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#### Abstract

The distance signless Laplacian matrix of a connected graph $G$ is defined as $\mathcal{Q}(G)=$ $\operatorname{Tr}(G)+D(G)$, where $\operatorname{Tr}(G)$ is the diagonal matrix of the vertex transmissions in $G$ and $D(G)$ is the distance matrix of $G$. The largest eigenvalue of the distance signless Laplacian matrix is called the distance signless Laplacian spectral radius of $G$. In this paper, we determine the unique graph with the maximum distance signless Laplacian spectral radius among all the bicyclic graphs with given order.
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## 1. Introduction

We consider simple and undirected graphs. Undefined notations may be referred to [1]. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n$ with vertex set $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and edge set $E(G)$. If $E_{1}$ is a nonempty subset of $E(G), G-E_{1}$ denotes the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting all edges in $E_{1}$. If $E_{1}$ is a set of edges which are not in $E(G), G+E_{1}$ denotes the graph obtained from $G$ by adding all the edges in $E_{1}$. A spanning subgraph of a graph $G$ is a subgraph whose vertex set is the entire vertex set of $G$. For $v \in V(G), d_{G}(v)$ denotes the degree of $v$ in $G$. We denote $\Delta(G)=\max \left\{d_{G}(v): v \in V(G)\right\}$. We use $C_{n}$ and $P_{n}$ to represent a cycle and a path, respectively, each on $n$ vertices.

For $v_{i}, v_{j} \in V(G)$, the distance between $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ in $G$, denoted by $d_{G}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$, is the length of the shortest path from $v_{i}$ to $v_{j}$ in $G$. The distance matrix of $G$ is $D(G)=\left(d_{i, j}\right)_{n \times n}$, where $d_{i, j}=d_{G}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$. For $v_{i} \in V(G)$, the transmission of $v_{i}$ in $G$, denoted by $\operatorname{tr}_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)$, is the sum of distances from $v_{i}$ to all other vertices of $G$. Let $\operatorname{tr}_{\max }(G)$ be the maximum vertex transmission of $G$. Let $\operatorname{Tr}(G)$ be the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix of the vertex transmissons in $G$. Then the distance signless Laplacian matrix of $G$ is $\mathcal{Q}(G)=\operatorname{Tr}(G)+D(G)$. The eigenvalues of $\mathcal{Q}(G)$, denoted by $\rho_{1}(G), \rho_{2}(G), \ldots, \rho_{n}(G)$, are called the distance signless Laplacian eigenvalues of $G$. Since $\mathcal{Q}(G)$ is a real symmetric matrix, without loss of generality, suppose that $\rho_{1}(G) \geq \rho_{2}(G) \geq \cdots \geq \rho_{n}(G)$. The largest distance signless Laplacian eigenvalue of $G$ is called the distance signless Laplacian spectral radius of $G$, and is denoted by $\rho(G)$. Since $\mathcal{Q}(G)$ is an irreducible nonnegative matrix, by

[^0]Perron-Frobenius Theorem, $\rho(G)$ is simple and there is a unique unit positive eigenvector of $\mathcal{Q}(G)$ corresponding to $\rho(G)$, which is called the (distance signless Laplacian) principal eigenvector of $G$.

Aouchiche and Hansen [2] introduced the distance signless Laplacian matrix and studied the distance signless Laplacian eigenvlues of a connected graph. For a connected graph $G$, the value $c(G)=|E(G)|-|V(G)|+1$ is called the cyclomatic number of $G$. When $c(G)=0,1,2$, the graph is called a tree, a unicyclic graph and a bicyclic graph, respectively. Xing et al. [3] determined the graphs with the minimum distance signless Laplacian spectral radius among the trees, unicyclic graphs and bipartite graphs with fixed number of vertices, respectively, and also determined the graphs with the minimum distance signless Laplacian spectral radius among the connected graphs with fixed number of vertices and pendent vertices, and the connected graphs with fixed number of vertices and connectivity, respectively. Lin and Zhou [4] studied the effect of three types of graft transformations to decrease or increase the distance signless Laplacian spectral radius, and determined the unique graphs with the maximum distance signless Laplacian spectral radius among trees, and among trees with given maximum degree, respectively, and also determined the unique graphs with the minimum and the maximum distance signless Laplacian spectral radius among all non-starlike trees, among non-caterpillar trees, and among non-starlike non-caterpillar trees, respectively. Bapat et al. [5] proved that the distance signless Laplacian spectral radius was maximized at a dumbbell in the class of all trees with a given number of pendent vertices, and also determined the unique graphs with the maximum distance signless Laplacian spectral radius among unicyclic graphs. Xing and Zhou [6] determined the graphs with the minimum and the second-minimum distance signless Laplacian spectral radius among bicyclic graphs with given order. Lin and $\mathrm{Lu}[7]$ found a sharp lower bound as well as a sharp upper bound of the distance signless Laplacian spectral radius in terms of the clique number. Furthermore, both extremal graphs were uniquely determined. More results on the distance signless Laplacian spectral radius can be found in [8-12].

In this paper, we determine the unique graph with the maximum distance signless Laplacian spectral radius among all the bicyclic graphs with given order.


Figure 1 Graph $B_{n}^{*}$
Let $n \geq 4$ be an integer, and $P_{n-1}=v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{n-1}$ be a path with order $n-1$. We denote by $B_{n}^{*}$ the bicyclic graph of order $n$ obtained from $P_{n-1}$ by adding one vertex $v_{n}$ and three edges $v_{n} v_{n-3}, v_{n} v_{n-2}$ and $v_{n} v_{n-1}$. Graph $B_{n}^{*}$ is depicted in Figure 1. Let $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ be the set of bicyclic graphs of order $n$.

Theorem 1.1 Let $n \geq 8$ and $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$. Then $\rho(G) \leq \rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$ with equality if and only if $G \cong B_{n}^{*}$.
The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some useful lemmas. In Section 3, we compare the distance signless Laplacian spectral radius of some special graphs with $\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$, and then use these results to prove Theorem 1.1.

## 2. Some useful lemmas

In this section, we present some known results. Let $G$ be a connected graph with vertex set $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. A column vector $x=\left(x_{v_{1}}, x_{v_{2}}, \ldots, x_{v_{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ can be considered as a function defined on $V(G)$ which maps vertex $v_{i}$ to $x_{v_{i}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. For convenience, we write $x=\left(x_{v_{1}}, x_{v_{2}}, \ldots, x_{v_{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ as $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$. Then

$$
x^{\mathrm{T}} \mathcal{Q}(G) x=\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} d_{G}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)\left(x_{i}+x_{j}\right)^{2} .
$$

If $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ is the eigenvector of $\rho(G)$, then for $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have the following eigenequation of $G$ at $v_{i} \in V(G)$,

$$
\rho(G) x_{i}=\operatorname{tr}_{G}\left(v_{i}\right) x_{i}+\sum_{v_{j} \in V(G) \backslash\left\{v_{i}\right\}} d_{G}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right) x_{j} .
$$

For a unit column vector $x \in R^{n}$ with at least one nonnegative entry, by Rayleigh's principle, we have $\rho(G) \geq x^{\mathrm{T}} \mathcal{Q}(G) x$ with equality if and only if $x$ is the principal eigenvector of $\mathcal{Q}(G)$ corresponding to $\rho(G)$.

