

Existence of Meromorphic Solutions of Fermat-Type Functional Equations

Junyang ZHANG, Zhigang HUANG*

School of Mathematical Sciences, Suzhou University of Science and Technology,
Jiangsu 215009, P. R. China

Abstract The functional equation $f(z)^n + g(z)^n = 1$ can be interpreted as the Fermat-type equations over function field. In this paper, by using Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic functions, we investigate the existence of meromorphic solutions of hyper-order strictly less than 1 to the Fermat-type functional equation $(a_0f(z) + a_1f(z+c))^3 + (b_0f(z) + b_1f(z+c))^3 = e^{\alpha z + \beta}$, where $a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1, \alpha, \beta, c$ are complex constants and $c \neq 0$.

Keywords Fermat-type functional equation; Nevanlinna theory; Meromorphic solution; Weierstrass \wp -function

MR(2020) Subject Classification 30D35; 34M05; 39A45

1. Introduction

It has always been a well-known and interesting problem to investigate the existence and forms of meromorphic solutions for Fermat-type functional equations

$$f(z)^n + g(z)^n = 1, \quad (1.1)$$

which can be regarded as the Fermat diophantine equation

$$x^n + y^n = 1 \quad (1.2)$$

over function fields, where $n \geq 2$ is an integer. Wiles [1] in 1995 pointed out that (1.2) does not admit nontrivial solutions in rational numbers for $n \geq 3$, and does admit nontrivial rational solutions for $n = 2$. In fact, Montel [2], Iyer [3] and Gross [4, 5] had earlier established results discussing the existence of solutions for Fermat type functional equation (1.1). For the convenience, we summarize their results as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *The solutions f and g of (1.1) are characterized as follows:*

(i) *For $n = 2$, the entire solutions are $f(z) = \cos(h(z))$, $g(z) = \sin(h(z))$, where $h(z)$ is an entire solution; the meromorphic solutions of (1.1) are of the form*

$$f(z) = \frac{2\psi(z)}{1 + \psi^2(z)}, \quad g(z) = \frac{1 - \psi^2(z)}{1 + \psi^2(z)},$$

Received October 15, 2023; Accepted February 4, 2024

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11971344).

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: alexehuang@sina.com (Zhigang HUANG)

where $\psi(z)$ is a nonconstant meromorphic solutions;

- (ii) For $n > 2$, there are no non-constant entire solutions of (1.1);
- (iii) For $n = 3$, the meromorphic solutions of (1.1) are of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\wp(h)}\left(1 + \frac{\wp'(h)}{\sqrt{3}}\right), \quad g(z) = \frac{\omega}{2\wp(h)}\left(1 - \frac{\wp'(h)}{\sqrt{3}}\right),$$

where $\omega^3 = 1$ and h is a nonconstant entire function, \wp denotes the Weierstrass \wp -function with periods ω_1 and ω_2 defined as

$$\wp(z; \omega_1, \omega_2) := \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{u,v \in \mathbb{Z}; u^2+v^2 \neq 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{(z + u\omega_1 + v\omega_2)^2} - \frac{1}{(u\omega_1 + v\omega_2)^2} \right\},$$

and it satisfies $(\wp')^2 = 4\wp^3 - 1$;

- (iv) For $n > 3$, there are no non-constant meromorphic solutions of (1.1).

From Theorem 1.1, the case $n > 3$ is clear. Hence, some scholars further considered equations such that $g(z)$ has a special relation with $f(z)$ and some generalization of (1.1). For example, Yang and Li [6] investigated the existence of entire solutions to the equation $f^2(z) + f'(z)^2 = 1$, and obtained the transcendental entire solution of $f^2(z) + f'(z)^2 = 1$ has the form $f(z) = \frac{1}{2}(Ae^{\alpha z} + \frac{1}{A}e^{-\alpha z})$, where A, α are non-zero complex constants. Due to the development of the Nevanlinna theory of difference operators [7–9], there has been a recent study on whether the derivative f' of f can be replaced by the shift $f(z+c)$ or difference operator $\Delta_c(f)$. The difference analogs of the Fermat-type functional equations have been investigated by many scholars [10–17]. In 2016, Lü and Han [15] derived the following result.

Theorem 1.2 *The difference equation $f^3(z) + f(z+c)^3 = 1$ does not have meromorphic solutions of finite order.*

Furthermore, Ma et al. [14] considered the above equation with the difference operators $\Delta_c f = f(z+c) - f(z)$ in the place of $f(z+c)$.

