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#### Abstract

Let $m$ be a positive integer and $F_{q^{r}}$ be a finite field with the characteristic of $p$. We prove that if $p>m^{2}-m$ and $q \equiv 1(\bmod m)$, the polynomial $x^{1+\frac{q-1}{m}}+a x(a \neq 0)$ is not a permutation polynomial over $F_{q^{r}}(r \geq 2)$. And we verify that if $q \equiv 1(\bmod 7)$ and $p \neq 2,3$, then the polynomial $x^{1+\frac{q-1}{7}}+a x(a \neq 0)$ is not a permutation polynomial over $F_{q^{r}}(r \geq 2)$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $q$ be a prime power, $F_{q}$ be the finite field of order $q$, and $F_{q}[x]$ be the ring of polynomials in a single indeterminate $x$ over $F_{q}$. A polynomial $f(x) \in F_{q}[x]$ is called a permutation polynomial of $F_{q}$ if it induces a one-to-one map from $F_{q}$ to itself. These polynomials first arose in work of Betti [1] and Hermite [2] as a way to represent permutations. A general theory was developed by Hermite [2] and Dickson [3], with many subsequent developments by Carlitz and others.

The simplest class of nonconstant polynomials are the monomials $x^{n}$ with $n>0$, and one easily checks that $x^{n}$ permutes $F_{q}$ if and only if $n$ is coprime to $q-1$. However, the question of whether binomials are permutation polynomials or not is much more mysterious. Given $f(x)=x^{m}+a x^{n} \in F_{q}[x]$ with $0<n<m<q$ and $a \neq 0$, Hou [4] told us that there exist integers $r, t, d>0$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(t, q-1)=1$ and $d \mid(q-1)$ such that $f\left(x^{t}\right) \equiv x^{r}\left(x^{(q-1) / d}+a\right)\left(\bmod x^{q}-x\right)$. Therefore, if a binomial in a statement is assumed to be of the form $x^{r}\left(x^{(q-1) / d}+a\right)$, no generality is lost. Carlitz and Wells [5] relied on a bound on the Weil sum of a multiplicative character of $F_{q}$ (see [6]) to prove that for fixed integers $d>1$ and $c>0$, when $q$ is sufficiently large and satisfies the conditions $d \mid(q-1)$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(c, q-1)=1$, there exists $a \neq 0$ such that $x^{r}\left(x^{(q-1) / d}+a\right)^{k}$ is a permutation polynomial over $F_{q}$ (Note that $k=1$, the polynomial is a binomial). Using the Hasse-Weil bound on the number of degree one places of an algebraic function field over $F_{q}$ (see [7]), Masuda and Zieve [8] refined a result of Carlitz-Wells. They showed that if $q \geq 4$

[^0]and $(q-1) / d>2 q(\log \log q) / \log q$, then there exists $a \neq 0$ such that $x^{r}\left(x^{(q-1) / d}+a\right)$ is a permutation polynomial over $F_{q}$. Moreover, they obtained an estimate for the number of $a$ 's with this property.