In [4], Lin and Zhou proved the following five lemmas.
Lemma 2.1 ([4]) Let $G$ be a connected graph with $\eta$ being an automorphism of $G$, and $x$ a principal eigenvector of $G$. Then for $u, v \in V(G), \eta(u)=v$ implies $x_{u}=x_{v}$.


Figure 2 Graphs $S(n ; 2,2, n-5)$ and $B_{n, 4}$
Let $G$ be a graph and $v \in V(G)$. If $d_{G}(v)=1, v$ is a pendent vertex of $G$. If $v$ is adjacent to a pendent vertex of $G$ and $d_{G}(v) \geq 2, v$ is called a support vertex. We denote by $\sup (G)$ the set of all support vertices in $G$. A caterpillar is a tree such that the removal of all pendent vertices yields a path. A tree that is not a caterpillar is said to be a non-caterpillar tree. Obviously, if $T$ is a tree, and $T$ has a vertex $v$ such that $v \notin \sup (T)$ and $d_{T}(v) \geq 3$, then $T$ is a noncaterpillar tree. Let $n, n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}$ be $r+1$ positive integers with $\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i}+1=n$. We denote by $S\left(n ; n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$ the graph of order $n$ obtained from vertex-disjoint paths $P_{n_{1}}, P_{n_{2}}, \ldots, P_{n_{r}}$ by adding an edge between a vertex $u$ and a terminal vertex of $P_{n_{i}}$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, r$. For example, $S(n ; 2,2, n-5)$ is the graph shown in Figure 2.

Lemma 2.2 ([4]) Let $T$ be a non-caterpillar tree of order $n \geq 7$. Then $\rho(T) \leq \rho(S(n ; 2,2, n-5))$ with equality if and only if $T \cong S(n ; 2,2, n-5)$.

For integer $2 \leq \Delta \leq n-1, B_{n, \Delta}$ denotes the tree of order $n$ obtained from $P_{n-\Delta+1}$ by attaching $\Delta-1$ pendent vertices to a terminal vertex of $P_{n-\Delta+1}$. For example, $B_{n, 4}$ is the graph shown in Figure 2.

Lemma 2.3 ([4]) Let $T$ be a tree of order $n \geq 5$ with maximum degree $\Delta$, where $2 \leq \Delta \leq n-1$. Then $\rho(T) \leq \rho\left(B_{n, \Delta}\right)$ with equality if and only if $T \cong B_{n, \Delta}$.

Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer, and $P_{r}=v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{r}$ be a path in $G$. If $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right) \geq 3, d_{G}\left(v_{r}\right)=1$, and $d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)=2$ for $2 \leq i \leq r-1, P_{r}$ is called a pendent path of length $r-1$ in $G$. For a nontrivial connected graph $G$ with $u \in V(G)$ and positive integers $k$ and $l$, let $G_{u}(k, l)$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by attaching two pendent paths of lengths $k$ and $l$ respectively at $u$, and $G_{u}(k, 0)$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by attaching a pendent path of length $k$ at $u$.

Lemma 2.4 ([4]) Let $G$ be a nontrivial connected graph with $u \in V(G)$. For $k \geq l \geq 1$, $\rho\left(G_{u}(k, l)\right)<\rho\left(G_{u}(k+1, l-1)\right)$.


Figure 3 Graphs $G, G^{\prime}$ and $G^{\prime \prime}$ in Lemma 2.5
Lemma 2.5 ([4]) Let $G$ be a graph with three induced subgraphs $G_{1}, G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$ such that $V\left(G_{1}\right) \cap V\left(G_{2}\right)=\{u\}, V\left(G_{2}\right) \cap V\left(G_{3}\right)=\{v\}, \cup_{i=1}^{3} V\left(G_{i}\right)=V(G),\left|V\left(G_{1}\right) \backslash\{u\}\right| \geq 1, \mid V\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash$ $\{u, v\} \mid \geq 1$ and $\left|V\left(G_{3}\right) \backslash\{v\}\right| \geq 1$. Suppose that $u v \in E\left(G_{2}\right), N_{G_{2}}(u) \backslash\{v\}=N_{G_{2}}(v) \backslash\{u\}=V_{0}$, $u^{\prime} \in N_{G_{1}}(u)$ and $v^{\prime} \in N_{G_{3}}(v)$. Let $G^{\prime}=G-\left\{u w: w \in V_{0}\right\}+\left\{v^{\prime} w: w \in V_{0}\right\}$ and $G^{\prime \prime}=G-\{v w:$ $\left.w \in V_{0}\right\}+\left\{u^{\prime} w: w \in V_{0}\right\}$. Graphs $G, G^{\prime}$ and $G^{\prime \prime}$ are depicted in Figure 3. Then $\rho(G)<\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ or $\rho(G)<\rho\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)$.


Figure 4 Graphs $D(n, 1,4)$ and $D(n, 2,3)$
Let $a, b$ and $n$ be three positive integers with $n \geq a+b+2$. Let $P_{n-a-b}$ be a path of order $n-a-b$ with pendent vertices $u$ and $v$. The dumbbell $D(n, a, b)$ is the graph of order $n$ obtained from $P_{n-a-b}$ by attaching $a$ pendent vertices at $u$ and attaching $b$ pendent vertices at $v$. Let $\mathcal{T}_{n, k}$ be the class of all trees with $n$ vertices and $k$ pendent vertices. If $a+b=k, D(n, a, b) \in \mathcal{T}_{n, k}$. For example, $D(n, 1,4)$ and $D(n, 2,3)$ are the trees with 5 pendent vertices. Trees $D(n, 1,4)$ and $D(n, 2,3)$ are depicted in Figure 4.

Lemma 2.6 ([5]) If $T$ is a tree with the maximum distance signless Laplacian spectral radius in $\mathcal{T}_{n, k}$, then $T \cong D(n, a, b)$ for some positive integer $a$ and $b$, where $a+b=k$.

Lemma 2.7 ([2]) Let $G$ be a connected graph on $n$ vertices with $m(m \geq n)$ edges. If $\tilde{G}$ is the connected graph obtained from $G$ by deleting an edge, then $\rho(G) \leq \rho(\tilde{G})$.

The Wiener index of a connected graph $G$, denoted by $W(G)$, is the sum of distances between all unordered pairs of vertices of $G$. A graph $G$ is said to be transmission regular if $\operatorname{tr}_{G}(v)$ is a constant for each $v \in V(G)$.