Theorem 1.3 *The difference equation $f^3(z) + (\Delta_c f)^3 = 1$ does not have meromorphic solutions of finite order.*

Ahamed [10] in 2019 proved a result generalizing the results of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

Theorem 1.4 *The difference equation $f^3(z) + (L_c f)^3 = 1$ does not have meromorphic solutions of finite order, where $L_c(f) = c_1 f(z+c) + c_0 f(z)$, $c_1 (\neq 0), c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.*

If we take into account the meromorphic solutions of the equations $f^n(z) + (f')^n = \gamma^n$ for $n \geq 4$ and $\gamma \neq 0$, then Theorem 1.1 tells us both $\frac{f}{\gamma}$ and $\frac{f'}{\gamma}$ must be constants. As a result, if we assume $f = c_1 \gamma$ and $f' = c_2 \gamma$, then we have $c_1^n + c_2^n = 1$. Clearly, $c_1 \neq 0$; otherwise $f \equiv 0$, hence $\gamma = 0$. Similar to this, $c_2 \neq 0$; otherwise f and γ will be constants. Therefore, when $c_1 c_2 \neq 0$, then γ cannot have any zeros and poles. Hence $\gamma^n(z) = e^{\alpha z + \beta}$ where $\alpha = n \frac{c_2}{c_1}$. Motivated by these observations, by replacing $f'(z)$ with $f(z+c)$ in the above equation, Han and Lü [18] proved

Theorem 1.5 *The equation $f^3(z) + f^3(z+c) = e^{\alpha z + \beta}$ does not admit meromorphic solutions*

of finite order, where α, β are two constants.

Lü and Guo [16] proceeded to consider and derived the following result.

Theorem 1.6 *Let $\alpha, \beta, c (\neq 0)$ be complex constants. Then the functional equation*

$$f^3(z) + f^3(z + c) = e^{\alpha z + \beta} \tag{1.3}$$

does not admit non-constant meromorphic solutions of hyper-order strictly less than 1.

Here, the order and hyper-order of a meromorphic function f are defined as follows

$$\rho(f) := \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log^+ T(r, f)}{\log r}, \quad \rho_2(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log^+ \log^+ T(r, f)}{\log r},$$

where $T(r, f)$ denotes the Nevanlinna characteristic function of f .

Remark 1.7 We point out that non-constant meromorphic solutions should be “non-trivial meromorphic solutions” in Theorem 1.6, since $f^3(z) + f^3(z + c) = e^{\alpha z + \beta}$ has meromorphic solutions $f(z) = de^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha z + \beta)}$, where d is a nonzero constant and $d^3(1 + e^{\alpha c}) = 1$. These solutions are called trivial meromorphic solutions, which was given in [18]. So, the non-trivial solution referred to in this article is not the solution of this form.

Below, we give an example to illustrate that Eq. (1.3) may have a non-trivial solution f with $\rho_2(f) \geq 1$.

Example 1.8 Let $c = \pi$ and α, β be fixed constants satisfying $e^{\alpha c} = 1$. Consider

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 + \frac{\wp'(h(z))}{\sqrt{3}}}{\wp(h(z))} e^{\frac{\alpha z + \beta}{3}},$$

where $h(z) = \cos z + \sin z$. Then, a routine computation leads to

$$f(z + c) = \frac{\omega}{2} \frac{1 - \frac{\wp'(h(z))}{\sqrt{3}}}{\wp(h(z))} e^{\frac{\alpha z + \beta}{3}},$$

where $\omega = e^{\frac{\alpha c}{3}}$. Further, via (iii) of Theorem 1.1, one has

$$f^3(z) + f^3(z + c) = e^{\alpha z + \beta},$$

which implies that the above equation admits non-trivial meromorphic solution. Obviously, $\rho_2(f) = 1$.

Motivated from the above results, we are interested to investigate for the nonconstant meromorphic solutions of general difference equations. Henceforth, we recall that (1.3) can be written as a generalized Fermat-type equation

$$(a_0 f(z) + a_1 f(z + c))^3 + (b_0 f(z) + b_1 f(z + c))^3 = e^{\alpha z + \beta}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. Clearly, the shift $f(z + c)$ and difference operator $\Delta_c f$ are the particular cases of $a_0 f(z) + a_1 f(z + c)$. With this setting, in this paper, we will establish a combined result regarding Theorems 1.3–1.6. Before stating the main result of this paper, we make some remarks.