From the nonexistence perspective, Niederreiter and Robinson [9] used Riemann Hypothesis for curves over finite fields to verify that $x^{m}+a x(a \neq 0)$ is not a permutation polynomial over $F_{q}$ if $q \geq\left(m^{2}-4 m+6\right)^{2}$. Turnwald [10] improved this result by considering Weil's bound [11] for the number of $F_{q}$-rational points on the curve $(f(x)-f(y)) /(x-y)$. He proved that $f(x)=x^{m}+a x^{n}$ with $m>n>0$ and $a \neq 0$ is not a permutation polynomial over $F_{q}$ if $q>(m-2)^{2}+4 m-4$ and $m \neq n p^{i}$; here, $p$ denotes the characteristic of $F_{q}$. There are some results on binomial $x^{1+\frac{q-1}{m}}+a x(q \equiv 1(\bmod m))$ over $F_{q^{r}}$. For $r=1$, Carlitz [5] showed that for sufficiently large $q$ there exists $a \in F_{q}^{*}$ for which $x^{1+\frac{q-1}{m}}+a x$ is a permutation polynomial over $F_{q}$. For $r \geq 2$, Carlitz [12] proved that the binomial $x^{1+\frac{q-1}{2}}+a x$ ( $q$ odd, $a \neq 0$ ) cannot be a permutation polynomial of $F_{q^{r}}$, and he raised the same question for $x^{1+\frac{q-1}{3}}+a x(q \equiv 1(\bmod 3), a \neq 0)$. Wan [13] answered Carlitz's question in the case $p \neq 2$. Kim and Lee [14] proved that $x^{1+\frac{q-1}{5}}+a x$ ( $q \equiv 1$ $(\bmod 5), a \neq 0)$ cannot be a permutation polynomial of $F_{q^{r}}$ for $p \neq 2$. Then they also conjectured that the polynomial $x^{1+\frac{q-1}{7}}+a x(q \equiv 1(\bmod 7), a \neq 0)$ is not a permutation polynomial over $F_{q^{r}}$. More generally, one may consider $x^{1+\frac{q-1}{m}}+a x \in F_{q^{r}}[x]$, where $q \equiv 1(\bmod m), m \geq 2$, $a \neq 0$. Clearly, if $m=\frac{q-1}{p^{i}-1}$, where $F_{p^{i}} \subset F_{q^{r}}$, then $x^{1+\frac{q-1}{m}}+a x=x^{p^{i}}+a x$, which is a permutation polynomial of $F_{q^{r}}$ if and only if $(-a)^{\left(q^{r}-1\right) /\left(p^{i}-1\right)} \neq 1$. When $1+\frac{q-1}{m}$ is not a power of $p$, it is not known if the binomial can be a permutation polynomial of $F_{q^{r}}$.

In this paper, we give some properties of $x^{1+\frac{q-1}{m}}+a x(a \neq 0)$ over $F_{q^{r}}[x](r \geq 2)$ and show that a polynomial of the form of $x^{1+\frac{q-1}{7}}+a x(a \neq 0)$ is not a permutation polynomial of $F_{q^{r}}(r \geq 2)$, where $q \equiv 1(\bmod 7)$ and $p \neq 2,3$.

In the following we assume that $q=p^{n}, p$ a prime unless stated otherwise.

## 2. Auxiliary results

We now present some auxiliary lemmas that will be needed in the sequel.
First, we give Hermite's criterion. The interest in this criterion is utilizing the degrees of the power of the polynomial to determine whether the one is permutation.

Lemma 2.1 ([15, Theorem 7.4]) A polynomial $f(x) \in F_{q}[x]$ is permutation polynomial if and only if
(1) For each $i$ with $0<i<q-1$, the reduction of $f^{i}(x)$ modulo $x^{q}-x$ has degree less than $q-1$ and
(2) $f(x)$ has precisely one root in $F_{q}$.

Wan [13] established the following result about the binomial. Actually, Hermite's criterion can produce this outcome. For convenience of exposition of the Theorem 3.5, we still list here.

Lemma 2.2 ([13]) Let $1<k<q, q-1=k\left(\left[\frac{q-1}{k}\right]-t\right)+t k+j_{0}, 0 \leq j_{0}<k, 0 \leq t<\left[\frac{q-1}{k}\right]$. Put $J=\left[\frac{q-1}{k}\right]-t+t k+j_{0}$ and suppose $p \not \backslash\binom{J}{t k+j_{0}}$. If $q-1>(k-1, q-1)((t+1) k-1)$, then
$f(x)=x^{k}+a x(a \neq 0)$ is not a permutation polynomial over $F_{q}$.
To determine $p$ being not a divisor of $\binom{J}{t k+j_{0}}$ in Lemma 2.2 and the coefficients of the expansion of $f^{i}(x)$ in Lemma 2.1, we require the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.3 ([16]) Let $p$ be a prime and

$$
m=\sum_{i=0}^{l} m_{i} p^{i}, \quad k=\sum_{i=0}^{l} k_{i} p^{i}
$$

be representations of $m$ and $k$ to the basis $p$, where $0 \leq m_{i}, k_{i} \leq p-1$. Then

$$
\binom{m}{k} \equiv \prod_{i=0}^{l}\binom{m_{i}}{k_{i}} \quad(\bmod p)
$$

Let $v_{p}(n)$ be the exponent of the highest power of $p$ that divides $n$. Furthermore, we denote by $\lfloor t\rfloor$ the greatest integer $\leq t$.