Lemma 2.8 ([6]) Let $G$ be a connected graph on $n$ vertices. Then

$$
\rho(G) \geq \frac{4 W(G)}{n}=\frac{2 \sum_{v \in V(G)} \operatorname{tr}_{G}(v)}{n}
$$

with equality if and only if $G$ is transmission regular.
Lemma 2.9 ([13]) Let $G$ be a connected graph with the maximum transmission $\operatorname{tr}_{\max }(G)$. Then $\rho(G) \leq 2 \operatorname{tr}_{\max }(G)$.

## 3. Distance signless Laplacian spectral radius of bicyclic graphs

In this section, we compare the distance signless Laplacian spectral radius of some special graphs with $\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$, and then use these results to determine the graph with the maximum distance signless Laplacian spectral radius among all the bicyclic graphs with given order.

| $n$ | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$ | 39.3676 | 51.2270 | 64.5786 | 79.4165 | 95.7361 | 113.5338 | 132.8065 |
| $\rho(S(n ; 2,2, n-5))$ | 38.9173 | 50.2532 | 62.9956 | 77.3149 | 93.1762 | 110.4357 | 129.3655 |
| $\rho\left(B_{n, 4}\right)$ | 38.1249 | 49.6770 | 62.7647 | 77.3678 | 93.4743 | 111.0759 | 130.1666 |
| $n$ | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |  |  |
| $\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$ | 153.5517 | 175.7673 | 199.4514 | 224.6025 | 251.2191 |  |  |
| $\rho(S(n ; 2,2, n-5))$ | 149.6137 | 171.4942 | 194.8626 | 219.7151 | 246.0485 |  |  |

Table 1 Some values of $\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right), \rho(S(n ; 2,2, n-5))$ and $\rho\left(B_{n, 4}\right)$
Lemma 3.1 Let $n \geq 8$. Then each of the following holds:
(i) $\rho(S(n ; 2,2, n-5))<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$;
(ii) $\rho\left(B_{n, 4}\right)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$.

Proof The vertices of $B_{n}^{*}, S(n ; 2,2, n-5)$ and $B_{n, 4}$ are labeled as in Figures 1 and 2, respectively.
(i) For $8 \leq n \leq 19$, we can use computer to get $\rho\left(S(n ; 2,2, n-5)\right.$ ) and $\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$ (see Table 1).

From Table 1, it is easy to see that $\rho(S(n ; 2,2, n-5))<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$. In the following, we suppose
that $n \geq 20$. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ be the principal eigenvector of $\mathcal{Q}(S(n ; 2,2, n-5))$ corresponding to $\rho(S(n ; 2,2, n-5))$. It is easy to see that

$$
d_{B_{n}^{*}}(u, v)-d_{S(n ; 2,2, n-5)}(u, v)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } u=v_{n-2} \text { and } v \in\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-4}\right\}, \\ -1, & \text { if } u=v_{n-2} \text { and } v=v_{n-3}, \\ 1, & \text { if } u=v_{n-1} \text { and } v \in\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-3}\right\}, \\ -2, & \text { if } u=v_{n-1} \text { and } v=v_{n-2}, \\ -2, & \text { if } u=v_{n} \text { and } v=v_{n-3}, \\ -3, & \text { if } u=v_{n} \text { and } v=v_{n-1}, \\ 0, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)-\rho(S(n ; 2,2, n-5)) \geq x^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)-\mathcal{Q}(S(n ; 2,2, n-5))\right) x \\
& \quad=\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(d_{B_{n}^{*}}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)-d_{S(n ; 2,2, n-5)}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)\right)\left(x_{i}+x_{j}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad=\sum_{i=1}^{n-4}\left(x_{n-2}+x_{i}\right)^{2}-\left(x_{n-2}+x_{n-3}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-3}\left(x_{n-1}+x_{i}\right)^{2}-2\left(x_{n-1}+x_{n-2}\right)^{2}- \\
& \quad 2\left(x_{n}+x_{n-3}\right)^{2}-3\left(x_{n}+x_{n-1}\right)^{2} . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, $x_{n-3}=x_{n-2}$ and $x_{n-1}=x_{n}$. By Perron-Frobenius Theorem, $x_{i}>0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Combining these results with $n \geq 20$ and (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)-\rho(S(n ; 2,2, n-5)) \\
& \quad \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n-4}\left(x_{n-3}+x_{i}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-4}\left(x_{n-1}+x_{i}\right)^{2}-7 x_{n-3}^{2}-15 x_{n-1}^{2}-6 x_{n-3} x_{n-1} \\
& \quad>16 x_{n-3}^{2}+16 x_{n-1}^{2}-7 x_{n-3}^{2}-15 x_{n-1}^{2}-6 x_{n-3} x_{n-1} \\
& \quad=\left(3 x_{n-3}-x_{n-1}\right)^{2} \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\rho(S(n ; 2,2, n-5))<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$.
(ii) For $8 \leq n \leq 14$, we can use computer to get $\rho\left(B_{n, 4}\right)$ and $\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$ (see Table 1). From Table 1, it is easy to see that $\rho\left(B_{n, 4}\right)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$. In the following, we suppose that $n \geq 15$. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ be the principal eigenvector of $\mathcal{Q}\left(B_{n, 4}\right)$ corresponding to $\rho\left(B_{n, 4}\right)$. It is easy to see that

$$
d_{B_{n}^{*}}(u, v)-d_{B_{n, 4}}(u, v)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } u=v_{n-1} \text { and } v \in\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-3}\right\} \\ -1, & \text { if } u=v_{n-1} \text { and } v=v_{n-2} \\ -1, & \text { if } u=v_{n} \text { and } v=v_{n-2} \\ -1, & \text { if } u=v_{n} \text { and } v=v_{n-1} \\ 0, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Hence

$$
\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)-\rho\left(B_{n, 4}\right) \geq x^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)-\mathcal{Q}\left(B_{n, 4}\right)\right) x
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(d_{B_{n}^{*}}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)-d_{B_{n, 4}}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)\right)\left(x_{i}+x_{j}\right)^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n-3}\left(x_{n-1}+x_{i}\right)^{2}-\left(x_{n-1}+x_{n-2}\right)^{2}-\left(x_{n}+x_{n-2}\right)^{2}-\left(x_{n}+x_{n-1}\right)^{2} . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have $x_{n-2}=x_{n-1}=x_{n}$. By Perron-Frobenius Theorem, $x_{i}>0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Combining these results with $n \geq 15$ and (3.2),

$$
\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)-\rho\left(B_{n, 4}\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n-3}\left(x_{n-1}+x_{i}\right)^{2}-12 x_{n-1}^{2}>12 x_{n-1}^{2}-12 x_{n-1}^{2}=0
$$

Thus $\rho\left(B_{n, 4}\right)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$.
For a connected graph $G$, we denote by $p(G)$ the number of the pendent vertices in $G$.
Lemma 3.2 Let $T$ be a tree of order $n \geq 8$.
(i) If $T$ is a non-caterpillar tree, then $\rho(T)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$.
(ii) If $T$ is a tree with maximum degree $\Delta(T) \geq 4$, then $\rho(T)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$.
(iii) If $p(T) \geq 5$, then $\rho(T)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$.
(iv) Let $G$ be a bicyclic graph of order $n \geq 8$ and let $T$ be a spanning tree of $G$. If $T$ is a non-caterpillar tree, or $\Delta(T) \geq 4$, or $p(T) \geq 5$, then $\rho(G)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$.

