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#### Abstract

A graph $G$ without isolated vertices is a least common multiple of two graphs $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ if $G$ is a smallest graph, in terms of number of edges, such that there exists a decomposition of $G$ into edge disjoint copies of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$. The collection of all least common multiples of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ is denoted by $\operatorname{LCM}\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ and the size of a least common multiple of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ is denoted by $\operatorname{lcm}\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$. In this paper $\operatorname{lcm}\left(P_{4}, P_{m} \square P_{n}\right), \operatorname{lcm}\left(P_{4}, C_{m} \square C_{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{lcm}\left(K_{1,3}, K_{1, m} \square K_{1, n}\right)$ are determined.


Keywords graph decomposition; least common multiple
MR(2020) Subject Classification 05C38; 05C51; 05C70

## 1. Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are assumed to be simple and to have no isolated vertices. The number of vertices of a graph $G$ denoted by $v(G)$, is called the order of $G$ and the number of edges of $G$ denoted by $e(G)$, is called the size of $G$.

A graph $H$ is said to divide a graph $G$ if there exists a set of subgraphs of $G$, each isomorphic to $H$, whose edge sets partition the edge set of $G$. Such a set of subgraphs is called an $H$ decomposition of $G$. If $G$ has an $H$-decomposition, we say that $G$ is $H$-decomposable and write $H \mid G$.

A graph is called a common multiple of two graphs $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ if both $H_{1} \mid G$ and $H_{2} \mid G$. A graph $G$ is a least common multiple of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ if $G$ is a common multiple of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ and no other common multiple has fewer edges. Several authors have investigated the problem of finding least common multiples of pairs of graphs $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$; that is graphs of minimum size which are both $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ decomposable. The problem was introduced by Chartrand et al. in [1] and they showed that every two nonempty graphs have a least common multiple. The problem of finding the size of least common multiples of graphs has been studied for several pairs of graphs: cycles and stars [1-3], paths and complete graphs [4], pairs of complete graphs, complete graphs and a 4-cycle, paths and stars and pairs of cycles. Least common multiple of digraphs were considered in [5].

[^0]If $G$ is a common multiple of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ and $G$ has $q$ edges, then we call $G$ a $\left(q, H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ graph. An obvious necessary condition for the existence of a $\left(q, H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ graph is that $e\left(H_{1}\right) \mid q$ and $e\left(H_{2}\right) \mid q$. This obvious necessary condition is not always sufficient. Therefore, we may ask: Given two graphs $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, for which value of $q$ does there exist a $\left(q, H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ graph? Adams, Bryant and Maenhaut [6] gave a complete solution to this problem in the case where $H_{1}$ is the 4 -cycle and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is a complete graph; Bryant and Maenhaut [7] gave a complete solution to this problem in the case where $H_{1}$ is the complete graph $K_{3}$ and $H_{2}$ is a complete graph. Thus the problem to find least common multiple of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ is to find the least positive integer $q$ such that there exists a $\left(q, H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ graph. We denote the set of all least common multiples of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ by $\operatorname{LCM}\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$. The size of a least common multiple of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ is denoted by $\operatorname{lcm}\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$. Since every two nonempty graphs have a least common multiple, $\operatorname{LCM}\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ is nonempty. For many pairs of graphs number of elements of $\operatorname{LCM}\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ is greater than one. For example both $P_{7}$ and $C_{6}$ are least common multiples of $P_{4}$ and $P_{3}$. In fact Chartrand et al. [8] proved that for every positive integer $n$ there exist two graphs having exactly $n$ least common multiples.

## 2. Preliminaries

The path $P_{n}$ having vertex set $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and edge set $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n-1}\right\}$ will be denoted by $\left\langle e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n-1}\right\rangle$ and a star $K_{1, n}$ having vertex set $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n+1}\right\}$, where $v_{1}$ is the hub vertex, and edge set $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ will be denoted by $\left[v_{1} ; e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right]$. The cartesian product of two graphs $G$ and $H$ denoted by $G \square H$ is a graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ for which $\{(x, a),(y, b)\}$ is an edge if $x=y$ and $\{a, b\} \in E(H)$ or $\{x, y\} \in E(G)$ and $a=b . v(G \square H)=$ $v(G) v(H)$ and $e(G \square H)=v(G) e(H)+v(H) e(G)$.