Example 1.9 Let $c = \pi$ and α, β be fixed constants satisfying $e^{\alpha c} = 1$. Consider

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\wp a_0(h(z))} \left(1 + \frac{\wp'(h(z))}{\sqrt{3}}\right) e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha z + \beta)}, \quad f(z+c) = \frac{(a_0\omega - b_0) - (a_0\omega + b_0)\frac{\wp'(h(z))}{\sqrt{3}}}{2a_0b_1\wp(h(z))},$$

where $h(z) = \cos z$. Then, a routine computation leads to $b_0f(z) + b_1f(z+c) = \frac{\omega}{2\wp(h(z))} \left(1 - \frac{\wp'(h(z))}{\sqrt{3}}\right)$. Further, via (iii) of Theorem 1.1, one has

$$(a_0f(z))^3 + (b_0f(z) + b_1f(z+c))^3 = e^{\alpha z + \beta},$$

which implies that the above equation admits non-trivial meromorphic solution. Obviously, $\rho_2(f) = 1$.

From Example 1.9, we know that Eq. (1.4) does have meromorphic solutions of infinite order. But as we can see, in the example above, the hyper-order of solutions of the equation is 1. Therefore, the following question is inevitable.

Question 1. Does there exist meromorphic solutions of hyper-order less than 1 of Eq. (1.4)?

In this paper, we will discuss this question with the help of some ideas from [11] and [15].

Theorem 1.10 Let $a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $(1 \pm \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}})b_j \neq (1 \mp \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}})\omega a_j$ ($w^3 = 1, j = 0, 1$). Then when $a_0b_1 - a_1b_0 \neq 0$, the Fermat-type equation (1.4) does not admit non-trivial meromorphic solutions of hyper-order strictly less than 1.

Remark 1.11 It is pointed out that the restriction on hyper-order of f is necessary in Theorem 1.10. This can be shown by the following example.

Example 1.12 Let $c = \pi i$ and α, β be fixed constants satisfying $e^{\alpha c} = 1$. Consider

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\eta\wp(h(z))} \left(\eta_1 + \eta_2 \frac{\wp'(h(z))}{\sqrt{3}}\right) e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha z + \beta)},$$

$$f(z+c) = -\frac{1}{2\eta\wp(h(z))} \left(\eta_3 + \eta_4 \frac{\wp'(h(z))}{\sqrt{3}}\right) e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha z + \beta)},$$

where $\eta = a_0b_1 - a_1b_0, \eta_1 = b_1 - \omega a_1, \eta_2 = b_1 + \omega a_1, \eta_3 = b_0 - \omega a_0, \eta_4 = b_0 + \omega a_0, h(z) = e^z$. Then, a routine computation leads to $a_0f(z) + a_1f(z+c) = \frac{1}{2\wp(h(z))} \left(1 + \frac{\wp'(h(z))}{\sqrt{3}}\right) e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha z + \beta)}$, $b_0f(z) + b_1f(z+c) = \frac{\omega}{2\wp(h(z))} \left(1 - \frac{\wp'(h(z))}{\sqrt{3}}\right) e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha z + \beta)}$. Further, via (iii) of Theorem 1.1, one has

$$(a_0f(z) + a_1f(z+c))^3 + (b_0f(z) + b_1f(z+c))^3 = e^{\alpha z + \beta},$$

which implies that the above equation admits non-trivial meromorphic solution. Obviously, $\rho_2(f) = 1$.

Remark 1.13 The condition $a_0b_1 - a_1b_0 \neq 0$ is also necessary in Theorem 1.10. Next, we give a brief explanation.

If $a_0b_1 - a_1b_0 = 0$, i.e.,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 \\ b_0 & b_1 \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

then it yields that $a_0 = kb_0, a_1 = kb_1$, where $k \in \mathbb{C}$. In this case, we can rewrite (1.4) as

$$k_1(a_0f(z) + a_1f(z + c))^3 = e^{\alpha z + \beta},$$

where $k_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. Consider

$$a_0f(z) + a_1f(z + c) = e^{\alpha_1 z + \beta_1}. \tag{1.5}$$

Now, we give an example to illustrate the solution f of (1.5) may satisfy $\rho_2(f) \geq 1$.

