$

that is $M(\chi_Q) \in A_{p,q}$ for any cube $Q = Q(x, d)$. Now, similar to the proofs of (a), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_Q |T_\alpha(f)(y)|^q dy\right)^{1/q} &\leq \left(\int_{R^n} |T_\alpha(f)(y)M(\chi_Q)(y)|^q dy\right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C\left(\int_{R^n} |f(y)M(\chi_Q)(y)|^p dy\right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C\left(\int_Q |f(y)M(\chi_Q)(y)|^p dy + \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_{2^{k+1}Q \setminus 2^kQ} |f(y)\frac{|Q|}{|2^{k+1}Q}|^p dy\right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C\left(\|f\|_{L^{p,\alpha,\varphi}}^p \sum_{k=0}^\infty 2^{-npk} \varphi(2^{k+1}d)^{1-p\alpha/n}\right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C\|f\|_{L^{p,\alpha,\varphi}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty 2^{-nk} (D^k \varphi(d))^{1/p-\alpha/n} \\ &\leq C\|f\|_{L^{p,\alpha,\varphi}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty (2^{-n} D^{1/q})^k \varphi(d)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C\|f\|_{L^{p,\alpha,\varphi}} \varphi(d)^{1/q}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that $D^{1/q} \leq D < 2^n$ if $D \geq 1$ or $2^{-n} D^{1/q} < 1$ if $D < 1$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty (2^{-n} D^{1/q})^k < \infty,$$

thus

$$\|T_\alpha(f)\|_{L^{q,\varphi}} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p,\alpha,\varphi}}.$$

This completes the proof of (b) and (c). \square

4. Proofs of Theorems

Now we prove the theorems in the paper.

Proof of Theorem 3.1 It suffices to prove for $f \in C_0^\infty(R^n)$, the following inequality holds:

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T_b(f)(x) - A_{t_Q}(T_b(f))(x)|^r dx\right)^{1/r} \leq C\|b\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{k=1}^m (M^2(T^{k,2}(f))(\tilde{x}) + M_s(T^{k,2}(f))(\tilde{x})),$$

where $t_Q = (l(Q))^2$ and $l(Q)$ denotes the side length of Q . Without loss of generality, we may assume $T^{k,1}$ are T ($k = 1, \dots, m$). Fix a cube $Q = Q(x_0, d)$ and $\tilde{x} \in Q$. By $T_1(g) = 0$, we have

$$T_b(f)(x) = T_{b-b_{2Q}}(f)(x) = T_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}}(f)(x) + T_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{(2Q)^c}}(f)(x) = U_1(x) + U_2(x)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T_b(f)(x) - A_{t_Q}(T_b(f))(x)|^r dx\right)^{1/r} &\leq \left(\frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q |U_1(x)|^r dx\right)^{1/r} + \\ &\quad \left(\frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q |A_{t_Q}(U_1)(x)|^r dx\right)^{1/r} + \left(\frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q |U_2(x) - A_{t_Q}(U_2)(x)|^r dx\right)^{1/r} \\ &= \text{I} + \text{II} + \text{III}. \end{aligned}$$

For I, by Lemmas 3.7–3.9, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T^{k,1} M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} T^{k,2}(f)(x)|^r dx \right)^{1/r} \\ & \leq |Q|^{-1} \frac{\|T^{k,1} M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} T^{k,2}(f)\chi_Q\|_{L^r}}{|Q|^{1/r-1}} \\ & \leq C|Q|^{-1} \|T^{k,1} M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} T^{k,2}(f)\|_{WL^1} \\ & \leq C|Q|^{-1} \|M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} T^{k,2}(f)\|_{L^1} \\ & \leq C|Q|^{-1} \int_{2Q} |b(x) - b_{2Q}| |T^{k,2}(f)(x)| dx \\ & \leq C \|b - b_{2Q}\|_{\exp L, 2Q} \|T^{k,2}(f)\|_{L(\log L), 2Q} \\ & \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} M^2(T^{k,2}(f))(\tilde{x}), \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I} & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T^{k,1} M_{(b-b_Q)\chi_{2Q}} T^{k,2}(f)(x)|^r dx \right)^{1/r} \\ & \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{k=1}^m M^2(T^{k,2}(f))(\tilde{x}). \end{aligned}$$