Theorem 2.1 ([9]) A nontrivial connected graph $G$ is Eulerian if and only if every vertex of $G$ has even degree.

Theorem 2.2 ([9]) Let $G$ and $H$ be nontrivial connected graphs. Then $G \square H$ is Eulerian if and only if both $G$ and $H$ are Eulerian or every vertex of $G$ and $H$ is odd.

Theorem 2.3 ([9]) A nontrivial graph $G$ is a bipartite graph if and only if $G$ contains no odd cycles.

Theorem 2.4 ([10]) Let $E$ be an Eulerian circuit in a graph G. If $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{m}$ are positive integers such that $k_{1}+k_{2}+\cdots+k_{m}=e(G)$ and each less than $g(E)$, where $g(E)$ is the length of the minimal cycle contained in $E$, then $G$ can be decomposed into paths of lengths $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{m}$.

Theorem $2.5([11])$ A complete bipartite graph of size $q \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ is $K_{1,3}$-decomposable.
Theorem 2.6 ([12]) If the graphs $G$ and $H$ have an $F$-decomposition, then their cartesian product $G \square H$ also has an $F$-decomposition.

Theorem 2.7 ([13]) If the graphs $G$ and $H$ are bipartite, then $\operatorname{lcm}(G, H) \leq e(G) . e(H)$ where
equality holds if $\operatorname{gcd}(e(G), e(H))=1$.
For a graph $G$, let $G^{t}$ for $t=1,2,3$ denote the $t$-th copy of $G$. Let $v^{t}$ denote a vertex and $e^{t}$ denote an edge in $G^{t}$.

## 3. Main results

In this section we compute

$$
\operatorname{lcm}\left(P_{4}, P_{m} \square P_{n}\right), \operatorname{lcm}\left(P_{4}, C_{m} \square C_{n}\right) \text { and } \operatorname{lcm}\left(K_{1,3}, K_{1, m} \square K_{1, n}\right) .
$$

lcm of $P_{4}$ and $P_{m} \square P_{n}$
Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}$ and $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}$ be the vertices of $P_{m}$ and $P_{n}$, respectively. $P_{m} \times\left\{b_{j}\right\}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ are the $P_{m}$-fibers and $\left\{a_{i}\right\} \times P_{n}, 1 \leq i \leq m$ are the $P_{n}$-fibers in $P_{m} \square P_{n}$. Label the vertices and edges of the $j$-th $P_{m}$-fiber, $P_{m} \times\left\{b_{j}\right\}$ as $\left\{v_{1, j}, v_{2, j}, \ldots, v_{m, j}\right\},\left\{f_{1, j}, f_{2, j}, \ldots, f_{m-1, j}\right\}$ and that of the $i$-th $P_{n}$-fiber, $\left\{a_{i}\right\} \times P_{n}$ as $\left\{v_{i, 1}, v_{i, 2}, \ldots, v_{i, n}\right\},\left\{e_{i, 1}, e_{i, 2}, \ldots, e_{i, n-1}\right\}$. A path on $m$ vertices $P_{m}$ has $m-1$ edges and it is $P_{n}$-decomposable if and only if $n-1$ divides $m-1$.


Figure $1 \quad P_{m} \square P_{n}$

Theorem 3.1 $\operatorname{lcm}\left(P_{4}, P_{m} \square P_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}m(n-1)+n(m-1) ; & m, n \equiv 0(\bmod 3), \\ & m, n \equiv 1(\bmod 3), \\ 3(m(n-1)+n(m-1)) ; & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}$
Proof Least common multiple of $P_{4}$ and $P_{m}$$P_{n}$ is the number of edges in the graph $F$ of least size that is both $P_{4}$-decomposable and $P_{m} \square P_{n}$-decomposable. Since $e\left(P_{m} \square P_{n}\right)=$ $m(n-1)+n(m-1), e(F)$ must be a multiple of 3 and $m(n-1)+n(m-1)$. We consider various cases for $m$ and $n$ in modulo 3 and will construct in each case a graph of least size that is $P_{4}$-decomposable and $P_{m}$$P_{n}$-decomposable. Let $G=P_{m}$$P_{n}$.
Let $X=\{(a, b): a, b \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ or $a, b \equiv 1(\bmod 3)\}$. Then $m(n-1)+n(m-1) \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 3)$ if and only if $(m, n) \in X$.