Example 1.14 Let $f(z) = e^{e^{2z} + z} + \frac{1}{2}$. When $c = \pi i$, a simple calculation shows that $f(z + c) = -e^{e^{2z} + z} + \frac{1}{2}$. Then $f(z)$ satisfies equation (1.5) with $a_0 = a_1 = 1, \alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 0$. Clearly, $\rho_2(f) = 1$.

We assume that the reader is familiar with the basic results and notations of Nevanlinna’s Value Distribution Theory, such as the first and second main theorems, the characteristic function $T(r, f)$, the proximity function $m(r, f)$ and the counting function $N(r, f)$.

2. Preliminary lemmas

In order to prove our result, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 ([19]) *Let g be entire and suppose that $0 < \mu < \rho(g) \leq \infty$. Then, there exist a real number R_0 and a sequence r_k which leads to infinity such that*

$$N(r_k, \frac{1}{g - a}) \geq (r_k)^\mu$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$ which satisfy

$$R_0 < |a| \leq \exp(r_k)^\mu.$$

Lemma 2.2 ([20]) *Let g be a function transcendental and meromorphic in the plane of order less than 1. Let $h > 0$. Then there exists an ε -set E such that*

$$\frac{g'(z + c)}{g(z + c)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \frac{g(z + c)}{g(z)} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } z \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } \mathbb{C} \setminus E,$$

uniformly in c for $|c| \leq h$. Further, E may be chosen so that for large z not in E the function g has no zeros or poles in $|\zeta - z| \leq h$.

Lemma 2.3 ([21]) *Let $f(z)$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function and $c \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$. If $\rho_2(f) < 1$, then there exists a set $E \subset (0, \infty)$ with finite logarithmic measure, when $r \rightarrow \infty$ and $r \notin E$, we have*

$$T(r, f(z + c)) = T(r, f(z)) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.4 ([11]) *Take complex numbers $\alpha, \beta, c, a_i, b_i, i = 0, 1, 2$ with $c \neq 0$, and assume*

$$\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 \\ b_0 & b_1 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} = 2.$$

If the equation

$$(a_0f(z) + a_1f(z + c) + a_2f'(z))^3 + (b_0f(z) + b_1f(z + c) + b_2f'(z))^3 = e^{\alpha z + \beta}$$

has meromorphic solutions of finite order, then it has only entire solutions of the following form

$$f(z) = Ae^{\frac{\alpha z + \beta}{3}} + Ce^{Dz},$$

where A, C, D are constants. Moreover, if we define constants c_0, c_1 by $c_0^3 + c_1^3 = 1$, then A, D are completely determined by $a_i, b_i, \alpha, c_0, c_1$ as follows:

- (1) $A = \frac{b_2c_0 - a_2c_1}{a_0b_2 - a_2b_0}, C = 0$ if $a_0b_1 - a_1b_0 = 0, b_1a_2 - a_1b_2 = 0,$
- (2) $A = \frac{b_1c_0 - a_1c_1}{a_0b_1 - a_1b_0}, C = 0$ if $a_0b_1 - a_1b_0 \neq 0, b_1a_2 - a_1b_2 = 0,$
- (3) $A = \frac{3(b_1c_0 - a_1c_1)}{(b_1a_2 - a_1b_2)(\alpha - 3D)}, C \in \mathbb{C}, D = \frac{a_1b_0 - a_0b_1}{b_1a_2 - a_1b_2}$ if $b_1a_2 - a_1b_2 \neq 0.$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.10

This section is devoted to proving our theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.10 Suppose that $f(z)$ is a non-trivial solution of (1.4) and $\rho_2 < 1$. Let

$$F(z) = \frac{a_0f(z) + a_1f(z+c)}{e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha z + \beta)}}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$G(z) = \frac{b_0f(z) + b_1f(z+c)}{e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha z + \beta)}}. \tag{3.2}$$

Then (1.4) can be expressed as $F^3 + G^3 = 1$. By Theorem 1.1, one has

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{2\wp(h(z))} \left(1 + \frac{\wp'(h(z))}{\sqrt{3}}\right), \quad G(z) = \frac{\omega}{2\wp(h(z))} \left(1 - \frac{\wp'(h(z))}{\sqrt{3}}\right), \tag{3.3}$$

where h is an entire function over $\mathbb{C}, \omega^3 = 1$ and \wp denotes the Weierstrass- \wp function satisfying $(\wp')^2 = 4\wp^3 - 1$. From (3.1)–(3.3), we obtain