Lemma 2.4 ([15, Lemma 6.39]) For any nonnegative integer $n$ and any prime $p$ we have

$$
v_{p}(n!)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p^{i}}\right\rfloor=\frac{n-s_{n}}{p-1},
$$

where $s_{n}$ is the sum of digits in the representation of $n$ to the base $p$.
At last, we list the relationship between the degree of permutation binomials and the order of the finite field.

Lemma 2.5 ([10]) If there is a permutation polynomial of $F_{q}$ of the form $x^{s}+a x^{t}$, where $s>t>0$ and $a \in F_{q}^{*}$, then either $\frac{s}{t}$ is a power of $p$ or $q \leq(s-2)^{4}+4 s-4$.

## 3. Main results

We discuss whether $x^{1+\frac{q-1}{m}}+a x$ is a permutation polynomial over $F_{q^{r}}$ or not. We know that if $m=\frac{q-1}{p^{t}-1}$ with $t$ being a divisor of $n$, we have that

$$
x^{1+\frac{q-1}{m}}+a x=x^{p^{t}}+a x
$$

is a $p$-polynomial over $F_{q^{r}}$. Then $x^{p^{t}}+a x$ is a permutation polynomial over $F_{q^{r}}$ if and only if $-a$ is not a $\left(p^{t}-1\right)$ th power of an element of $F_{q^{r}}^{*}$. If $m \neq \frac{q-1}{p^{t}-1}$ with $t$ being a divisor of $n$ and $r \geq 4$, we have that $1+\frac{q-1}{m}$ is not a power of $p$ and

$$
q^{r}>\left(1+\frac{q-1}{m}-2\right)^{4}+4\left(1+\frac{q-1}{m}\right)-4 .
$$

By Lemma 2.5, $x^{1+\frac{q-1}{m}}+a x(a \neq 0)$ is not a permutation polynomial over $F_{q^{r}}$.
Therefore, for $m \neq \frac{q-1}{p^{t}-1}$ with $t$ being a divisor of $n$, we only need to consider the cases $r=2$ and $r=3$.

Kim and Lee [14] gave the following properties of $x^{1+\frac{q-1}{m}}+a x(a \neq 0)$ over $F_{q^{r}}(r=2,3)$.
Proposition $3.1([14]) \quad x^{1+\frac{q-1}{m}}+a x(a \neq 0)$ is not a permutation polynomial over $F_{q^{2}}$ if
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$p>m^{2}-m$ and $q>m^{3}-2 m^{2}-m+1$ with $m \geq 3$.
Proposition $3.2([14]) \quad x^{1+\frac{q-1}{m}}+a x(a \neq 0)$ is not a permutation polynomial over $F_{q^{3}}$ if $p>m^{2}-m$ and $q>m+(m-1)\left(m(m-1)^{2}-1\right)$ with $m \geq 3$.

Here, we give an alternative proof to the above Propositions. In all proofs, techniques used here are different from the ones used in [14]. As a result, condition $q>m^{3}-2 m^{2}-m+1$ of Proposition 3.1 and condition $q>m+(m-1)\left(m(m-1)^{2}-1\right)$ of Proposition 3.2 are deleted.

Theorem 3.3 For a prime power $q$ and a positive integer $m$ with $q \equiv 1(\bmod m)$, the polynomial $x^{1+\frac{q-1}{m}}+a x(a \neq 0)$ is not a permutation binomial over $F_{q^{2}}$, if $p$ satisfies one of the following conditions:
(i) $p>m^{2}-m$;
(ii) $p<m^{2}-m<p^{2}$ and $t+m \leq p$, where $t$ is the least nonnegative residue of $m^{2}-2 m$ modulo $p$.