Case 1. $(m, n) \in X$.
Subcase 1.1. Let $m, n \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$. The $m-1$ edges of the $j$-th $P_{m}$-fiber, where $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, together with the edge $e_{1, j}$ make a $P_{m+1}$, which is $P_{4}$-decomposable. Similarly, the $n-1$ edges of the $i$-th $P_{n}$-fiber, where $2 \leq i \leq m$, together with the edge $f_{i, n}$ will make a $P_{n+1}$ and it is $P_{4^{-}}$ decomposable. Thus $G$ is $P_{4}$-decomposable and hence $\operatorname{lcm}\left(P_{4}, P_{m} \square P_{n}\right)=m(n-1)+n(m-1)$.

Subcase 1.2. Let $m, n \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$. Then each $P_{m}$-fiber has $3 k$ edges and each $P_{m}$-fiber has $3 l$ edges for some positive integers $k$ and $l$. So each fiber and hence $P_{m} \square P_{n}$ is $P_{4}$-decomposable. Thus $\operatorname{lcm}\left(P_{4}, P_{m} \square P_{n}\right)=m(n-1)+n(m-1)$.

Case 2. $(m, n) \notin X$.
In this case $P_{m}$$P_{n}$ is not $P_{4}$-decomposable. Since $P_{4}$ and $P_{m} \square P_{n}$ have no odd cycles by Theorem 2.3, both are bipartite. Also $\operatorname{gcd}(3, m(n-1)+n(m-1))=1$. So by Theorem 2.7, $\operatorname{lcm}\left(P_{4}, P_{m} \square P_{n}\right)=3(m(n-1)+n(m-1))$.

From Theorem 3.1 the following result is obtained, which is a subcase of the open problem: The $P_{4}$-decomposability of a graph.

Theorem $3.2 P_{m} \square P_{n}$ is $P_{4}$-decomposable if and only if $m \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ and $n \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ or $m \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ and $n \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$.
$\operatorname{lcm}$ of $P_{4}$ and $C_{m} \square C_{n}$
Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}$ and $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}$ be the vertices of $C_{m}$ and $C_{n}$, respectively. $C_{m} \times\left\{b_{j}\right\}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ are the $C_{m}$-fibers and $\left\{a_{i}\right\} \times C_{n}, 1 \leq i \leq m$ are the $C_{n}$-fibers in $C_{m} \square C_{n}$. Label the vertices and edges of the $j$-th $C_{m}$-fiber, $C_{m} \times\left\{b_{j}\right\}$ as $\left\{v_{1, j}, v_{2, j}, \ldots, v_{m, j}\right\},\left\{f_{1, j}, f_{2, j}, \ldots, f_{m, j}\right\}$ and that of the $i$-th $C_{n}$-fiber, $\left\{a_{i}\right\} \times C_{n}$ as $\left\{v_{i, 1}, v_{i, 2}, \ldots, v_{i, n}\right\},\left\{e_{i, 1}, e_{i, 2}, \ldots, e_{i, n}\right\}$.
Theorem $3.3 \operatorname{lcm}\left(P_{4}, C_{m} \square C_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}2 m n & \text { if } m n \equiv 0(\bmod 3), \\ 6 m n & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}$
Proof Least common multiple of $P_{4}$ and $C_{m} \square C_{n}$ is the number of edges in the graph $F$ of least size that is both $P_{4}$-decomposable and $C_{m} \square C_{n}$-decomposable. Since $e\left(C_{m} \square C_{n}\right)=2 m n$, $e(F)$ must be a multiple of 3 and $2 m n$.