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\eta\wp(h(z))} (\eta_1 + \eta_2 \frac{\wp'(h(z))}{\sqrt{3}}) e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha z + \beta)}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$f(z+c) = -\frac{1}{2\eta\wp(h(z))} (\eta_3 + \eta_4 \frac{\wp'(h(z))}{\sqrt{3}}) e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha z + \beta)}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\eta = a_0b_1 - a_1b_0, \eta_1 = b_1 - \omega a_1, \eta_2 = b_1 + \omega a_1, \eta_3 = b_0 - \omega a_0, \eta_4 = b_0 + \omega a_0$. If $f(z)$ is finite order, then the conclusion follows from Lemma 2.4, which means $f(z)$ is a trivial solution. So, in the following, we assume that $f(z)$ is of infinite order. Combining (3.4) and $(\wp')^2 = 4\wp^3 - 1$, we have

$$\frac{3\eta^2\wp^2(h(z))f^2(z)}{\eta_2^2e^{\frac{2}{3}(\alpha z + \beta)}} - \frac{3\eta\eta_1\wp(h(z))f(z)}{\eta_2^2e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha z + \beta)}} + \frac{\eta_1^2}{4\eta_2^2} + \frac{1}{4} = \wp^3(h(z)). \tag{3.6}$$

A routine computation leads to $3T(r, \wp(h)) \leq 2T(r, f) + 2T(r, \wp(h)) + \frac{2}{3}T(r, e^{\alpha z + \beta}) + O(1)$. Since $\rho_2(f) < 1$, we have $\rho_2(\wp(h)) < 1$.

We claim that $\rho(h) < 1$. On the contrary, if $\rho(h) \geq 1$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $\rho(h) > 1 - \varepsilon$. Set $u = 1 - \varepsilon$. Thus, $\rho(h) > u$. Let $S = \{z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be the set of all the zeros of \wp satisfying $z_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 2.1 and $\rho(\wp) = 2$, we have $n(r, \frac{1}{\wp}) \geq c_1r^2$, where $c_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. Applying Lemma 2.1 again to $\wp(h)$, we have

$$N(r_k, \frac{1}{\wp(h(z))}) = \sum_{z_j \in S} N(r_k, \frac{1}{h(z) - z_j}) \geq \sum_{R_0 < |z_j| \leq \exp(r_k)^u} N(r_k, \frac{1}{h(z) - z_j})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \sum_{R_0 < |z_j| \leq \exp(r_k)^u} r_k^u = [n(\exp(r_k)^u, \frac{1}{\wp}) - n(R_0, \frac{1}{\wp})]r_k^u \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}n(\exp(r_k)^n, \frac{1}{\wp})r_k^u \geq c_2(\exp(r_k)^n)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where c_2 is a fixed positive constant. It follows from (3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_2(\wp(h)) &\geq \limsup_{r_k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log T(r_k, \wp(h))}{\log r_k} \geq \limsup_{r_k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log N(r_k, \frac{1}{\wp(h)})}{\log r_k} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r_k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log c_2(\exp(r_k)^n)^2}{\log r_k} = u = 1 - \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which means $\rho_2(\wp(h)) \geq 1$. A contradiction. Therefore, $\rho(h) < 1$.

For a set G , we define the function $N(r, G)$ as

$$N(r, G) = \int_0^r \frac{n(t, G) - n(0, G)}{t} dt + n(0, G) \log r,$$

where $n(r, G)$ is the number of points in $G \cap \{z : |z| < r\}$. Assume that S_1 is a set satisfying $S_1 = \{z | \wp(h(z)) = 0, \wp(h(z+c)) = 0\}$.