For II, by the condition on h_{t_Q} and notice for $x \in Q$, $y \in 2^{j+1}Q \setminus 2^jQ$, then

$$h_{t_Q}(x, y) \leq C t_Q^{-n/2} \rho(2^{2(j-1)}).$$

By Lemma 3.9, we obtain, for $1 < p < s$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{II} & \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q |A_{t_Q}(T^{k,1} M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} T^{k,2}(f))(x)| dx \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q \int_{2Q} h_{t_Q}(x, y) |T^{k,1} M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} T^{k,2}(f)(y)| dy dx + \\ & \quad \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q \int_{(2Q)^c} h_{t_Q}(x, y) |T^{k,1} M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} T^{k,2}(f)(y)| dy dx \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q \int_{2Q} t_Q^{-n/2} |T^{k,1} M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} T^{k,2}(f)(y)| dy dx + \\ & \quad C \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_Q^{-n/2} \rho(2^{2(j-1)}) (2^j l(Q))^n \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}Q|} \int_{R^n} |T^{k,1} M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} T^{k,2}(f)(y)| dy \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{R^n} |T^{k,1} M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} T^{k,2}(f)(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} + \\ & \quad C \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_Q^{-n/2} \rho(2^{2(j-1)}) (2^j l(Q))^n \left(\frac{1}{|2^{j+1}Q|} \int_{R^n} |T^{k,1} M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} T^{k,2}(f)(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{R^n} |M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} T^{k,2}(f)(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & C \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{jn} \rho(2^{2(j-1)}) \left(\frac{1}{|2^{j+1}Q|} \int_{R^n} |M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} T^{k,2}(f)(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} \\
 & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|2Q|} \int_{2Q} |T^{k,2}(f)(x)|^s dx \right)^{1/s} \left(\frac{1}{|2Q|} \int_{2Q} |b(y) - b_{2Q}|^{sp/(s-p)} dy \right)^{(s-p)/sp} + \\
 & C \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{(j-1)(n+\epsilon)} \rho(2^{2(j-1)}) 2^{-j(\epsilon+n/p)} \left(\frac{1}{|2Q|} \int_{2Q} |T^{k,2}(f)(y)|^s dy \right)^{1/s} \times \\
 & \left(\frac{1}{|2Q|} \int_{2Q} |b(y) - b_{2Q}|^{sp/(s-p)} dy \right)^{(s-p)/sp} \\
 & \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{k=1}^m M_s(T^{k,2}(f))(\tilde{x}).
 \end{aligned}$$

For III, by Lemma 3.11, we get, for $1/s + 1/s' = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{III} & \leq \frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{(2Q)^c} |b(y) - b_{2Q}| |K(x-y) - K_{t_Q}(x-y)| |T^{k,2}(f)(y)| dy \\
 & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^j d \leq |y-x_0| < 2^{j+1}d} |b(y) - b_{2Q}| \frac{l(Q)^\delta}{|x_0 - y|^{n+\delta}} |T^{k,2}(f)(y)| dy \\
 & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j\delta} \left(\frac{1}{|2^{j+1}Q|} \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |b(y) - b_{2Q}|^{s'} dy \right)^{1/s'} \left(\frac{1}{|2^{j+1}Q|} \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |T^{k,2}(f)(y)|^s dy \right)^{1/s} \\
 & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j 2^{-j\delta} \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} M_s(T^{k,2}(f))(\tilde{x}) \\
 & \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{k=1}^m M_s(T^{k,2}(f))(\tilde{x}).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.2 It suffices to prove for $f \in C_0^\infty(R^n)$, the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |S_b(f)(x) - A_{t_Q}(S_b(f))(x)|^r dx \right)^{1/r} \\
 & \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{k=1}^m (M^2(I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f))(\tilde{x}) + M_s(I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f))(\tilde{x}) + M_{\alpha,s}(T^{k,6}(f))(\tilde{x})).
 \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume $T^{k,3}$ are T ($k = 1, \dots, m$). Fix a cube $Q = Q(x_0, d)$ and $\tilde{x} \in Q$. Write, by $T_1(g) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_b(f)(x) & = \sum_{k=1}^m T^{k,3} M_b I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f)(x) + \sum_{k=1}^m T^{k,5} I_\alpha M_b T^{k,6}(f)(x) \\
 & = V_b(x) + V_b(x) = V_{b-b_{2Q}}(x) + W_{b-b_{2Q}}(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$V_{b-b_{2Q}}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m T^{k,3} M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f)(x) + \sum_{k=1}^m T^{k,3} M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{(2Q)^c}} I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f)(x)$$