Let $G=C_{m} \square C_{n}$. If $G$ is $P_{4}$-decomposable, then $G \in \operatorname{LCM}\left(P_{4}, C_{m} \square C_{n}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{deg}(v)=2$ for all $v \in V\left(C_{n}\right)$, by Theorem 2.1, the cycle $C_{n}$ is Eulerian for every $n$. So by Theorem 2.2, $G=C_{m} \square C_{n}$ is Eulerian. If $m$ or $n$ is a multiple of three, $e\left(C_{m} \square C_{n}\right)=2 m n$ is a multiple of three. Let $e\left(C_{m} \square C_{n}\right)=3 r$, for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then by Theorem 2.4, $C_{m} \square C_{n}$ can be decomposed into $r$ copies of $P_{4}$ and hence $G=C_{m} \square C_{n}$ is $P_{4}$-decomposable. Thus $\operatorname{lcm}\left(P_{4}, C_{m} \square C_{n}\right)=2 m n$, if $m n \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$.

Suppose $m n \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$. Then $C_{m}$$C_{n}$ is not $P_{4}$-decomposable and the least positive integer which is a multiple of 3 and $2 m n$ is 6 mn . We will prove that $\operatorname{lcm}\left(P_{4}, C_{m}\right.$$\left.C_{n}\right)=6 m n$ if $m n \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$. For this consider various cases for $m$ and $n$ in modulo 3 and in each case we will construct a graph of size $6 m n$ that is both $P_{4}$-decomposable and $C_{m}$$C_{n}$-decomposable. Let $G=C_{m} \square C_{n}$.

Case 1. $m=3 k+1, n=3 l+1$.
Let $H$ be the graph obtained by identifying the vertex $v_{m, n}^{1}$ of $G^{1}$ with the vertex $v_{1,1}^{2}$ of $G^{2}$ and the vertex $v_{m, n}^{2}$ of $G^{2}$ with $v_{1,1}^{3}$ of $G^{3}$. Clearly, $H$ is $C_{m} \square C_{n}$-decomposable. A $P_{4}$ decomposition of $H$ is given below. In each $G^{t}$ consider the $C_{3 k+1}$-fibers and the $C_{3 l+1}$-fibers except the first and last fibers. The first $3 k$ edges in the $C_{3 k+1}$-fiber makes a $P_{3 k+1}$ and the first $3 l$ edges in the $C_{3 l+1}$-fiber makes a $P_{3 l+1}$ and both are $P_{4}$-decomposable. A $P_{4}$-decomposition of the remaining edges of $H$ is obtained as follows. For $1 \leq i \leq m-1,1 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq t \leq 3$,

$$
\left\langle e_{1, j}^{t}, f_{m, j+1}^{t}, e_{m, j}^{t}\right\rangle \quad\left\langle f_{i, 1}^{t}, e_{i, n}^{t}, f_{i, n}^{t}\right\rangle \quad\left\langle f_{m, 1}^{1}, e_{m, n}^{1}, f_{m, 1}^{2}\right\rangle \quad\left\langle e_{m, n}^{2}, f_{m, 1}^{3}, e_{m, n}^{3}\right\rangle
$$

Thus $H$ is $P_{4}$-decomposable.


Figure $2 m=4, n=4$
Case 2. $m=3 k+2, n=3 l+1$.
Let $H$ be the graph obtained by identifying the vertex $v_{m, n}^{1}$ of $G^{1}$ with the vertex $v_{m, 1}^{2}$ of $G^{2}$ and the vertex $v_{m, n}^{2}$ of $G^{2}$ with $v_{m, 1}^{3}$ of $G^{3}$. Clearly, $H$ is $C_{m} \square C_{n}$-decomposable. A $P_{4}$ decomposition of $H$ is given below. In each $G^{t}$ consider the $C_{3 k+2}$-fibers and the $C_{3 l+1}$-fibers except the first and last fibers. The first $3 k$ edges in the $C_{3 k+2}$-fiber makes a $P_{3 k+1}$ and the first $3 l$ edges in the $C_{3 l+1}$-fiber makes a $P_{3 l+1}$ and both are $P_{4}$-decomposable. A $P_{4}$-decomposition of the remaining edges of $H$ is obtained as follows. For $1 \leq i \leq m-1,2 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq t \leq 3$,