Proof (i) If $T$ is a non-caterpillar tree with order $n \geq 8$, by Lemma 2.2, we have $\rho(T) \leq$ $\rho(S(n ; 2,2, n-5))$ with equality if and only if $T \cong S(n ; 2,2, n-5)$. By Lemma 3.1 (i), $\rho(S(n ; 2,2, n-$ 5) $)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$. Hence we have $\rho(T)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$.
(ii) Let $\Delta(T) \geq 4$. If $\Delta(T)=4$, by Lemma 2.3, $\rho(T) \leq \rho\left(B_{n, 4}\right)$. If $\Delta(T) \geq 5$, by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we have $\rho(T) \leq \rho\left(B_{n, \Delta}\right)<\rho\left(B_{n, 4}\right)$. Hence for any case, $\rho(T) \leq \rho\left(B_{n, 4}\right)$. By Lemma 3.1 (ii), $\rho\left(B_{n, 4}\right)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$. Thus $\rho(T)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$.
(iii) Let $p(T) \geq 5$. By Lemma 2.6, we have $\rho(T) \leq \rho(D(n, a, b))$ for some positive integers $a$ and $b$, where $a+b=p(T) \geq 5$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $a \leq b$. Then $b \geq 3$, and $D(n, a, b)$ is a tree with maximum degree $\Delta \geq 4$. By Lemma 3.2 (ii), $\rho(D(n, a, b))<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$. Thus we have $\rho(T)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$.
(iv) Let $G$ be a bicyclic graph of order $n \geq 8$ and let $T$ be a spanning tree of $G$. By Lemma 2.7, $\rho(G) \leq \rho(T)$. If $T$ is a non-caterpillar tree, or $\Delta(T) \geq 4$, or $p(T) \geq 5$, by (i)-(iii) of Lemma 3.2, we have $\rho(T)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$. Thus $\rho(G)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$.

Let $n$ and $i$ be two integers with $2 \leq i \leq n-2$. Let $P_{n-1}=v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{n-1}$ be a path with order $n-1$. We denote by $B_{i}$ the bicyclic graph with $n$ vertices obtained from $P_{n-1}$ by adding one vertex $v_{n}$ and three edges $v_{n} v_{i-1}, v_{n} v_{i}$ and $v_{n} v_{i+1}$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{n}^{1}=\left\{B_{i}: 2 \leq i \leq n-2\right\}$.

Lemma 3.3 For any $B_{i} \in \mathcal{B}_{n}^{1}$, we have $\rho\left(B_{i}\right) \leq \rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$ with equality if and only if $i=2$ or $i=n-2$.

Proof Since $B_{2} \cong B_{n}^{*}$ and $B_{n-2} \cong B_{n}^{*}$, it is sufficient to prove that for $3 \leq i \leq n-3, B_{i}$ is not the graph with the maximum distance signless Laplacian spectral radius in $\mathcal{B}_{n}^{1}$. In the following, we suppose that $3 \leq i \leq n-3$. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ be the principal eigenvector of $\mathcal{Q}\left(B_{i}\right)$
corresponding to $\rho\left(B_{i}\right)$. By Perron-Frobenius Theorem, $x_{i}>0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is easy to see that

$$
d_{B_{i-1}}(u, v)-d_{B_{i}}(u, v)= \begin{cases}-1, & \text { if } u=v_{n} \text { and } v \in\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{i-2}\right\} \\ 1, & \text { if } u=v_{n} \text { and } v \in\left\{v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\} \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho\left(B_{i-1}\right)-\rho\left(B_{i}\right) \geq x^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(B_{i-1}\right)-\mathcal{Q}\left(B_{i}\right)\right) x \\
& \quad=\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(d_{B_{i-1}}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)-d_{B_{i}}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)\right)\left(x_{i}+x_{j}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad=-\sum_{j=1}^{i-2}\left(x_{n}+x_{j}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{n}+x_{i+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{j=i+2}^{n-1}\left(x_{n}+x_{j}\right)^{2} . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

If

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{i-2}\left(x_{n}+x_{j}\right)^{2} \leq \sum_{j=i+2}^{n-1}\left(x_{n}+x_{j}\right)^{2}
$$

by (3.3), we have $\rho\left(B_{i-1}\right)-\rho\left(B_{i}\right) \geq\left(x_{n}+x_{i+1}\right)^{2}>0$, i.e., $\rho\left(B_{i-1}\right)>\rho\left(B_{i}\right)$.
If

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{i-2}\left(x_{n}+x_{j}\right)^{2}>\sum_{j=i+2}^{n-1}\left(x_{n}+x_{j}\right)^{2}
$$

from

$$
d_{B_{i+1}}(u, v)-d_{B_{i}}(u, v)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } u=v_{n} \text { and } v \in\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{i-1}\right\} \\ -1, & \text { if } u=v_{n} \text { and } v \in\left\{v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\} \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho\left(B_{i+1}\right)-\rho\left(B_{i}\right) \geq x^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(B_{i+1}\right)-\mathcal{Q}\left(B_{i}\right)\right) x \\
& \quad=\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(d_{B_{i+1}}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)-d_{B_{i}}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)\right)\left(x_{i}+x_{j}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad=\sum_{j=1}^{i-2}\left(x_{n}+x_{j}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{n}+x_{i-1}\right)^{2}-\sum_{j=i+2}^{n-1}\left(x_{n}+x_{j}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad>\left(x_{n}+x_{i-1}\right)^{2}>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., $\rho\left(B_{i+1}\right)>\rho\left(B_{i}\right)$.

Hence when $3 \leq i \leq n-3, B_{i}$ is not the graph with the maximum distance signless Laplacian spectral radius in $\mathcal{B}_{n}^{1}$, which implies $\rho\left(B_{i}\right) \leq \rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$ with equality if and only if $i=2$ or $i=n-2$.

Let $n, i$ and $j$ be three integers with $2 \leq i<j \leq n-2$, and let $P_{n-2}=v_{2} v_{3} \cdots v_{n-1}$ be a path with order $n-2$. We denote by $B_{i, j}$ the bicyclic graph with $n$ vertices obtained from $P_{n-2}$ by adding two vertices $v_{1}, v_{n}$ and four edges $v_{1} v_{i}, v_{1} v_{i+1}, v_{n} v_{j}$ and $v_{n} v_{j+1}$. For example, $B_{2, n-2}$ is the graph depicted in Figure 5. Let $\mathcal{B}_{n}^{2}=\left\{B_{i, j}: 2 \leq i<j \leq n-2\right\}$.