Now, we claim that $N(r, S_1) = o(r)$. On the contrary, suppose that $N(r_n, S_1) \geq cr_n$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$, and set a sequence $\{r_n\}$ satisfying $r_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $S_1 = \{a_s\}_{s=1}^\infty$, $a_s \rightarrow \infty$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\wp(h(a_s)) = 0, \wp(h(a_s+c)) = 0$. Further, we have $(\wp')^2(h(a_s)) = -1$ and $(\wp')^2(h(a_s+c)) = -1$ since $(\wp')^2 = 4\wp^3 - 1$. Combining (3.4) and (3.5) yields

$$(\eta_1 + \eta_2 \frac{\wp'(h(z+c))}{\sqrt{3}})e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha c)} \wp(h(z)) = -(\eta_3 + \eta_4 \frac{\wp'(h(z))}{\sqrt{3}}) \wp(h(z+c)). \tag{3.8}$$

Differentiate (3.8) and apply substitution to observe that

$$e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha c)}(\eta_1 + \eta_2 \frac{\wp'(h(a_s+c))}{\sqrt{3}})\wp'(h(a_s))h'(a_s) = -(\eta_3 + \eta_4 \frac{\wp'(h(a_s))}{\sqrt{3}})\wp'(h(a_s+c))h'(a_s+c).$$

Since $\wp'(h(a_s)) = \pm i$ and $\wp'(h(a_s+c)) = \pm i$, from the equation above, we have one and only one of the following situations occurs

$$\begin{cases} g_1 = e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha c)}(\eta_1 + \eta_2 \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}})h'(a_s) + (\eta_3 + \eta_4 \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}})h'(a_s+c) = 0, \\ g_2 = -e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha c)}(\eta_1 + \eta_2 \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}})h'(a_s) + (\eta_3 - \eta_4 \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}})h'(a_s+c) = 0, \\ g_3 = e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha c)}(\eta_1 - \eta_2 \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}})h'(a_s) - (\eta_3 + \eta_4 \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}})h'(a_s+c) = 0, \\ g_4 = -e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha c)}(\eta_1 - \eta_2 \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}})h'(a_s) - (\eta_3 - \eta_4 \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}})h'(a_s+c) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Noting that our assumption $(1 \pm \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}})b_j \neq (1 \mp \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}})\omega a_j$ ($w^3 = 1, j = 0, 1$). Then by the simple calculation, we claim that $\eta_1 + \eta_2 \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}}, \eta_3 + \eta_4 \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}}, \eta_1 - \eta_2 \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}}$ and $\eta_3 - \eta_4 \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}}$ are not equal to 0. Assume that $E_j = \{a_s \in S_1 | g_j(a_s) = 0\}$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$). Clearly, $S_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^4 E_j$. It follows from the assumption $N(r_n, S_1) \geq cr_n$ that $N(r_n, E_j) \geq c_1 r_n$, where c_1 is a positive constant. If $g_j \neq 0$, then $g_j(z) = 0$ for $z \in E_j$. Therefore,

$$N(r_n, \frac{1}{g_j}) \geq N(r_n, E_j) \geq c_1 r_n,$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(g_j) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, g_j)}{\log r} \geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log N(r, \frac{1}{g_j})}{\log r} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log N(r_n, \frac{1}{g_j})}{\log r_n} \geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log c_1 r_n}{\log r_n} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\rho(g_j) \geq 1.$$

By the form of g_j , one has $T(r, g_j) = O(T(r, h))$, which means $\rho(g_j) \leq \rho(h(z)) < 1$. A contradiction. So, we obtain $g_j \equiv 0$. This implies that one and only one of the following situations can occur

$$\begin{cases} e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha c)}(\eta_1 + \eta_2 \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}})h'(z) = -(\eta_3 + \eta_4 \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}})h'(z + c), \\ e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha c)}(\eta_1 + \eta_2 \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}})h'(z) = (\eta_3 - \eta_4 \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}})h'(z + c), \\ e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha c)}(\eta_1 - \eta_2 \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}})h'(z) = (\eta_3 + \eta_4 \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}})h'(z + c), \\ e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha c)}(\eta_1 - \eta_2 \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}})h'(z) = -(\eta_3 - \eta_4 \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}})h'(z + c). \end{cases}$$

Now, we claim that $h'(z)$ is a constant. If not, then the above equations yield that $Ah'(z + c) = h'(z)$, where $A \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$. By Lemma 2.2, we have $\rho(h') \geq 1$, which contradicts the fact $\rho(h') = \rho(h) < 1$. So, $h'(z)$ must be a constant. Thus $h(z)$ is a linear function, which implies the order of $\wp(h(z))$ is finite. So does f . A contradiction.