$$=V_1(x) + V_2(x)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} W_{b-b_{2Q}}(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^m T^{k,5} I_\alpha M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} T^{k,6}(f)(x) + \sum_{k=1}^m T^{k,5} I_\alpha M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{(2Q)^c}} T^{k,6}(f)(x) \\ &= W_1(x) + W_2(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |S_b(f)(x) - A_{t_Q}(S_b(f))(x)|^r dx\right)^{1/r} \leq \left(\frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q |V_1(x)|^r dx\right)^{1/r} + \\ &\quad \left(\frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q |W_1(x)|^r dx\right)^{1/r} + \left(\frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q |A_{t_Q}(V_1)(x)|^r dx\right)^{1/r} + \left(\frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q |A_{t_Q}(W_1)(x)|^r dx\right)^{1/r} + \\ &\quad \left(\frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q |V_2(x) - A_{t_Q}(V_2)(x)|^r dx\right)^{1/r} + \left(\frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q |W_2(x) - A_{t_Q}(W_2)(x)|^r dx\right)^{1/r} \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5 + I_6. \end{aligned}$$

By using a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we get, for $1 < v < s$ with $1/v = 1/u - \alpha/n$ and $1 < p < s$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{R^n} |T^{k,3} M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f)(x)|^r dx\right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^m |Q|^{-1} \frac{\|T^{k,3} M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f)\chi_Q\|_{L^r}}{|Q|^{1/r-1}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^m |Q|^{-1} \|T^{k,3} M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f)\|_{WL^1} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^m |Q|^{-1} \|M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f)\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^m |Q|^{-1} \int_{2Q} |b(x) - b_{2Q}| |I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f)(x)| dx \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \|b - b_{2Q}\|_{\exp L, 2Q} \|I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f)\|_{L(\log L), 2Q} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{BMO} \sum_{k=1}^m M^2(I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f))(\tilde{x}), \\ I_2 &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{R^n} |I_\alpha M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} T^{k,6}(f)(x)|^v dx\right)^{1/v} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^m |Q|^{-1/v} \left(\int_{2Q} (|b(x) - b_{2Q}| |T^{k,6}(f)(x)|)^u dx\right)^{1/u} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|2Q|^{1-s\alpha/n}} \int_{2Q} |T^{k,6}(f)(x)|^s dx\right)^{1/s} \times \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\frac{1}{|2Q|} \int_{2Q} |b(x) - b_{2Q}|^{su/(s-u)} dx \right)^{(s-u)/su} \\
 & \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{k=1}^m M_{\alpha,s}(T^{k,6}(f))(\tilde{x}), \\
 I_3 & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{R^n} |T^{k,3} M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f)(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} + \\
 & \quad C \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^\infty t_Q^{-n/2} \rho(2^{2(j-1)}) (2^j l(Q))^n \left(\frac{1}{|2^{j+1}Q|} \int_{R^n} |T^{k,3} M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f)(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} \\
 & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{R^n} |M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f)(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} + \\
 & \quad C \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^\infty 2^{jn} \rho(2^{2(j-1)}) \left(\frac{1}{|2^{j+1}Q|} \int_{R^n} |M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f)(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} \\
 & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|2Q|} \int_{2Q} |I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f)(x)|^s dx \right)^{1/s} \times \\
 & \quad \left(\frac{1}{|2Q|} \int_{2Q} |b(y) - b_{2Q}|^{sp/(s-p)} dy \right)^{(s-p)/sp} + \\
 & \quad C \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^\infty 2^{(j-1)(n+\epsilon)} \rho(2^{2(j-1)}) 2^{-j(\epsilon+n/p)} \left(\frac{1}{|2Q|} \int_{2Q} |I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f)(y)|^s dy \right)^{1/s} \times \\
 & \quad \left(\frac{1}{|2Q|} \int_{2Q} |b(y) - b_{2Q}|^{sp/(s-p)} dy \right)^{(s-p)/sp} \\
 & \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{k=1}^m M_s(I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f))(\tilde{x}), \\
 I_4 & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m t_Q^{-n/2} |Q|^{1-1/v} \left(\int_{R^n} |I_\alpha M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} T^{k,6}(f)(y)|^v dy \right)^{1/v} + \\