Lemma 3.4 For any $B_{i, j} \in \mathcal{B}_{n}^{2}$, we have $\rho\left(B_{i, j}\right)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$.

Proof Suppose that $G^{*}$ maximizes the distance signless Laplacian spectral radius in $\mathcal{B}_{n}^{2}$. Let $\mathcal{S}=\left\{B_{2,3}, B_{2, n-2}\right\} \cup\left\{B_{i, i+1}: 3 \leq i \leq n-3\right\}$. We claim

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{*} \in \mathcal{S} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $G^{*} \cong B_{i, j}$. If $i=2$ and $4 \leq j \leq n-3$, by Lemma 2.5, we have $\rho\left(G^{*}\right)<\rho\left(B_{i, j-1}\right)$ or $\rho\left(G^{*}\right)<\rho\left(B_{i, j+1}\right)$, which is a contradiction. So when $i=2$, we have $j=3$ or $j=n-2$, i.e., $G^{*} \in\left\{B_{2,3}, B_{2, n-2}\right\}$. If $3 \leq i \leq n-3$ and $i+2 \leq j \leq n-2$, by Lemma 2.5, $\rho\left(G^{*}\right)<\rho\left(B_{i-1, j}\right)$ or $\rho\left(G^{*}\right)<\rho\left(B_{i+1, j}\right)$, which is a contradiction. So when $3 \leq i \leq n-3$, we must have $j=i+1$, i.e., $G^{*} \in\left\{B_{i, i+1}: 3 \leq i \leq n-3\right\}$. From above, we have $G^{*} \in \mathcal{S}$.

Now we prove that for any graph $G \in \mathcal{S}$, we have $\rho(G)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$. If $G \cong B_{2,3}$, let $G_{0}=$ $G-\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, v_{n} v_{4}\right\}$; if $G \cong B_{i, i+1}$ where $3 \leq i \leq n-3$, let $G_{0}=G-\left\{v_{1} v_{i}, v_{n} v_{i+2}\right\}$. Then $G_{0}$ is a spanning tree of $G$ with maximum degree $\Delta\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 4$. By Lemma 3.2 (iv), we have $\rho(G)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$. Suppose that $G \cong B_{2, n-2}$. The vertices of $B_{n}^{*}$ and $B_{2, n-2}$ are labeled as in Figures 1 and 5, respectively. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ be the principal eigenvector of $\mathcal{Q}\left(B_{2, n-2}\right)$ corresponding to $\rho\left(B_{2, n-2}\right)$. It is easy to see that

$$
d_{B_{n}^{*}}(u, v)-d_{B_{2, n-2}}(u, v)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } u=v_{1} \text { and } v \in\left\{v_{3}, v_{4}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\} \\ -1, & \text { if } u=v_{n} \text { and } v \in\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, \ldots, v_{n-3}\right\} \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)-\rho\left(B_{2, n-2}\right) \geq x^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)-\mathcal{Q}\left(B_{2, n-2}\right)\right) x \\
& \quad=\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(d_{B_{n}^{*}}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)-d_{B_{2, n-2}}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)\right)\left(x_{i}+x_{j}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad=\sum_{i=3}^{n-1}\left(x_{1}+x_{i}\right)^{2}-\sum_{i=2}^{n-3}\left(x_{n}+x_{i}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad=\sum_{i=3}^{n-3}\left(x_{1}+x_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{1}+x_{n-2}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{1}+x_{n-1}\right)^{2}-\left(x_{n}+x_{2}\right)^{2}-\sum_{i=3}^{n-3}\left(x_{n}+x_{i}\right)^{2} . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have $x_{1}=x_{2}=x_{n-1}=x_{n}$. By Perron-Frobenius Theorem, $x_{i}>0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Combining these results with (3.5), we have

$$
\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)-\rho\left(B_{2, n-2}\right) \geq\left(x_{1}+x_{n-2}\right)^{2}>0
$$

Thus $\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)>\rho\left(B_{2, n-2}\right)$. Hence for any graph $G \in \mathcal{S}$, we have $\rho(G)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$. From (3.4), we have $\rho\left(B_{i, j}\right)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$ for any $B_{i, j} \in \mathcal{B}_{n}^{2}$.

Let $C_{n}$ be a cycle with $n$ vertices. Let $C_{n}^{1}$ be the unicyclic graph of order $n$ obtained from $C_{n-1}=v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{n-1} v_{1}$ by adding one vertex $v_{n}$ and one edge $v_{n} v_{1}$ to $C_{n-1}$. Suppose that $n, i, j$ are three integers with $1 \leq i<j \leq n-2$. We denote by $C_{i, j}$ the unicyclic graph with $n$ vertices obtained from $C_{n-2}=v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{n-2} v_{1}$ by adding two vertices $v_{n-1}, v_{n}$ and two edges $v_{n-1} v_{i}, v_{n} v_{j}$ to $C_{n-2}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{n}^{2}=\left\{C_{i, j}: 1 \leq i<j \leq n-2\right\}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{n}^{*}=\left\{C_{n}, C_{n}^{1}\right\} \cup \mathcal{C}_{n}^{2}$.


Figure 5 Graph $B_{2, n-2}$
Lemma 3.5 Let $G$ be a bicyclic graph of order $n \geq 8$ and let $G^{\prime}$ be a spanning unicyclic subgraph of $G$. If $G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{U}_{n}^{*}$, we have $\rho(G)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$.

Proof It is known that the Wiener index of path $P_{n}$ is $W\left(P_{n}\right)=\frac{n(n-1)(n+1)}{6}$ (see [14]). So $W\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)=W\left(P_{n-1}\right)+\operatorname{tr}_{B_{n}^{*}}\left(v_{n}\right)=\frac{n(n-2)(n-1)}{6}+2+\frac{(n-3)(n-2)}{2}$. By Lemma 2.8, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right) \geq \frac{4 W\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)}{n}=\frac{2}{3} n^{2}+\frac{20}{n}-\frac{26}{3} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n \geq 8, G \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ and $G^{\prime}$ be a spanning unicyclic subgraph of $G$ such that $G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{U}_{n}^{*}$. By Lemma 2.7, $\rho(G) \leq \rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Hence it suffices to prove that $\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$. In the following, we distinguish three cases:

Case 1. $G^{\prime} \cong C_{n}$.
Since $C_{n}$ is a transmission regular graph, by Lemma 2.8, we have $\rho\left(C_{n}\right)=2 \operatorname{tr}_{C_{n}}(v)$ for any $v \in V\left(C_{n}\right)$, i.e.,

$$
\rho\left(C_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{n^{2}}{2}, & \text { if } n \text { is even } \\ \frac{n^{2}-1}{2}, & \text { if } n \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