All the discussion above yields that $N(r, S_1) = o(r)$. Now, we assume that $E = \{z | \wp(h(z)) = 0\}$. Obviously, $S_1 \subseteq E$. Let $S_2 = E - S_1$. Then for any $b \in S_2$, we have $\wp(h(b)) = 0$, $\wp(h(b + c)) \neq 0$. In view of $(\wp')^2(h(b)) = -1$ and (3.8), we see that $\wp(h(b + c)) = \infty$. This implies that the zeros of $\wp(h(z))$ are the poles of $\wp(h(z + c))$. Note that the multiple zeros of $\wp(h(z))$ can only occur at zeros of its derivative $\{\wp(h(z))\}'$, that is, the zeros of $h'(z)$ because of $\wp'(h(z)) = \pm i \neq 0$. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, \frac{1}{\wp(h)}) &\leq \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{\wp(h)}) + 2N(r, \frac{1}{h'}) \leq \bar{N}(r, E) + 2N(r, \frac{1}{h'}) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, S_1) + \bar{N}(r, S_2) + 2T(r, h) \leq \bar{N}(r, \wp(h(z + c))) + o(r) + O(r) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, \wp(h(z + c))) + S(r, \wp(h)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

In fact, the expression of $F(z) = \frac{1}{2\wp(h(z))}(1 + \frac{\wp'(h(z))}{\sqrt{3}})$ in (3.3) yields

$$T(r, F) \leq T(r, \wp'(h)) + T(r, \wp(h)) + O(1) \leq O(T(r, \wp(h))) + O(1). \tag{3.10}$$

Based on the expression of F and the condition $(\wp')^2 = 4\wp^3 - 1$, we have

$$\wp^3(h(z)) = 3F^2(z)\wp^2(h(z)) - 3F(z)\wp(h(z)) + 1.$$

By the same discussion as (3.6), we get $3T(r, \wp(h)) \leq 2T(r, F) + 2T(r, \wp(h)) + O(1)$. i.e.,

$$T(r, \wp(h)) \leq O(T(r, F)). \tag{3.11}$$

Combining (3.10) and (3.11), there exists a set $E_3 \subset [0, \infty)$ with finite logarithmic measure such that

$$O(\log rT(r, \wp(h))) = O(\log rT(r, F)),$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and $r \notin E_3$. By (1.4) and (3.3), it yields

$$-G^3 = F^3 - e^{\alpha z + \beta} = (F - e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha z + \beta)})(F - \omega e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha z + \beta)})(F - \omega^2 e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha z + \beta)}),$$

where $\omega^3 = 1, \omega \neq 1$. We see that all zeros of $F - e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha z + \beta)}, F - \omega e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha z + \beta)}, F - \omega^2 e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha z + \beta)}$ are of multiplicities ≥ 3 . Hence applying Nevanlinna's first and second theorems to F yields that

$$\begin{aligned} 2T(r, F) &\leq \sum_{m=0}^2 \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{F - \omega^m e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha z + \beta)}}) + \bar{N}(r, F) + O(\log rT(r, F)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{m=0}^2 N(r, \frac{1}{F - \omega^m e^{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha z + \beta)}}) + N(r, F) + O(\log rT(r, F)) \\ &\leq T(r, F) + N(r, F) + O(\log rT(r, \wp(h))), \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

which immediately implies

$$m(r, F) = O(\log rT(r, \wp(h))).$$

Now we rewrite F into the following form

$$\frac{1}{\wp(h(z))} = 2F - \frac{\wp'(h(z))}{\sqrt{3}\wp(h(z))},$$

which means

$$m(r, \frac{1}{\wp(h)}) \leq m(r, F) + m(r, \frac{\wp'(h)}{\wp(h)}) + O(1).$$

Applying the lemma of logarithmic derivative, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(r, \frac{\wp'(h)}{\wp(h)}) &\leq m(r, \frac{\wp'(h)h'}{\wp(h)}) + m(r, \frac{1}{h'}) \leq m(r, \frac{\wp'(h)h'}{\wp(h)}) + 2T(r, h) \\ &\leq O(\log rT(r, \wp(h))), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$m(r, \frac{1}{\wp(h)}) = O(\log rT(r, \wp(h))). \tag{3.13}$$

Combining (3.9) with (3.13), and noticing that each pole of $\wp(h)$ has multiplicity 2, then Nevanlinna's first main theorem implies

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \wp(h)) &= T(r, \frac{1}{\wp(h)}) + O(1) = m(r, \frac{1}{\wp(h)}) + N(r, \frac{1}{\wp(h)}) + O(1) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, \wp(h(z+c))) + S(r, \wp(h)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}N(r, \wp(h(z+c))) + S(r, \wp(h)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}T(r, \wp(h(z+c))) + S(r, \wp(h)). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$T(r, \wp(h(z+c))) = T(r, \wp(h(z))) + S(r, \wp(h)).$$

i.e.,

$$T(r, \wp(h)) = S(r, \wp(h)).$$

We obtain a contradiction. Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.10. \square

Acknowledgements We thank the referees for their time and comments.