 & \quad C \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^\infty t_Q^{-n/2} \rho(2^{2(j-1)}) |Q|^{1-1/v} \left(\int_{R^n} |I_\alpha M_{(b-b_{2Q})\chi_{2Q}} T^{k,6}(f)(y)|^v dy \right)^{1/v} \\
 & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m |Q|^{-1/v} \left(\int_{2Q} |(b(y) - b_{2Q}) T^{k,6}(f)(y)|^u dy \right)^{1/u} + \\
 & \quad C \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^\infty \rho(2^{2(j-1)}) |Q|^{-1/v} \left(\int_{2Q} |(b(y) - b_{2Q}) T^{k,6}(f)(y)|^u dy \right)^{1/u} \\
 & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|2Q|^{1-s\alpha/n}} \int_{2Q} |T^{k,6}(f)(y)|^s dy \right)^{1/s} \times \\
 & \quad \left(\frac{1}{|2Q|} \int_{2Q} |b(y) - b_{2Q}|^{su/(s-u)} dy \right)^{(s-u)/su} + \\
 & \quad C \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^\infty 2^{(j-1)(n+\epsilon)} \rho(2^{2(j-1)}) 2^{-j(n+\epsilon)} \left(\frac{1}{|2Q|^{1-s\alpha/n}} \int_{2Q} |T^{k,6}(f)(y)|^s dy \right)^{1/s} \times
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\frac{1}{|2Q|} \int_{2Q} |b(y) - b_{2Q}|^{su/(s-u)} dy \right)^{(s-u)/su} \\
 & \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{k=1}^m M_{\alpha,s}(T^{k,6}(f))(\tilde{x}), \\
 I_5 & \leq \frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{(2Q)^c} |b(y) - b_{2Q}| |K(x-y) - K_{t_Q}(x-y)| |I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f)(y)| dy \\
 & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_{2^j d \leq |y-x_0| < 2^{j+1}d} |b(y) - b_{2Q}| \frac{l(Q)^\delta}{|x_0 - y|^{n+\delta}} |I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f)(y)| dy \\
 & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^\infty 2^{-j\delta} \left(\frac{1}{|2^{j+1}Q|} \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |b(y) - b_{2Q}|^{s'} dy \right)^{1/s'} \times \\
 & \quad \left(\frac{1}{|2^{j+1}Q|} \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f)(y)|^s dy \right)^{1/s} \\
 & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^\infty j 2^{-j\delta} \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} M_s(I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f))(\tilde{x}) \\
 & \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{k=1}^m M_s(I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f))(\tilde{x}), \\
 I_6 & \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q \int_{(2Q)^c} |b(y) - b_{2Q}| |\tilde{K}_{t_Q}(x-y)| |T^{k,6}(f)(y)| dy dx \\
 & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_{2^j d \leq |y-x_0| < 2^{j+1}d} |b(y) - b_{2Q}| \frac{t_Q}{|x-y|^{n+2-\alpha}} |T^{k,6}(f)(y)| dy \\
 & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^\infty 2^{-2j} \left(\frac{1}{|2^{j+1}Q|} \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |b(y) - b_{2Q}|^{s'} dy \right)^{1/s'} \times \\
 & \quad \left(\frac{1}{|2^{j+1}Q|^{1-s\alpha/n}} \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |T^{k,6}(f)(y)|^s dy \right)^{1/s} \\
 & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^\infty j 2^{-2j} \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} M_{\alpha,s}(T^{k,6}(f))(\tilde{x}) \\
 & \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{k=1}^m M_{\alpha,s}(T^{k,6}(f))(\tilde{x}).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.3 Choose $1 < s < p$ in Theorem 3.1, we get, by Lemma 3.15,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|T_b(f)\|_{L^{p,\varphi}} & \leq \|M_r(T_b(f))\|_{L^{p,\varphi}} \leq C \|M_{A,r}^\#(T_b(f))\|_{L^{p,\varphi}} \\
 & \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{k=1}^m (\|M^2(T^{k,2}(f))\|_{L^{p,\varphi}} + \|M_s(T^{k,2}(f))\|_{L^{p,\varphi}}) \\
 & \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{k=1}^m \|T^{k,2}(f)\|_{L^{p,\varphi}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C\|b\|_{\text{BMO}}\|f\|_{L^{p,\varphi}}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.4 Choose $1 < s < p$ in Theorem 3.2, we get, by Lemma 3.15,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_b(f)\|_{L^{q,\varphi}} &\leq \|M_r(S_b(f))\|_{L^{q,\varphi}(w)} \leq C\|M_{A,r}^\#(S_b(f))\|_{L^{q,\varphi}} \\ &\leq C\|b\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{k=1}^m (\|M^2(I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f))\|_{L^{q,\varphi}} + \|M_s(I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f))\|_{L^{q,\varphi}} + \|M_{\alpha,s}(T^{k,6}(f))\|_{L^{q,\varphi}}) \\ &\leq C\|b\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{k=1}^m (\|I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f)\|_{L^{q,\varphi}} + \|I_\alpha T^{k,4}(f)\|_{L^{q,\varphi}} + \|T^{k,6}(f)\|_{L^{p,\alpha,\varphi}}) \\ &\leq C\|b\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{k=1}^m (\|T^{k,4}(f)\|_{L^{p,\alpha,\varphi}} + \|T^{k,6}(f)\|_{L^{p,\alpha,\varphi}}) \\ &\leq C\|b\|_{\text{BMO}}\|f\|_{L^{p,\alpha,\varphi}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.4. \square