Then by (3.6), we have $\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right) \geq \frac{2}{3} n^{2}+\frac{20}{n}-\frac{26}{3}>\frac{n^{2}}{2} \geq \rho\left(C_{n}\right)$ when $n \geq 6$.
Case 2. $G^{\prime} \cong C_{n}^{1}$.
Since

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{\max }\left(C_{n}^{1}\right)=\operatorname{tr}_{C_{n}^{1}}\left(v_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{n^{2}+2 n-4}{4}, & \text { if } n \text { is even } \\ \frac{n^{2}+2 n-3}{4}, & \text { if } n \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

by Lemma 2.9, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(C_{n}^{1}\right) \leq 2 \operatorname{tr}_{\max }\left(C_{n}^{1}\right) \leq \frac{n^{2}+2 n-3}{2} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $n \geq 10$, by (3.6), $\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right) \geq \frac{2}{3} n^{2}+\frac{20}{n}-\frac{26}{3}>\frac{n^{2}+2 n-3}{2} \geq \rho\left(C_{n}^{1}\right)$. When $n=8,9$, from Table 1 and (3.7), we have $\rho\left(B_{8}^{*}\right) \approx 39.3676>38.5 \geq \rho\left(C_{8}^{1}\right)$ and $\rho\left(B_{9}^{*}\right) \approx 51.2270>48 \geq \rho\left(C_{9}^{1}\right)$. Thus $\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)>\rho\left(C_{n}^{1}\right)$ for $n \geq 8$.

Case 3. $G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}_{n}^{2}$.
It is routine to verify that

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{\max }\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tr}_{G^{\prime}}\left(v_{n}\right) \leq \begin{cases}\operatorname{tr}_{C_{1, \frac{n}{2}}}\left(v_{n}\right)=\frac{n^{2}+2 n}{4}, & \text { if } n \text { is even } \\ \operatorname{tr}_{C_{1, \frac{n-1}{2}}}\left(v_{n}\right)=\frac{n^{2}+2 n-3}{4}, & \text { if } n \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

Then by Lemma 2.9,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq 2 \operatorname{tr}_{\max }\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq \frac{n^{2}+2 n}{2} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $n \geq 11$, by (3.6) and (3.8),

$$
\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right) \geq \frac{2}{3} n^{2}+\frac{20}{n}-\frac{26}{3}>\frac{n^{2}+2 n}{2} \geq \rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)
$$

When $n=8$, we have $\mathcal{C}_{8}^{2}=\left\{C_{1,2}, C_{1,3}, C_{1,4}\right\}$. By direct calculation, $\rho\left(C_{1,2}\right) \leq 2 \operatorname{tr}_{\max }\left(C_{1,2}\right)=$ $\operatorname{tr}_{C_{1,2}}\left(v_{8}\right)=36, \rho\left(C_{1,3}\right) \leq 2 \operatorname{tr}_{\max }\left(C_{1,3}\right)=2 \operatorname{tr}_{C_{1,3}}\left(v_{8}\right)=38$ and $\rho\left(C_{1,4}\right) \approx 32.7178$. Then from Table 1, $\rho\left(B_{8}^{*}\right) \approx 39.3676>\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ for $G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}_{8}^{2}$. From Table 1 and (3.8), when $G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}_{9}^{2}$, we have $\rho\left(B_{9}^{*}\right) \approx 51.2270>49.5 \geq \rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, and when $G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}_{10}^{2}$, we have $\rho\left(B_{10}^{*}\right) \approx 64.5786>60 \geq \rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Hence $\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)>\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ for $G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}_{n}^{2}$ and $n \geq 8$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.5.


Figure 6 Graphs $\infty_{m}(p, q)$ and $\theta_{m}(s, p, q)$
Let $m, p$ and $q$ be integers with $3 \leq p \leq q \leq m-2$. Let $C_{p}=u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{p} u_{1}$ and $C_{q}=$ $w_{1} w_{2} \cdots w_{q} w_{1}$ be two cycles, and $P=v_{0} v_{1} \cdots v_{m-p-q} v_{m-p-q+1}$ be a path of length $m-p-q+1$. We denote by $\infty_{m}(p, q)$ the bicyclic graph with $m$ vertices obtained from $C_{p}, C_{q}$ and $P$ by identifying $u_{1}$ with $v_{0}$ and identifying $w_{1}$ with $v_{m-p-q+1}$, where $m-p-q+1 \geq 0$ and $m-p-q+1=$ 0 means identifying $u_{1}$ and $w_{1}$. Graph $\infty_{m}(p, q)$ is depicted in Figure 6.

Let $m, s, p$ and $q$ be integers with $0 \leq s \leq p \leq q \leq m-3$ and $s+p+q=m-2$. Let $P^{\prime}=u_{0} u_{1} \cdots u_{s} u_{s+1}, P^{\prime \prime}=v_{0} v_{1} \cdots v_{p} v_{p+1}$ and $P^{\prime \prime \prime}=w_{0} w_{1} \cdots w_{q} w_{q+1}$ be three paths of length $s+1, p+1$ and $q+1$, respectively. We denote by $\theta_{m}(s, p, q)$ the bicyclic graph with $m$ vertices obtained from $P^{\prime}, P^{\prime \prime}$ and $P^{\prime \prime \prime}$ by identifying $u_{0}, v_{0}$ and $w_{0}$ to a new vertex $x$ and identifying $u_{s+1}, v_{p+1}$ and $w_{q+1}$ to a new vertex $y$. Graph $\theta_{m}(s, p, q)$ is depicted in Figure 6.


Figure 7 Graphs $\theta_{4}(0,1,1), \theta_{5}(0,1,2), \theta_{6}(0,2,2)$ and $\theta_{5}(1,1,1)$
For a bicyclic graph $G$ of order $n$, the base of $G$, denoted by $\hat{G}$, is the unique minimal bicyclic subgraph of $G$. It is easy to see that there are no pendent vertices in $\hat{G}$ and $G$ can be obtained from $\hat{G}$ by attaching trees to some vertices of $\hat{G}$. We denote by $T(v)$ the tree attaching to $v \in V(\hat{G})$. It is easy to see that $\sum_{v \in V(\hat{G})}(|V(T(v))|-1)+|V(\hat{G})|=n$.

Lemma 3.6 Let $G$ be a bicyclic graph of order $n \geq 8$ and $\hat{G}$ be the base of $G$. Denote $\mathcal{M}=\left\{\theta_{4}(0,1,1), \theta_{5}(0,1,2), \theta_{6}(0,2,2), \theta_{5}(1,1,1)\right\}$. If $G \not \approx B_{n}^{*}$ and $\hat{G} \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\rho(G)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$.