References

- [1] A. WILES. *Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's last theorem*. Ann. Math., 1995, **141**: 443–551.
- [2] P. MONTEL. *Lecons Sur les familles normales de fonctions analytiques et leurs applications*. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1927.
- [3] G. LYER. *On certain functional equations*. J. Indian. Math. Soc., 1939, **3**: 312–315.
- [4] F. GROSS. *On the equation $f^n + g^n = 1$. I*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 1966, **72**: 86–88.
- [5] F. GROSS. *On the equation $f^n + g^n = 1$. II*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 1968, **74**: 647–648.
- [6] Chungchun YANG, Ping LI. *On the transcendental solutions of a certain type of nonlinear differential equations*. Arch. Math. (Basel), 2004, **82**(5): 442–448.
- [7] Y. M. CHIANG, Shaoji FENG. *On the Nevanlinna characteristic of $f(z + \eta)$ and difference equations in the complex plane*. Ramanujan J., 2008, **16**(1): 105–129.
- [8] R. G. HALBURD, R. J. KORHONEN. *Difference analogue of lemma on the logarithmic derivative with applications to difference equations*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2006, **314**(2): 477–487.
- [9] R. G. HALBURD, R. J. KORHONEN, K. TOHGE. *Holomorphic curves with shift-invariant hyperplane preimages*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 2014, **366**(8): 4267–4298.
- [10] M. B. AHAMED. *On the Fermat-type difference equation $f^3(z) + [c_1 f(z+c) + c_0 f(z)]^3 = e^{\alpha z + \beta}$* . J. Contemp. Math. Anal., 2021, **56**(5): 255–269.
- [11] Peichu HU, Qiong WANG. *On meromorphic solutions of functional equations of Fermat type*. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., 2019, **42**(5): 2497–2515.
- [12] Kai LIU, Xianjing DONG. *Fermat type differential and difference equations*. Electron. J. Differential Equations, 2015, **159**: 1–10.
- [13] Kai LIU, Tingbin CAO. *Entire solutions of Fermat type q -difference differential equations*. Electron. J. Differential Equations, 2013, **59**: 1–10.
- [14] Hongwei MA, Jianming QI, Zhenjie ZHANG. *Further results about a special Fermat-type equation*. J. Funct. Spaces 2020, Art. ID 3205357, 6 pp.
- [15] Feng LÜ, Qi HAN. *On the Fermat type equation $f^3(z) + f^3(z+c) = 1$* . Aequationes Math., 2017, **91**(1): 129–136.
- [16] Feng LÜ, Hongxiao GUO. *On the Fermat-type equation $f^3(z) + f^3(z+c) = e^{\alpha z + \beta}$* . Mediterr. J. Math., 2022, **19**(3): Paper No. 118, 13 pp.
- [17] Qiong WANG, Wei CHEN, Peichu HU. *On entire solutions of two certain Fermat-type differential-difference equations*. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., 2020, **43**(4): 2951–2965.
- [18] Qi HAN, Feng LÜ. *On the equation $f^n(z) + g^n(z) = e^{\alpha z + \beta}$* . Izv. Nats. Akad. Nauk Armenii Mat., 2019, **54**(2): 90–96.
- [19] W. BERGWIELER. *On the growth rate of composite meromorphic functions*. Complex Variables Theory Appl., 1990, **14**(1-4): 187–196.
- [20] W. BERGWIELER, J. K. LANGLEY. *Zeros of difference of meromorphic functions*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 2007, **142**: 133–147.
- [21] Hanjia GAO, Xiaoxi ZHAO, Jun WANG. *Oscillation properties of meromorphic solutions of nonlinear complex difference equations*. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. A Chin. Ed., 2019, **39**(1): 59–66.