5. Applications

In this section we shall apply Theorems 3.1–3.4 of the paper to the holomorphic functional calculus of linear elliptic operators. First, we review some definitions regarding the holomorphic functional calculus [5]. Given $0 \leq \theta < \pi$. Define

$$S_\theta = \{z \in C : |\arg(z)| \leq \theta\} \cup \{0\}$$

and its interior by S_θ^0 . Set $\tilde{S}_\theta = S_\theta \setminus \{0\}$. A closed operator L on some Banach space E is said to be of type θ if its spectrum $\sigma(L) \subset S_\theta$ and for every $\nu \in (\theta, \pi]$, there exists a constant C_ν such that

$$|\eta| \|(\eta I - L)^{-1}\| \leq C_\nu, \quad \eta \notin \tilde{S}_\theta.$$

For $\nu \in (0, \pi]$, let

$$H_\infty(S_\mu^0) = \{f : S_\theta^0 \rightarrow C : f \text{ is holomorphic and } \|f\|_{L^\infty} < \infty\},$$

where $\|f\|_{L^\infty} = \sup\{|f(z)| : z \in S_\mu^0\}$. Set

$$\Psi(S_\mu^0) = \{g \in H_\infty(S_\mu^0) : \exists s > 0, \exists c > 0 \text{ such that } |g(z)| \leq c \frac{|z|^s}{1 + |z|^{2s}}\}.$$

If L is of type θ and $g \in H_\infty(S_\mu^0)$, we define $g(L) \in L(E)$ by

$$g(L) = -(2\pi i)^{-1} \int_\Gamma (\eta I - L)^{-1} g(\eta) d\eta,$$

where Γ is the contour $\{\xi = re^{\pm i\phi} : r \geq 0\}$ parameterized clockwise around S_θ with $\theta < \phi < \mu$.

If, in addition, L is one-one and has dense range, then, for $f \in H_\infty(S_\mu^0)$,

$$f(L) = [h(L)]^{-1}(fh)(L),$$

where $h(z) = z(1+z)^{-2}$. L is said to have a bounded holomorphic functional calculus on the sector S_μ , if $\|g(L)\| \leq N\|g\|_{L^\infty}$ for some $N > 0$ and for all $g \in H_\infty(S_\mu^0)$.

Now, let L be a linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\theta < \pi/2$ so that $(-L)$ generates a holomorphic semigroup e^{-zL} , $0 \leq |\arg(z)| < \pi/2 - \theta$. Applying Lemma 3.12 and Theorems 3.1–3.4, we get

Corollary 5.1 *Assume the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) *The holomorphic semigroup e^{-zL} , $0 \leq |\arg(z)| < \pi/2 - \theta$ is represented by the kernels $a_z(x, y)$ which satisfy, for all $\nu > \theta$, an upper bound*

$$|a_z(x, y)| \leq c_\nu h_{|z|}(x, y)$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $0 \leq |\arg(z)| < \pi/2 - \theta$, where $h_t(x, y) = Ct^{-n/2}s(|x - y|^2/t)$ and s is a positive, bounded and decreasing function satisfying

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{n+\epsilon}s(r^2) = 0.$$

(ii) *The operator L has a bounded holomorphic functional calculus in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, that is, for all $\nu > \theta$ and $g \in H_\infty(S_\mu^0)$, the operator $g(L)$ satisfies*

$$\|g(L)(f)\|_{L^2} \leq c_\nu \|g\|_{L^\infty} \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

Let $g(L)_b$ be the Toeplitz type operator associated to $g(L)$. Then Theorems 3.1–3.4 hold for $g(L)_b$.