Proof Let $G$ and $\hat{G}$ satisfy the assumptions. Let $U=\{v \in V(\hat{G}):|V(T(v))| \geq 2\}$. Assume that the vertices of graphs in $\mathcal{M}$ are labeled as in Figure 7. If $y \in U$, let $G_{0}=G-\left\{x v_{1}, x w_{1}\right\}$, then $G_{0}$ is a spanning tree of $G$ with maximum degree $\Delta\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 4$. By Lemma 3.2 (iv), we have $\rho(G)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$. Hence in the following, we assume that $x \notin U$ and $y \notin U$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the bicyclic graph obtained from $G$ by changing every tree $T(v)$ into a pendent path of length $|V(T(v))|-1$ at $v \in V(\hat{G})$. By Lemma 2.4, $\rho(G) \leq \rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. In the following, it suffices to prove $\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$. Since $n \geq 8,|U| \geq 1$. If $\hat{G} \cong \theta_{4}(0,1,1)$, by symmetry, we only need to consider $U=\left\{v_{1}\right\}$ or $U=\left\{v_{1}, w_{1}\right\}$. If $U=\left\{v_{1}\right\}$, by $G \neq B_{n}^{*}$ and Lemma 2.4, $\rho(G)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$. If $U=\left\{v_{1}, w_{1}\right\}$, by Lemma 3.3, $\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$.

Now we assume that $\hat{G} \in\left\{\theta_{5}(0,1,2), \theta_{6}(0,2,2), \theta_{5}(1,1,1)\right\}$. By Lemma 3.2 (iv), in the following, it suffices to prove that $G^{\prime}$ has a spanning tree $G_{0}$ such that $G_{0}$ is a non-caterpillar tree.

Case 1. $\hat{G} \cong \theta_{5}(0,1,2)$.
If there is a vertex $v \in V(\hat{G})$ such that $|V(T(v))| \geq 3$, we assume that $v=v_{1}$ and let $G_{0}=G^{\prime}-\left\{x y, w_{1} w_{2}\right\}$. Since $d_{G_{0}}\left(v_{1}\right)=3$ and $v_{1} \notin \sup \left(G_{0}\right), G_{0}$ is a spanning non-caterpillar tree of $G^{\prime}$. For other cases, the argument is similar. If $|V(T(v))|=2$ for every $v \in U$, by $n \geq 8$, we have $U=\left\{v_{1}, w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$. Let $G_{0}=G^{\prime}-\left\{x v_{1}, w_{1} w_{2}\right\}$. Since $d_{G_{0}}(y)=3$ and $y \notin \sup \left(G_{0}\right), G_{0}$ is a spanning non-caterpillar tree of $G^{\prime}$.

Case 2. $\hat{G} \cong \theta_{6}(0,2,2)$.
By symmetry, we assume $v_{1} \in U$, and let $G_{0}=G^{\prime}-\left\{v_{1} v_{2}, y w_{2}\right\}$. Since $d_{G_{0}}(x)=3$ and $x \notin \sup \left(G_{0}\right), G_{0}$ is a spanning non-caterpillar tree of $G^{\prime}$.

Case 3. $\hat{G} \cong \theta_{5}(1,1,1)$.
By symmetry, we assume that $\left\{v_{1}, w_{1}\right\} \subseteq U$ or $U=\left\{v_{1}\right\}$. If $\left\{v_{1}, w_{1}\right\} \subseteq U$, let $G_{0}=$ $G^{\prime}-\left\{x v_{1}, x w_{1}\right\}$. Since $d_{G_{0}}(y)=3$ and $y \notin \sup \left(G_{0}\right), G_{0}$ is a spanning non-caterpillar tree of $G^{\prime}$. If $U=\left\{v_{1}\right\}$, by $n \geq 8$, we have $\left|V\left(T\left(v_{1}\right)\right)\right| \geq 4$, and then we let $G_{0}=G^{\prime}-\left\{x u_{1}, y w_{1}\right\}$. Since $d_{G_{0}}\left(v_{1}\right)=3$ and $v_{1} \notin \sup \left(G_{0}\right), G_{0}$ is a spanning non-caterpillar tree of $G^{\prime}$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Suppose that $G$ and $\hat{G}$ satisfy the assumptions. Let $U=\{v \in V(\hat{G})$ : $|V(T(v))| \geq 2\}$. Denote by $G^{\prime}$ the graph obtained from $G$ by changing each tree $T(v)$ into a pendent path of length $|V(T(v))|-1$ at $v \in \hat{G}$. By Lemma 2.4, $\rho(G) \leq \rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. To prove $\rho(G)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$, it suffices to prove that $\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$. Note that by Lemmas 3.2 (iv), 3.4 and 3.5, we have $\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$, if $G^{\prime}$ satisfies one of the following conditions:
(C1) $G^{\prime}$ has a spanning tree $G_{0}$ such that $G_{0}$ is a non-caterpillar tree or $p\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 5$ or $\Delta\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 4 ;$
(C2) $G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}_{n}^{2}$;
(C3) $G^{\prime}$ has a spanning unicyclic subgraph $G_{0} \in \mathcal{U}_{n}^{*}$.
So to prove $\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$, it suffices to prove that $G^{\prime}$ satisfies one of the above three conditions.

We distinguish two cases:
Case 1. $\hat{G} \cong \infty_{m}(p, q)(p \leq q)$.
The vertices of $\infty_{m}(p, q)$ are labeled as in Figure 6. If $q \geq 5$, let $G_{0}=G^{\prime}-\left\{u_{1} u_{2}, w_{3} w_{4}\right\}$. Since $w_{1} \notin \sup \left(G_{0}\right)$ and $d_{G_{0}}\left(w_{1}\right) \geq 3, G_{0}$ is a spanning non-caterpillar tree of $G^{\prime}$, i.e., $G^{\prime}$ satisfies (C1). If there is a vertex $v_{i} \in U$ for $0 \leq i \leq m-p-q+1$, let $G_{0}=G^{\prime}-\left\{u_{2} u_{3}, w_{2} w_{3}\right\}$. Then $G_{0}$ is a spanning tree of $G^{\prime}$ with $p\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 5$, i.e., $G^{\prime}$ satisfies (C1). If $m-p-q+1=0$, let $G_{0}=G^{\prime}-\left\{u_{2} u_{3}, w_{2} w_{3}\right\}$. Then $G_{0}$ is a spanning tree of $G^{\prime}$ with $\Delta\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 4$, i.e., $G^{\prime}$ satisfies (C1). Thus in the following we assume that $3 \leq p \leq q \leq 4, v_{i} \notin U$ for $0 \leq i \leq m-p-q+1$ and $m-p-q+1 \geq 1$.

Subcase 1.1. $(p, q)=(3,3)$, i.e., $\hat{G} \cong \infty(3,3)$.
If $\left\{u_{2}, u_{3}\right\} \subseteq U$, let $G_{0}=G^{\prime}-\left\{u_{2} u_{3}, w_{1} w_{2}\right\}$. Since $u_{1} \notin \sup \left(G_{0}\right)$ and $d_{G_{0}}\left(u_{1}\right)=3$, then $G_{0}$ is a spanning non-caterpillar tree of $G^{\prime}$, i.e., $G^{\prime}$ satisfies (C1). For $\left\{w_{2}, w_{3}\right\} \subseteq U$, the argument is similar. Thus by symmetry we can assume that $U=\left\{u_{2}\right\}$ or $U=\left\{u_{2}, w_{2}\right\}$. Then $G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}_{n}^{2}$, i.e., $G^{\prime}$ satisfies (C2).