Proof In Lemma 2.1, let $i=m q-m$. Then it will suffice to show that the reduction of

$$
\left(x^{1+\frac{q-1}{m}}+a x\right)^{m q-m} \quad\left(\bmod x^{q^{2}}-x\right)
$$

has degree $q^{2}-1$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x^{1+\frac{q-1}{m}}+a x\right)^{m q-m} & =\sum_{j=0}^{m q-m}\binom{m q-m}{j} a^{j} x^{\left(1+\frac{q-1}{m}\right)(m q-m-j)+j} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{m q-m}\binom{m q-m}{j} a^{j} x^{q^{2}-1+(m-2)(q-1)-\frac{q-1}{m} \cdot j}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $j>m(m-2)$, the corresponding exponent of $x$ are $\leq q^{2}-2$. For $j<m(m-2)$, the corresponding exponent of $x$ are easily seen to be $\geq q^{2}$ and $\leq 2 q^{2}-3$, so that after reduction of these terms $\left(\bmod x^{q^{2}}-x\right)$ we get monomials of degree $\leq q^{2}-2$. The only remaining term is the one for $j=m(m-2)$-namely,

$$
\binom{m q-m}{m^{2}-2 m} a^{m^{2}-2 m} x^{q^{2}-1} .
$$

It suffices then to prove that the binomial coefficient above is not divisible by the characteristic $p$ of $F_{q}$. If $s_{n}$ is as defined above in Lemma 2.4, then $p>m^{2}-m$ implies that

$$
s_{m q-m}=s_{m q-\left(m^{2}-m\right)}+s_{m^{2}-2 m}
$$

hence Lemma 2.4 yields

$$
v_{p}\left(\binom{m q-m}{m^{2}-2 m}\right)=\frac{1}{p-1}\left(s_{m q-\left(m^{2}-m\right)}+s_{m^{2}-2 m}-s_{m q-m}\right)=0
$$

which is the desired fact.
By the same method of the proof of Theorem 3.3, we can prove the following theorem. For convenience of description below, we still give proof.

Theorem 3.4 Let $q \equiv 1(\bmod m)$. The polynomial $x^{1+\frac{q-1}{m}}+a x(a \neq 0)$ is not a permutation
binomial over $F_{q^{3}}$, if $p$ satisfies one of the following conditions:
(i) $p>m^{2}-m$;
(ii) $p<m^{2}-m<p^{2}$ and $t+m \leq p$, where $t$ is the least nonnegative residue of $m^{2}-2 m$ modulo $p$.

Proof In Lemma 2.1, let $i=m q^{2}-(m-1) q-1$. Then it will suffice to show that the reduction of

$$
\left(x^{1+\frac{q-1}{m}}+a x\right)^{m q^{2}-(m-1) q-1} \quad\left(\bmod x^{q^{3}}-x\right)
$$

has degree $q^{3}-1$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x^{1+\frac{q-1}{m}}+a x\right)^{m q^{2}-(m-1) q-1} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{m q^{2}-(m-1) q-1}\binom{m q^{2}-(m-1) q-1}{j} a^{j} x^{\left(1+\frac{q-1}{m}\right)\left[m q^{2}-(m-1) q-1-j\right]+j} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{m q^{2}-(m-1) q-1}\binom{m q^{2}-(m-1) q-1}{j} a^{j} x^{q^{3}-1+\left[(m-1)^{2} q-1-j\right] \cdot \frac{q-1}{m}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $j>(m-1)^{2} q-1$, the corresponding exponent of $x$ are $\leq q^{3}-2$. For $j<(m-1)^{2} q-1$, the corresponding exponent of $x$ are easily seen to be $\geq q^{2}$ and $\leq 2 q^{3}-3$, so that after reduction of these terms $\left(\bmod x^{q^{2}}-x\right)$ we get monomials of degree $\leq q^{3}-2$. The only remaining term is the one for $j=(m-1)^{2} q$ - 1-namely,

$$
\binom{m q^{2}-(m-1) q-1}{(m-1)^{2} q-1} a^{(m-1)^{2} q-1} x^{q^{3}-1} .
$$

It suffices then to prove that the binomial coefficient above is not divisible by the characteristic $p$ of $F_{q}$. If $s_{n}$ is defined as above in Lemma 2.4, then $p>m^{2}-m$ implies that