Acknowledgements The author would like to thank the referees for their valuable suggestions and comments which helped to improve this paper.

References

- [1] J. GARCIA-CUERVA, J. L. RUBIO de FRANCIA. *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1985.
- [2] C. PÉREZ, R. TRUJILLO-GONZALEZ. *Sharp weighted estimates for multilinear commutators*. J. London Math. Soc. (2), 2002, **65**(3): 672–692.
- [3] R. R. COIFMAN, R. ROCHBERG, G. WEISS. *Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables*. Ann. of Math. (2), 1976, **103**(3): 611–635.
- [4] S. CHANILLO. *A note on commutators*. Indiana Univ. Math. J., 1982, **31**(1): 7–16.
- [5] J. M. MARTELL. *Sharp maximal functions associated with approximations of the identity in spaces of homogeneous type and applications*. Studia Math., 2004, **161**(2): 113–145.
- [6] R. COIFMAN, R. ROCHBERG. *Another characterization of BMO*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1980, **79**(2): 249–254.
- [7] Donggao DENG, Lixin YAN. *Commutators of singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels*. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.), 2005, **25**(1): 137–144.
- [8] G. Di FAZIO, M. A. RAGUSA. *Commutators and Morrey spaces*. Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (7), 1991, **5**(3): 323–332.
- [9] G. Di FAZIO, M. A. RAGUSA. *Interior estimates in Morrey spaces for strong solutions to nondivergence form equations with discontinuous coefficients*. J. Funct. Anal., 1993, **112**(2): 241–256.
- [10] X. T. DUONG, Lixin YAN. *On commutators of BMO function and singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels*. Bull. Aus. Math. Soc., 2003, **67**: 187–200.
- [11] X. T. DUONG, Lixin YAN. *On commutators of fractional integrals*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 2004, **132**(12): 3549–3557.

- [12] Lanzhe LIU. *Interior estimates in Morrey spaces for solutions of elliptic equations and weighted boundedness for commutators of singular integral operators*. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.), 2005, **25**(1): 89–94.
- [13] Lanzhe LIU. *Sharp function boundedness for vector-valued multilinear singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels*. J. Contemp. Math. Anal., 2010, **45**(4): 185–196.
- [14] E. M. STEIN. *Harmonic Analysis: Real Variable Methods, Orthogonality and Oscillatory Integrals*. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton NJ, 1993.
- [15] G. V. WELLAND. *Weighted norm inequalities for fractional integrals*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1975, **51**: 143–148.
- [16] T. MIZUHARA. *Boundedness of Some Classical Operators on Generalized Morrey Spaces*. ICM-90 Satell. Conf. Proc., Springer, Tokyo, 1991.
- [17] B. MUCKENHOUPT, R. WHEEDEN. *Weighted norm inequalities for fractional integrals*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1974, **192**: 261–274.
- [18] J. PEETRE. *On convolution operators leaving $L^{p,\lambda}$ -spaces invariant*. Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl. (4), 1966, **72**: 295–304.
- [19] J. PEETRE. *On the theory of $L^{p,\lambda}$ -spaces*. J. Func. Anal., 1969, **4**: 71–87.
- [20] Xiaosha ZHOU, Lanzhe LIU. *Weighted boundedness for multilinear singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels on Morrey spaces*. Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fs. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM, 2010, **104**(1): 115–127.
- [21] A. TORCHINSKY. *Real Variable Methods in Harmonic Analysis*. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1986.
- [22] S. KRANTZ, Songying LI. *Boundedness and compactness of integral operators on spaces of homogeneous type and applications (I)*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2001, **258**(2): 629–641.
- [23] Yan LIN, Shanzhen LU. *Toeplitz operators related to strongly singular Calderon-Zygmund operators*. Sci. China Ser. A, , 2006, **49**: 1048-1064.
- [24] Shanzhen LU, Huixia MO. *Toeplitz-type operators on Lebesgue spaces*. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.), 2009, **29**(1): 140–150.