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\left\langle e_{1, j}^{t}, f_{m-1, j}^{t}, f_{m, j}^{t}\right\rangle & \left\langle f_{i, 1}^{t}, e_{i, n}^{t}, f_{i, n}^{t}\right\rangle & \left\langle e_{1,1}^{t}, f_{m, 1}^{t}, e_{m, 1}^{t}\right\rangle \\
\left\langle f_{m, n}^{t}, e_{m, n-2}^{t}, e_{m, n-1}^{t}\right\rangle & \left\langle e_{m, n}^{1}, e_{m, n}^{2}, e_{m, n}^{3}\right\rangle &
\end{array}
$$

The edges $\left\{e_{m, 2}^{t}, e_{m, 3}^{t}, \ldots, e_{m, n-3}^{t}\right\}$ makes a $P_{3 l-2}$ and it has $3 l-3$ edges which is $P_{4}$-decomposable. Thus $H$ is $P_{4}$-decomposable.

Case 3. $m=3 k+2, n=3 l+2$.
Let $H$ be the graph obtained by identifying the vertex $v_{m, 1}^{1}$ of $G^{1}$ with the vertex $v_{1, n}^{2}$ of $G^{2}$ and the vertex $v_{m, 1}^{2}$ of $G^{2}$ with $v_{1, n}^{3}$ of $G^{3}$. Clearly, $H$ is $C_{m} \square C_{n}$-decomposable. A $P_{4}$ decomposition of $H$ is given below. In each $G^{t}$ consider the $C_{3 k+2}$-fibers except the last fiber and the $C_{3 l+2}$-fibers except the first and last fibers. The $3 k$ edges in the $C_{3 k+2}$-fiber except the
edges $\left\{f_{1, j}, f_{m, j} ; 1 \leq j \leq n-1\right\}$ makes a $P_{3 k+1}$ and the $3 l$ edges in the $C_{3 l+2}$-fiber except the edges $\left\{e_{i .1}, e_{i . n} ; 2 \leq i \leq m-1\right\}$ makes a $P_{3 l+1}$ and both are $P_{4}$-decomposable. A $P_{4}$-decomposition of the remaining edges of $H$ is obtained as follows. For $2 \leq i \leq m, 2 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq t \leq 3$,

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\left\langle f_{1, j}^{t}, f_{m, j}^{t}, e_{m, j}^{t}\right\rangle & \left\langle e_{i, 1}^{t}, e_{i, n}^{t}, f_{i-1, n}^{t}\right\rangle & \left\langle f_{1,1}^{t}, e_{1,1}^{t}, e_{1,2}^{t}\right\rangle \\
\left\langle e_{1, n-2}^{t}, e_{1, n-1}^{t}, f_{m, n}^{t}\right\rangle & \left\langle e_{1, n}^{1}, f_{m, 1}^{1}, e_{1, n}^{2}\right\rangle & \left\langle f_{m, 1}^{2}, e_{1, n}^{3}, f_{m, 1}^{3}\right\rangle
\end{array}
$$

The edges $\left\{e_{1,3}^{t}, e_{1,4}^{t}, \ldots, e_{1, n-3}^{t}\right\}$ makes a $P_{3 l-2}$ and it has $3 l-3$ edges which is $P_{4}$-decomposable. Thus $H$ is $P_{4}$-decomposable.

In all three cases $e(H)=6 m n$ and $H \in \operatorname{LCM}\left(P_{4}, C_{m} \square C_{n}\right)$. Thus $\operatorname{lcm}\left(P_{4}, C_{m} \square C_{n}\right)=6 m n$ if $m n \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$.

From Theorem 3.3, the following result is obtained.
Theorem 3.4 $C_{m} \square C_{n}$ is $P_{4}$-decomposable if and only if the number of vertices of $C_{m} \square C_{n}$ is a multiple of three.