Subcase 1.2. $(p, q)=(3,4)$ or $(p, q)=(4,4)$.
If $w_{2} \in U$, let $G_{0}=G^{\prime}-\left\{w_{2} w_{3}, u_{1} u_{2}\right\}$. Since $w_{1} \notin \sup \left(G_{0}\right)$ and $d_{G_{0}}\left(w_{1}\right)=3$, then $G_{0}$ is a spanning non-caterpillar tree of $G^{\prime}$, i.e., $G^{\prime}$ satisfies $(\mathrm{C} 1)$. For $w_{4} \in U$, the argument is similar. Assume that $\left\{w_{2}, w_{4}\right\} \cap U=\emptyset$. Since $m-p-q+1 \geq 1,\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left(\left\{w_{2}, w_{4}\right\} \cup V\left(T\left(w_{3}\right)\right)\right)\right| \geq 4$. Let $x$ be the principal eigenvector of $\mathcal{Q}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ corresponding to $\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. By Lemma 2.1, $x_{w_{2}}=x_{w_{4}}$. By Perron-Frobenius Theorem, $x_{v}>0$ for $v \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Let $G_{0}=G^{\prime}-\left\{w_{1} w_{2}\right\}+\left\{w_{2} w_{4}\right\}$. Since

$$
d_{G_{0}}(u, v)-d_{G^{\prime}}(u, v)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } u=w_{2} \text { and } v \in\left\{V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left(\left\{w_{2}, w_{4}\right\} \cup V\left(T\left(w_{3}\right)\right)\right)\right\} \\ -1, & \text { if } u=w_{2} \text { and } v=w_{4} \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho\left(G_{0}\right)-\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq x^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(G_{0}\right)-\mathcal{Q}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right) x \\
& \quad=\sum_{v \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left(\left\{w_{2}, w_{4}\right\} \cup V\left(T\left(w_{3}\right)\right)\right)}\left(x_{w_{2}}+x_{v}\right)^{2}-\left(x_{w_{2}}+x_{w_{4}}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad>4 x_{w_{2}}^{2}-4 x_{w_{2}}^{2}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., $\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)<\rho\left(G_{0}\right)$.

If $p=3$, then $\hat{G}_{0} \cong \infty_{m}(3,3)$. By the argument similar to Subcase 1.1, we have $\rho\left(G_{0}\right)<$ $\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$. Hence $\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$ when $\hat{G} \cong \infty_{m}(3,4)$.

If $p=4$, then $\hat{G}_{0} \cong \infty_{m}(4,3)$. By the argument similar to the above, we can get $\rho\left(G_{0}\right)<$ $\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$. Thus $\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$ when $\hat{G} \cong \infty_{m}(4,4)$.

Case 2. $\hat{G} \cong \theta_{m}(s, p, q)$.
Assume that the vertices of $\theta_{m}(s, p, q)$ are labeled as in Figure 6. If $\hat{G} \in\left\{\theta_{4}(0,1,1), \theta_{5}(0,1,2)\right.$, $\left.\theta_{6}(0,2,2), \theta_{5}(1,1,1)\right\}$ and $G \not \equiv B_{n}^{*}$, from Lemma 3.6, we can get $\rho(G)<\rho\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)$.

If $s=0, p \geq 2$, and $q \geq 3$, let $G_{0}=G^{\prime}-\left\{x v_{1}, w_{1} w_{2}\right\}$; if $s \geq 1, p \geq 2$, and $q \geq 2$, let $G_{0}=G^{\prime}-\left\{x v_{1}, x w_{1}\right\}$. For any case, $G_{0}$ is a spanning non-caterpillar tree of $G^{\prime}$, i.e., $G^{\prime}$ satisfies
(C1).
Now we assume that $s=0, p=1, q \geq 3$ or $s=1, p=1, q \geq 2$. If $y \in U$, let $G_{0}=$ $G^{\prime}-\left\{x v_{1}, x w_{1}\right\}$. Then $G_{0}$ is a spanning tree of $G^{\prime}$ with $\Delta\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 4$, i.e., $G^{\prime}$ satisfies (C1). For $x \in U$, the argument is similar. Hence in the following, we assume that $\{x, y\} \cap U=\emptyset$.

If $v_{1} \in U$, when $s=0, p=1, q \geq 3$, let $G_{0}=G^{\prime}-\left\{x v_{1}, w_{1} w_{2}\right\}$; when $s=1, p=1, q \geq 2$, let $G_{0}=G^{\prime}-\left\{x v_{1}, x w_{1}\right\}$. Then $G_{0}$ is a spanning non-caterpillar tree of $G^{\prime}$, i.e., $G^{\prime}$ satisfies (C1). When $s=1, p=1, q \geq 2$, the argument is similar for $u_{1} \in U$. So in the following we suppose that $\left\{x, y, u_{1}, v_{1}\right\} \cap U=\emptyset$.

If there is vertex $w_{i}(1 \leq i \leq q)$ such that $\left|V\left(T\left(w_{i}\right)\right)\right| \geq 3$, i.e., $G^{\prime}$ has a pendent path of length no less than 2 at vertex $w_{i}(1 \leq i \leq q)$, let $G_{1}=G^{\prime}-\left\{x u_{1}\right\}$. Then the length of the unique cycle in $G_{1}$ is at least 5 . So we can find one edge $u v$ on the cycle of $G_{1}$ such that $d_{G_{1}}\left(w_{i}, u\right) \geq 2$ and $d_{G_{1}}\left(w_{i}, v\right) \geq 2$. Let $G_{0}=G_{1}-\{u v\}$. Then $G_{0}$ is a spanning non-caterpillar tree of $G^{\prime}$. Now we assume tht $\left|V\left(T\left(w_{i}\right)\right)\right|=2$ for each $w_{i} \in U$.

If $s=0, p=1, q \geq 3$, when $p(G) \geq 3$, let $G_{0}=G-\left\{x v_{1}, x w_{1}\right\}$, and when $p(G) \leq 2$, let $G_{2}=G-\{x y\}$. If $s=1, p=1, q \geq 2$, when $p(G) \geq 2$, let $G_{0}=G-\left\{x u_{1}, x v_{1}\right\}$, and when $p(G) \leq 1$, let $G_{2}=G-\left\{x u_{1}\right\}$. Then $G_{0}$ is a spanning tree of $G^{\prime}$ with $p\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 5$ ( $G^{\prime}$ satisfies $(\mathrm{C} 1))$, and $G_{2} \in \mathcal{U}_{n}^{*}\left(G^{\prime}\right.$ satisfies (C3)). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.
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