$$
s_{m q^{2}-(m-1) q-1}=s_{(m-1)^{2} q-1}+s_{m q^{2}-\left(m^{2}-m+1\right)}
$$

hence Lemma 2.4 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{p}\left(\binom{m q^{2}-(m-1) q-1}{(m-1)^{2} q-1}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{p-1}\left(s_{(m-1)^{2} q-1}+s_{m q^{2}-\left(m^{2}-m+1\right)}-s_{m q^{2}-(m-1) q-1}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the desired fact.
Now we discuss the permutation behavior of polynomial of $x^{1+\frac{q-1}{7}}+a x(a \neq 0)$ over $F_{q^{r}}(r \geq$ $2)$, where $q \equiv 1(\bmod 7)$ and $p \neq 2,3$, and give the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5 Let $q \equiv 1(\bmod 7), p \neq 2,3$. Then the polynomial $x^{1+\frac{q-1}{7}}+a x(a \neq 0)$ is not a permutation polynomial over $F_{q^{r}}(r \geq 2)$.

We need some lemmas to prove Theorem 3.5.
Lemma 3.6 Let $p=5$ and $q$ be a power of $p$ with $q \equiv 1(\bmod 7)$. Then

$$
\binom{7 q-7}{35} \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

Proof For $p=5$, we have

$$
7 q-7=p q+q+(p-1) \frac{q}{p}+\cdots+(p-1) p^{2}+(p-2) p+(p-2) \text { and } 35=25+10
$$

Then by Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$
\binom{7 q-7}{35} \equiv\binom{4}{1}\binom{3}{2}\binom{3}{0} \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 5)
$$

We are done.
Lemma 3.7 Let $p \in\{13,19,37,41\}$ and $q$ be a power of $p$ with $q \equiv 1(\bmod 7)$. Then

$$
\binom{8 q-8}{q+41} \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

Proof We have

$$
8 q-8=7 q+(p-1) \frac{q}{p}+(p-1) \frac{q}{p^{2}}+\cdots+(p-1) p+(p-8)
$$

for $p>8$ and

$$
q+41=q+3 \times 13+2=q+2 \times 19+3=q+37+4
$$

Then by Lemma 2.3, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \binom{8 q-8}{q+41} \equiv\binom{7}{1}\binom{12}{3}\binom{5}{2} \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 13), \\
& \binom{8 q-8}{q+41} \equiv\binom{7}{1}\binom{18}{2}\binom{11}{3} \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 19), \\
& \binom{8 q-8}{q+41} \equiv\binom{7}{1}\binom{36}{1}\binom{29}{4} \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 37), \\
& \binom{8 q-8}{q+41} \equiv\binom{7}{1}\binom{40}{1}\binom{33}{0} \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 41)
\end{aligned}
$$

We are done.
Lemma 3.8 Let $p \in\{5,37\}$ and $q$ be a power of $p$. Then

$$
\binom{7 q^{2}-5 q-2}{37 q+5} \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

Proof We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
7 q^{2}-5 q-2= & 6 q^{2}+(p-1) \frac{q^{2}}{p}+\cdots+(p-1) p q+(p-6) q+ \\
& (p-1) \frac{q}{p}+\cdots+(p-1) p+(p-2)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $p=37$, or

$$
\begin{aligned}
7 q^{2}-5 q-2= & p q^{2}+q^{2}+(p-1) \frac{q^{2}}{p}+\cdots+(p-1) p^{2} q+ \\
& (p-2) p q+(p-1) q+\cdots+(p-1) p+(p-2)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $p=5$ and

$$
37 q+5=25 q+10 q+2 q+5
$$

Then by Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\binom{7 q^{2}-5 q-2}{37 q+5} \equiv\binom{4}{1}\binom{3}{2}\binom{4}{2}\binom{4}{1} \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 5), \\
\binom{7 q^{2}-5 q-2}{37 q+5} \equiv\binom{36}{1}\binom{35}{5} \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 37)
\end{gathered}
$$

We are done.
Similarly, we can prove the following three lemmas.
Lemma 3.9 Let $p=13$ and $q$ be a power of $p$. Then

$$
\binom{7 q^{2}-3 q-4}{39 q+17} \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 13)
$$

Lemma 3.10 Let $p=19$ and $q$ be a power of $p$. Then

$$
\binom{7 q^{2}-4 q-3}{38 q+11} \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 19)
$$

Lemma 3.11 Let $p=41$ and $q$ be a power of $p$. Then

$$
\binom{7 q^{2}-q-6}{41 q+29} \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 41)
$$