## lcm of $K_{1,3}$ and $K_{1, m} \square K_{1, n}$.



Figure $3 \quad K_{1, m} \square K_{1, n}$
Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m+1}$ and $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n+1}$ be the vertices of $K_{1, m}$ and $K_{1, n}$ respectively. $K_{1, m} \times$ $\left\{b_{j}\right\}, 1 \leq j \leq n+1$ are the $K_{1, m}$-fibers and $\left\{a_{i}\right\} \times K_{1, n}, 1 \leq i \leq m+1$ are the $K_{1, n^{-}}$ fibers in $K_{1, m} \square K_{1, n}$. Label the vertices and edges of the $j$-th $K_{1, m}$-fiber, $K_{1, m} \times\left\{b_{j}\right\}$ as $\left\{v_{1, j}, v_{2, j}, \ldots, v_{m+1, j}\right\},\left\{f_{1, j}, f_{2, j}, \ldots, f_{m, j}\right\}$ and that of the $i$-th $K_{1, n}$-fiber, $\left\{a_{i}\right\} \times K_{1, n}$ as $\left\{v_{i, 1}, v_{i, 2}, \ldots, v_{i, n+1}\right\},\left\{e_{i, 1}, e_{i, 2}, \ldots, e_{i, n}\right\}$.

Theorem 3.5 $\operatorname{lcm}\left(K_{1,3}, K_{1, m} \square K_{1, n}\right)= \begin{cases}(m+1) n+m(n+1) ; & m, n \equiv 0(\bmod 3), \\ & m, n \equiv 2(\bmod 3), \\ 3((m+1) n+m(n+1)) ; & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}$
Proof Least common multiple of $K_{1,3}$ and $K_{1, m}$$K_{1, n}$ is the number of edges in the graph of least size that is both $K_{1,3}$-decomposable and $K_{1, m}$$K_{1, n}$-decomposable. Let $G=K_{1, m}$$K_{1, n}$. Then $e(G)=n(m+1)+m(n+1)=2 m n+m+n$ and $e(G)$ is a multiple of 3 if and only if $m, n \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 3)$ or $m, n \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$. Let $X=\{(a, b): m, n \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ or $m, n \equiv 2(\bmod 3)\}$.

Case 1. $(m, n) \in X$.

Subcase 1.1. If $m, n \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$, by Theorem $2.5, K_{1, m}$ and $K_{1, n}$ are $K_{1,3}$-decomposable. Then by Theorem 2.6, $G=K_{1, m} \square K_{1, n}$ is $K_{1,3}$-decomposable. So $G \in \operatorname{LCM}\left(K_{1,3}, K_{1, m} \square K_{1, n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{lcm}\left(K_{1,3}, K_{1, m} \square K_{1, n}\right)=(m+1) n+m(n+1)$.

Subcase 1.2. If $m, n \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$, in $G$ consider the first $3 k$ edges of $K_{1, m}$-fibers and the first $3 l$ edges of the $K_{1, n}$-fibers, except the first $K_{1, m}$ and $K_{1, n}$-fibers. These edges will have a $K_{1,3}$-decomposition. In any $K_{1, m}$-fiber the edges $f_{3 k+1, j}, f_{3 k+2, j}$ for $2 \leq j \leq n+1$, in any $K_{1, n}$-fiber the edges $e_{i, 3 l+1}, e_{i, 3 l+2}$ for $2 \leq i \leq m+1$ and the edges in the first $K_{1, m}$-fiber and $K_{1, n}$-fiber remains. A $K_{1,3}$-decomposition of these edges are given below

$$
\left[v_{i, 1} ; f_{i-1,1}, e_{i, 3 l+1}, e_{i, 3 l+2}\right]_{i=2}^{m+1} \quad\left[v_{1, j} ; e_{1, j-1}, f_{3 k+1, j}, f_{3 k+2, j}\right]_{j=2}^{n+1}
$$

Thus $G$ is $K_{1,3}$-decomposable.
Case 2. $(m, n) \notin X$.
In this case $K_{1, m} \square K_{1, n}$ is not $P_{4}$-decomposable. Since $P_{4}$ and $K_{1, m} \square K_{1, n}$ have no odd cycles by Theorem 2.3, both are bipartite. Also $\operatorname{gcd}(3,(m+1) n+m(n+1))=1$. So by Theorem 2.7, $\operatorname{lcm}\left(P_{4}, K_{1, m} \square K_{1, n}\right)=3((m+1) n+m(n+1))$.

Theorem 3.6 $K_{1, m} \square K_{1, n}$ is $K_{1,3}$-decomposable if and only if number of edges of $K_{1, m} \square K_{1, n}$ is a multiple of three.

Proof From Theorem 3.5 the result follows.
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