At last, we give a lemma to explain that if $p \neq 2,3$, then $1+(q-1) / 7$ is not a power of $p$.
Lemma 3.12 Let $1+(q-1) / 7$ be a power of $p$. Then $p=2$ or 3 .
Proof Since $1+(q-1) / 7$ is a power of $p$, there exists a positive integer $t$ such that

$$
1+(q-1) / 7=p^{t}
$$

or

$$
7\left(p^{t}-1\right)=q-1
$$

We have

$$
7 p^{t}-6=q,
$$

which implies that $p \mid 6$. We get $p=2$ or 3 by $p$ being a prime.
Now we show Theorem 3.5.
Proof We already showed that if $p \neq 2,3$, then $1+(q-1) / 7$ is not a power of $p$ by Lemma 3.12 and for $r \geq 4$, Theorem 3.5 holds. We only need to consider the cases $r=2$ and $r=3$.

Assume that $r=2$. By Theorem 3.3, we need only consider $p \in\{5,13,19,37,41\}$. Now

$$
q^{2}-1>\frac{q-1}{7}\left(8 \cdot \frac{q+6}{7}-1\right)>\left(\frac{q-1}{7}\right)^{2}
$$

and we have

$$
q^{2}-1=\frac{q+6}{7} \cdot 7(q-6)+35 .
$$

If $p=5$, we can take $t=0$ in Lemma 2.2. Then

$$
J=7 q-7, \quad t k+j_{0}=35
$$

According to Lemma 3.6,

$$
\binom{7 q-7}{35} \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

By Lemma 2.2, it can be proved. If $p \in\{13,19,37,41\}$, taking $t=7$, we have

$$
J=8 q-8, \quad t k+j_{0}=q+41
$$

According to Lemma 3.7,

$$
\binom{8 q-8}{q+41} \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

Lemma 2.2 implies it.
Assume that $r=3$. By Theorem 3.4, we need only consider $p<7^{2}-7$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
q^{3}-1 & >\frac{q-1}{7}\left(286 \cdot \frac{q+6}{7}-1\right)>\frac{q-1}{7}\left(273 \cdot \frac{q+6}{7}-1\right) \\
& >\frac{q-1}{7}\left(266 \cdot \frac{q+6}{7}-1\right)>\frac{q-1}{7}\left(259 \cdot \frac{q+6}{7}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
q^{3}-1=\frac{q+6}{7}\left(7\left(q^{2}-6 q+36\right)-1\right)+\frac{q+6}{7}-217 .
$$

If $p \in\{5,37\}$ and $\frac{q+6}{7}-217 \geq 0$, then we have $q>p^{2}$. Taking $t=258$, we have

$$
J=7 q^{2}-5 q-2, \quad t k+j_{0}=37 q+5
$$

By Lemma 3.8, we have

$$
\binom{7 q^{2}-5 q-2}{37 q+5} \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

By Lemma 2.2, it can be proved.
If $p=13$ and $\frac{q+6}{7}-217 \geq 0$, we can take $t=272$. Then

$$
J=7 q^{2}-3 q-4, \quad t k+j_{0}=39 q+17
$$

By Lemma 3.9, we have

$$
\binom{7 q^{2}-3 q-4}{39 q+17} \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 13)
$$

By Lemma 2.2, it can be proved.
If $p \in\{5,13,37\}$ and $\frac{q+6}{7}-217 \leq 0$, then we only need to consider $q=13^{2}$ since $q \equiv 1$ $(\bmod 7)$. Thus, Lemma 2.5 can be applied.

If $p=19$, we can take $t=265$. Then

$$
J=7 q^{2}-4 q-3, \quad t k+j_{0}=38 q+11
$$

By Lemma 3.10, we have

$$
\binom{7 q^{2}-4 q-3}{38 q+11} \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 19)
$$

By Lemma 2.2, it can be proved.
If $p=41$, we can take $t=286$. Then

$$
J=7 q^{2}-q-6, \quad t k+j_{0}=41 q+29
$$

By Lemma 3.11, we have

$$
\binom{7 q^{2}-q-6}{41 q+29} \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 41)
$$

By Lemma 2.2, it can be proved.
Thus Theorem 3.5 is proved completely.
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